

Home of the Tualatin River National Wildlife Refuge

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. ROLL CALL
- 3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- 4. CITIZEN COMMENTS
- 5. NEW BUSINESS
 - A. Approval of March 21, 2024 Committee meeting minutes
 - **B.** Discussion of Charter and Potential Revisions
- 6. OTHER
- 7. ADJOURN

How to Provide Citizen Comments and Public Hearing Testimony (remove if not applicable):

Citizen comments and public hearing testimony may be provided in person, in writing, or by telephone. Sign-up forms will be available at the meeting for anyone who wishes to provide comments in person. Written comments must be submitted at least 24 hours in advance of the scheduled meeting start time by email to cityrecorder@sherwoodoregon.gov. To provide comment by phone during the live meeting, email or call cityrecorder@sherwoodoregon.gov or 503-625-4246 at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting start time in order to receive dial-in instructions. In-person and telephone comments are limited to 4 minutes per person. Per Council Rules Ch. 2 Section (V)(D)(5), Citizen Comments, "Speakers shall identify themselves by their name and by their city of residence." Anonymous comments will not be accepted into the meeting record.

ADA Accommodations:

If you require ADA accommodations for this public meeting, please contact the City Recorder at 503-625-4246 at least 48 hours in advance of the scheduled meeting time. Assisted listening devises available on site and upon request.

MEETING AGENDA

Charter Review Committee

April 23, 2024, 6:00 pm

Sherwood City Hall 22560 SW Pine Street Sherwood, OR 97140

In the Community Room

This meeting will be live streamed at https://www.youtube.com/user/CityofSherwood



Home of the Tualatin River National Wildlife Refuge

MEETING MINUTES

Charter Review Committee

March 21, 2024, 6:00 pm

Sherwood City Hall - Conference Room A

22560 SW Pine Street Sherwood, OR 97140

1. CALL TO ORDER

City Attorney Ryan Adams, called the meeting to order.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Present: Zana Mays (Senior Adv Board Rep), Paul Mayer (Budget Committee Rep), Deb Diers (Library Adv Board Rep), Chris West (Police Adv Board Rep), Rick Woidyla (Planning Commission Rep), Lisa Patterson (Safety Committee Rep), Joy Kuczer (Parks & Recreation Adv Board Rep), Neil Shannon (Citizen at large), Dave Grant (Citizen at large), and Council Liaison Keith Mays. Michelle Nedwek (Cultural Arts Commission Rep) arrived at 6:15 pm. Mark Cottle (Citizen at large) was absent.

Staff Present: City Attorney Ryan Adams, Assistant City Manager Kristen Switzer, Duputy City Attorney Michelle Teed, and City Recorder Sylvia Murphy.

3. SELECTION OF CHAIR

City Attorney Adams addressed this topic and asked for nominations. With no nominations received, Dave Grant offered to chair the committee. All present committee members voted in favor of Dave Grant as committee chair. (Michelle Nedwek was absent at the time of the vote.)

4. SELECTION OF VICE CHAIR

Chair Grant addressed this topic and asked for volunteers or nominations. Neil Shannon volunteered to be vice chair; no other nominations were received. All present committee members voted in favor of Neil Shannon as vice chair. (Michelle Nedwek was absent at the time of the vote.)

5. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Chair Grant addressed this topic and asked for questions or a motion. Motion was received from Neil Shannon to approve the agenda, seconded by Paul Mayer. Motion carried with all present members voting in favor. (Michelle Nedwek was absent at the time of the vote.)

All meeting attendees introduced themselves.

6. CITIZEN COMMENTS

None.

7. NEW BUSINESS

A. Overview of Process and Review of Expectations (see record, Exhibit A)

A brief discussion regarding the meeting format occurred. Council Liaison Mays explained Council Rules regarding the convening of a Charter Review Committee. He explained that staff had not prepared charter amendment recommendations, as recommendations would be up to the committee. Liaison Mays indicated he was available for questions. He further explained that staff was available to help explain the charter sections and operations of the city. Chair Grant spoke of meeting format and suggested a walk-through of the charter sections. City Attorney Adams spoke regarding the role of staff to include research. Discussion occurred regarding charter amendments and how all amendments, no matter how small, must go before the voters. Discussion occurred regarding prior Charter Review Committee work within the past ten years and the resulting charter amendments and elections. Examples were provided included the reporting structure of the City Recorder, reading of ordinances occurring twice, and the 2% limit on fees and charges. Liaison Mays informed that the 2% limitation was imposed by an initiative petition and not a Charter Review Committee. The committee briefly spoke about the timeline of their work and making recommendations to the Council and targeting certain elections. Liaison Mays referred to the November 2024 election and staff being able to provide timelines for that election. Comments were received regarding the May and November elections, and which election charter amendments needed to occur. Liaison Mays commented regarding the charter provision that referenced fee increases, limitations of fee increases, and which election those needed to occur on based on the charter language. Attorney Adams commented that he needed to confirm amendments to the fee limitations, commented regarding all other charter amendments, and specific elections that amendments needed to occur within. Comments were received regarding charters of neighboring cities, (Tigard and Gresham) and their current proposed changes. Liaison Mays commented that many Oregon city charters modeled the League of Oregon Cities (LOC) model.

Chair Grant commented regarding the committee's approach and meeting format and suggested staff address each section as there were no staff recommendations for amendments. Liaison Mays replied that from a functional standpoint, the citizen-initiated limitation on residential fees was becoming challenging. He recapped the charter provision regarding the 2% limitations on taxes, charges and fees and the effects on residents and said that this became a problem in a high-inflation time. He explained current city fees that appeared on utility bills, i.e. street fees and sidewalk fees, and the city controlling those. He explained that one area that was becoming problematic was the sewer and stormwater rates. He further explained capital investments, such as sewer infrastructure and how those fees were imposed. He spoke of the city's requirements to maintain infrastructure, adhere to Clean Water Services (CWS) requirements, and increasing costs to the city and residents. He explained that today, the city ran the risk of not having reserves to address replacement of aging pipes. He briefly spoke of the city needing to transfer funds and the Community Investment Fund. Chair Grant asked for staff to bring back more information and options to consider this issue and asked that the committee receive the information in advance of the next meeting so that they are prepared to discuss. Liaison Mays commented that the 2% limitation applied to residential only and commercial and industrial rates were increasing due to the shortfalls. He stated the city was pursuing federal grants to help fund sewer projects.

Brief discussion occurred regarding CWS's current project on Tualatin-Sherwood Road and the impacts to the city. City Attorney Adams commented regarding Council's prior conversations regarding the 2% limitation and indicated the committee could discuss and consider this topic. Attorney Adams spoke regarding the language that referenced a May or November election in Section 47 of the charter and

explained. Discussion followed. He informed the committee that staff would bring back an election calendar at the committee's next meeting. Chair Grant asked Attorney Adams to address each section of the charter and provide a summary for the committee to review.

B. Review of Charter and Suggested Discussion Items (see record, Exhibit B)

City Attorney Adams addressed Chapter 1 - Names and Boundaries and explained. Brief discussion occurred. Attorney Adams stated it was more than likely the effective date in this section would be updated. It was asked if Sherwood West would affect this section. Attorney Adams replied no, not at this time. He addressed and explained Chapter 2 - Powers and brief discussion occurred. He addressed and explained Chapter 3 - Council and brief discussion occurred. He addressed and explained Chapter 4 -Legislative Authority. Brief discussion occurred regarding a mayor's "veto" authority. Attorney Adams addressed Chapter 5 – Administrative Authority and explained. He addressed Chapter 6 – Quasi-Judicial Authority and explained. He addressed Chapter 7 – Elections and explained. Brief discussion occurred regarding councilor and mayor term limits, prior charter amendments, and elections. Discussion occurred regarding terms of other metro area cities, and the pros and cons of term limits. Attorney Adams addressed Chapter 8 – Appointive Officers and explained. Brief discussion occurred and comments were received regarding precincts and districts. Liaison Mays spoke regarding council liaison positions within city boards & committees. Attorney Adams addressed Chapter 9 - Personnel and explained the three employees that work for the Council and informed of the reporting structure of all employees. Comments were received regarding the current situation of the City Manager Pro Tem and authority of this position as it related to personnel. Mr. Adams recapped the recent appointment of the City Manager Pro Tem and explained the personnel authorities of the position. Attorney Adams recapped Sections 35 - City Attorney and Section 36 – Municipal Judge. He explained the functions of the municipal judge. Attorney Adams addressed Chapter 9 - Personnel and explained. Brief discussion occurred regarding Section 37 -Compensation. Member Chris West commented regarding Council compensation and asked if staff could bring back information from neighboring cities at the next committee meeting. Discussion occurred and staff confirmed information would be brought back to the committee. Liaison Mays commented that if there was a change to the compensation language, that the change should have a future effective date so as to not affect the currently seated elected officials. Attorney Adams addressed Chapter 10 - Public Improvements and explained. He addressed Chapter 11 - Miscellaneous and stated that this section modeled the League of Oregon Cities' (LOC). Liaison Mays explained who the LOC was and what they did. Attorney Adams addressed Section 41 - Debt and explained. Discussion occurred regarding the debt limits and examples of capital bonds. Attorney Adams informed the committee that it does not take a charter amendment to authorize indebtedness. He stated the city adopted the LOC model of their procurement code. Attorney Adams addressed Section 42 – Solid Waste Incinerators and Chair Grant provided brief background and history regarding incinerators in the area. Discussion occurred. Attorney Adams addressed Section 44 - Ordinance Continuation, Section 45 - Repeal and Section 46 -Severability and stated these sections were pretty much boilerplate. Attorney Adams addressed Section 47 – Vote required on Certain Taxes, Charges and Fees. Vice Chair Shannon commented regarding this charter provision being instituted through an initiative petition and asked if it could be changed through the charter review and an election process. Liaison Mays confirmed. Discussion occurred regarding the provisions of a majority vote and 50% of the voters. Attorney Adams provided an example of case law. Discussion occurred regarding special and general elections and the requirements of a 50% vote. Attorney Adams referred to Deputy City Attorney Teed and informed the committee that she was the former Deputy Director of Elections for the State of Oregon. Liaison Mays stated there were lots of challenges with this section. Member West referred to the language regarding a May or November election and discussion occurred. Liaison Mays informed the committee that a question raised in a Council work session was: should that provision be changed in the case of sewer and storm when the city didn't have any control over those costs. Comments were received that if it was important enough to do a special election off year, you are guaranteeing that it will fail. Chair Grant stated the committee would discuss this further at the next meeting. Liaison Mays provided an example of the committee discussing this for 6 months and not making the November ballot. He stated the committee could ask the Council to put something on an election ballot to change the charter, and he was not aware of any charter provisions on when you could change the charter, so that provision could be changed any time in a special election. Chair Grant commented that he believed that we could get something in November to fix this.

Member Joy Kuczer asked if Sherwood Broadband fees applied to this section. Liaison Mays replied they did not apply as people had the option to impose the fee. Attorney Adams addressed and explained Section 48 - Vote Required for Sale of Certain Real Property and requiring a super-majority vote. He explained that a vote of 5 was a super-majority vote no matter how many were in attendance. Member Kuczer commented regarding different clauses that other cities had that could be considered by the committee. Liaison Mays replied there were miscellaneous provisions sometimes based on the area, such as coastal areas. Attorney Adams offered to compile information from other city charters. Liaison Mays referred to the City of Sisters and their charter referencing design standards. He referred to state law and some charters having unique features and provided an example of the City of Corvallis having a nine-member council, plus a mayor, all serving two-year terms and the mayor not having a vote. He stated that some cities have precincts. Discussion occurred regarding how charter provisions were usually driven by issues. Liaison Mays commented regarding annexations, a state ruling and home rule charters.

Attorney Adams explained Home Rule and cities having the rights to set their own rules. He stated it was important for the committee to think about what they have the ability to affect. He stated if there were things that were preempted by the state, he would let the committee know. He stated a lot of this was wide-open and the state had cracked-down a bit on design standards and what's considered middlehousing, but for the most part, home rule was still alive and well. Liaison Mays provided examples of preemptions, referred to property taxes, and the Oregon land use system. Discussion occurred regarding annexations and Attorney Adams referred to HB 1517 and provided an example from the City of Corvallis' charter provision being similar to Sherwood's, and the language of, "unless required by state law". He reminded the committee that state law could change at any time. Liaison Mays suggested looking at the LOC and if they had any new base-language and their home rule standing. Attorney Adams replied this would also be good information to bring back to the committee. Liaison Mays replied he was not a fan of special districts and referred to a situation involving Tualatin Valley Water District and the City of North Plains and Hillsboro. He spoke of charter options to block special districts from entering the city, other than the ones that are already here. Attorney Adams replied he would do some research and bring back information. Vice Chair Shannon asked about provisions requiring Council approval and Attorney Adams and Liaison Mays replied anything required approval. Discussion followed regarding special districts and the work of Clean Water Services was provided as an example.

Member West commented regarding the work of the Police Advisory Board on Police Department policies and having a service where when the legislature changed laws, the service updated policies, the proposed amendments were reviewed by the board, and the board's recommendations were submitted to Council for consideration. He referred to the LOC's knowledge of future legislative actions that the committee could consider. Attorney Adams replied he would look into that.

C. Next Steps & Review Calendar

Chair Grant addressed the committee meeting schedule and reminded the committee to forward any amendment recommendations to Attorney Adams. Chair Grant spoke of the committee's future meetings and schedule to be able to provide recommendations to Council. Deputy City Attorney Michelle Teed spoke of election requirements and specific deadlines and the committee working towards a July completion for the November ballot. She explained elections requirements, procedural steps, and how a ballot title encompassed a caption, a question, and a summary. She explained public noticing requirements and the electors challenge period of the ballot title. She referred to filing deadlines with the County. She stated there were hard deadlines at the State level and recommendations from staff in respect to timing. Chair Grant commented regarding the timeline of the committee's work and providing a recommendation to Council by June. Discussion occurred.

D. Schedule for Future Meetings

Chair Grant asked staff to prepare a doodle poll for April and May and send it to the committee members. City Attorney Adams added that staff would also send out meeting calendar invitations for future meetings. Member Kuczer confirmed that the committee should review information and provide comments back to Attorney Adams. Mr. Adams confirmed and reminded of public meetings laws. Liaison Mays thanked the committee members for their volunteered time.

	E. Other Items		
	None.		
8.	ADJOURN		
	Chair Grant adjourned at 7:32 pm.		
	Attest:		
	Sylvia Murphy, MMC, City Recorder	Dave Grant, Chair	

Sylvia Murphy

Ryan Adams From:

Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2024 11:32 AM

To: Charter Review - 2024

Cc: Keith Mays; Kristen Switzer; Sylvia Murphy; Craig Sheldon

Subject: Charter Review Materials - 4/23

Mayoral.Councilor Stipend Comparison.pdf; All City Comparison.pdf; CRC section 47 **Attachments:**

discussion.pdf

Members,

Please see the attached materials for discussion next Tuesday. Look forward to seeing you all again, in the interim, please reach out to Kristen or me with any questions.

Ryan

Ryan Adams City Attorney City of Sherwood

503.625.4235

adamsr@sherwoodoregon.gov www.sherwoodoregon.gov



The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, delete this message and contact my office immediately. If the information in this email is not protected by the attorney-client privilege, it may be subject to Oregon's Public Records Laws.



Council Compensation							
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard		
Chapter IX Section 37 Compensation. The council must authorize the compensation of City appointive officers and employees as part of its approval of the annual city budget. The mayor and councilors shall not be compensated but may be reimbursed for actual and reasonable expenses.	ARTICLE 4 Section 4.07 Compensation for Councilors. A. Elected officers shall receive no compensation for service in an official capacity of the City. Actual and necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their duties of office shall be paid.	Chapter IX Section 37. – Compensation. The council must authorize the compensation of city employees and city officers, including council members, as part of its adoption of the annual city budget. The council shall establish a procedure for implementing the compensation of its members.	Chapter III Section 11. – Salaries. The compensation for the services of each City officer and employee shall be the amount fixed by the Council.	N/A	Chapter III Section 11. Salaries. The compensation for the services of each City Officer and employees shall be the amount fixed by the Council.		

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS COMPARISONS

Debt							
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard		
Chapter XI Section	ARTICLE 10 Section	Chapter XI Section	Chapter X Section	Chapter X Section	Chapter X Section		
41 Debt.	10.01. Debt. The	42 Debt. City	41. – Debt Limit.	39. DEBT LIMIT.	41. – Debt Limit.		
City indebtedness	City's indebtedness	indebtedness may	Except as authorized	Except by consent of	Except by consent of		
may not exceed debt	may not exceed debt	not exceed debt	by State law, the City	the voters, the city's	the voters, the City's		
limits imposed by	limits imposed by	limits imposed by	shall not issue and sell	voluntary floated	voluntary floating		
state law. A charter	state law. A city officer	state law. A charter	general obligation	indebtedness shall not	indebtedness for		
amendment is not	or employee who	amendment is not	bonds unless	exceed ten percent of	general city purposes		
required to authorize	creates or officially	required to authorize	authorized by consent	the current budget,	shall not exceed the		
city indebtedness	approves	city indebtedness.	of a majority of the	nor its bonded	limits of state law. All		
	indebtedness in		voters at an election.	indebtedness exceed	City Officials and		
	excess of this		All City officials and	that as may be set by	employees who		
	limitation is jointly and		employees who create	Oregon law. For	create or officially		
	severally liable for the		or officially approve	purposes of	approve any		
	excess. A charter		any indebtedness	calculating the	indebtedness in		





amendment is not	contrary to this	limitation, however,	excess of this
required to authorize	provision shall be	the legally authorized	limitation shall be
city indebtedness.	jointly and severally	debt of the city in	jointly and severally
	liable for the excess.	existence at the time	liable for the excess.
		this charter takes	
		effect shall not be	
		considered. All city	
		officials and	
		employees who create	
		or officially approve	
		any indebtedness in	
		excess of this	
		limitation shall be	
		jointly and severally	
		liable for the excess.	

Ordinance Continuation						
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard	
Chapter XI Section	ARTICLE 10 Section	Chapter XI Section	Chapter X Section	Chapter X Section	Chapter X Section	
44 Ordinance	10.02. Continuation	43. Ordinance	43. – Existing	41. EXISTING	43. Existing	
Continuation.	of Ordinances.	Continuation. All	Ordinances	ORDINANCES	Ordinances	
All ordinances	Insofar as consistent	ordinances	Continued. All	CONTINUED. All	Continued. All	
consistent with this	with this charter, all	consistent with this	ordinances of the City	ordinances of the city	ordinances of the	
charter in force when	ordinances in force	charter in force when	consistent with this	consistent with this	City consistent with	
it takes effect remain	when this Charter	it takes effect remain	Charter and in force	charter and in force	this Charter and in	
in effect until	takes effect shall	in effect until	when it takes effect	when it takes effect	force when it takes	
amended or repealed.	remain in effect until	amended or	shall remain in effect	shall remain in effect	effect shall remain in	
	amended or repealed.	repealed.	until amended or	until amended or	effect until amended	
			repealed.	repealed.	or repealed.	

Time of Effect						
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard	
Chapter I Section 1. – Title, Effective Date and Review	ARTICLE 10 Section 10.04. Time of Effect of the Charter. This Charter shall take	Chapter XI Section 46. Time of Effect. This charter takes effect January 1,	Chapter X Section 44. – Time of Effect of Charter. This	Chapter X Section 43. TIME OF EFFECT OF CHARTER. This charter shall take	Chapter X Section 44. – Time of Effect of Charter. This	



This charter shall be	effect upon	2007. This charter	Charter shall take	effect January 1,	Charter shall take
referred to as the	certification of an	was amended	effect July 1, 1967.	1987.	effect July 1, 1963.
Sherwood City	affirmative vote of the	November 7, 2017			
Charter and takes	people of King City.	and May 16, 2023.			
effect January 1,					
2020. This charter					
shall be reviewed at					
least every six years,					
with the appointment					
of a charter review					
committee by the City					
council.					

Willamette River Water							
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard		
Chapter XI Section 43 Repealed. Res. No. 2019-087 8	N/A	N/A	Chapter X Section 46. – Willamette Water – Vote	Chapter X Section 44. USE OF	Chapter XI Section 51. The City of Tigard		
Res. No. 2019-087 § 1, adopted December 3, 2019, amended the Charter by repealing former § 43, which pertained to Willamette River drinking water, and derived from Res. 05-008, § 1.			Water – Vote Required. The City of Tualatin shall not use Willamette River water as a drinking water source for its citizens unless the following question is submitted to the voters and is approved by the affirmative majority (greater than 50%) of the total number of legal votes cast on such measure and entitled to be counted thereon. The question shall read: "Shall	WILLAMETTE RIVER. The City of Wilsonville shall not use Willamette River water as a drinking water source for its citizens unless the question of so using the Willamette River water as a drinking water source has received the affirmative majority of the total number of legal votes cast on such measure and entitled to be counted thereon.	shall not use the Willamette River as a drinking water source for its citizens unless the question of using the Willamette River as a drinking water source has been approved by not less than fifty percent (50%) of voters voting in a City wide election.		
			treated Willamette River water be used				



	as drinking water by the citizens of Tualatin?" The vote shall take place after the effective date of this amendment.	
--	---	--

Repealing Previously Enacted Provisions							
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard		
N/A	N/A	Chapter XI Section 44. Repeal. All charter provisions adopted before this charter takes effect are repealed.	Chapter X Section 44 Repeal of Previously Enacted Provision. All Charter provisions of the City enacted prior to the time that this Charter takes effect are hereby repealed.	Chapter X Section 42. REPEAL OF PREVIOUSLY ENACTED PROVISIONS. All charter provisions of the city enacted prior to the time that this charter takes effect are hereby repealed except those charter amendments giving authority for the issuance of general obligation bonds which shall remain in full force and effect.	N/A		

Severability						
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard	
Chapter XI Section	ARTICLE 10 Section	Chapter XI Section	N/A	N/A	N/A	
46 Severability.	10.03. Severability.	45. Severability. The				
The terms of this	The terms of this	terms of this charter				
charter are severable.	Charter are severable.	are severable. If any				
If any provision is held	If a part of this charter	provision is held				
invalid by a court, the	is held invalid, that	invalid by a court, the				
invalidity does not	invalidity does not	invalidity does not				



the charter, except as the logical relation between the two parts		
requires.		

Public Transit					
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard
N/A	ARTICLE 10 Section	N/A	Chapter X Section	N/A	Chapter XI Section
	10.05.		47. – Voter Approval		52. The City of Tigard
	A. The King City City		to Use City		shall not increase a
	Council may not		Resources for Public		current tax or fee or
	authorize the use of		Rail Transit System.		impose a new local
	city resources for the		(a) The Tualatin City		tax or fee for
	financing, design,		Council may not		construction costs to
	construction or		authorize the use of		build or expand light
	operation of any public		city resources for the		rail transit line
	rail transit system,		financing, design,		infrastructure without
	including, but not		construction or		voter authorization. If
	limited to, the		operation of any public		the City desires to
	extension of an		rail transit system,		increase or impose a
	existing public rail		including, but not		new local tax or fee
	transit system		limited to, the		for construction costs
	operating outside the		extension of an		to build or expand
	city limits, without first		existing public rail		light rail transit line
	obtaining the approval		transit system		infrastructure, it will
	of city voters at an		operating outside of		first call an election
	election on an		the city limits, without		and state the amount
	authorization		first obtaining the		of new or additional
	ordinance. "City		approval of city voters		taxes or fees that
	Resources" shall		at an election on an		would be used for
	include any public		authorization		construction costs to
	funds, staff time,		ordinance. "City		build or expand light
	lobbying agreements,		resources" shall		rail transit line
	property interests or		include any public		infrastructure. The
	other tangible or		funds, staff time,		voters of the City of
	intangible city assets,		lobbying agreements,		Tigard would





and the right to direct
or permit the use of
such resources. This
subsection does not
apply to the use of city
resources to respond
to or prevent a public
safety concern caused
by the operation of a
public rail transit
system.

B. Any authority extended to the King City City Council by an election held under section (A), shall be strictly limited to the parameters of the authorization ordinance. An authorization ordinance submitted to voters under section (A) must provide sufficient public notice of the authority conveyed and shall not be effective unless the following parameters are specified:

- 1. The types of city resources to be authorized;
- 2. The permissible uses of such resources

property interests or other tangible or intangible city assets, and the right to direct or permit the use of such resources. This subsection does not apply to the use of city resources to respond to or prevent a public safety concern caused by the operation of a public rail transit system.

(b) Any authority extended to the **Tualatin City Council** by an election held under subsection (a), shall be strictly limited to the parameters of the authorization ordinance. An authorization ordinance submitted to voters under subsection (a) must provide sufficient public notice of the authority conveyed and shall not be effective unless the following parameters are specified: (1) the types of city resources to be

authorized:

authorize or decline to authorize the spending of the new or additional taxes or fees. This Charter Section will automatically expire ten years after its effective date. This Charter Section became effective on December 3, 2012 (date determined as specified in Resolution No. 12-33, Section 6; Measure 34-203. November 6, 2012 election).





3. The estimated	(2) the permissible	
cash value of any	uses of such	
authorized resources	resources;	
and any associated	(3) the estimated	
personnel costs; and,	cash value of any	
4. The duration of	authorized resources	
the authority extended	and any associated	
	personnel costs; and	
Sufficient public notice	(4) the duration of	
for an authorization	the authority	
ordinance under this	extended.	
subsection is provided		
to city voters if the	Sufficient public notice	
certified ballot title	for an authorization	
accurately	ordinance under this	
summarizes the	subsection is provided	
authorized parameters	to city voters if the	
and provides a link toa	certified ballot title	
detailed description	accurately	
hosted on a city	summarizes the	
website. If sufficient	authorized parameters	
public notice cannot	and provides a link to	
be accomplished in	a detailed description	
the manner above, the	hosted on a city	
city shall provide such	website. If sufficient	
information to voters	public notice cannot	
by mail at least	be accomplished in	
21days in advance of	the manner above, the	
the election.	city shall provide such	
	information to voters	
	by mail at least 21	
	days in advance of the	
	election.	



Torts					
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard
N/A	N/A	N/A	Chapter X Section 42. – Torts. In no event shall the City be liable in damages for any injury to person, damage to property, or a death for an amount greater than that authorized by applicable State law. The claimant shall provide the City with notice as required by State law.	Chapter X Section 39. TORTS. Except by consent of the voters, the city's voluntary floated indebtedness shall not exceed ten percent of the current budget, nor its bonded indebtedness exceed that as may be set by Oregon law. For purposes of calculating the limitation, however, the legally authorized debt of the city in existence at the time this charter takes effect shall not be considered. All city officials and employees who create or officially approve any indebtedness in excess of this limitation shall be jointly and severally liable for the excess.	N/A

Public Parks					
Sherwood King City Newberg Tualatin Wilsonville Tigard					
N/A	N/A	Chapter XI Section 41. Use of Public Parks. Notwithstanding any	CHAPTER XI - Protection of City Owned Parks and Open Spaces	N/A	N/A



T	
other provisions of	Section 48
this charter, all areas	Purpose.
now or hereafter	The purpose of this
dedicated to the city	Chapter XI of the
for public park	Charter is to prevent
purposes are	the transfer, sale,
reserved forever to	vacation or major
the use of the public;	change in use of city
and no such area	parks without first
shall be sold or	obtaining an
otherwise disposed	approving vote of the
of, or used for other	legal voters of this
than public park and	city; to preserve the
recreation purposes,	natural beauty,
unless approved by a	ecological integrity
prior vote of the	and recreational value
electorate, except	of the city's parks form
that the council, after	incompatible and non-
a public hearing, may	park development; to
permit a portion of a	protect the public park
city park to be used	uses and purposes for
for public	which city parks are
improvements as	established, acquired
long as such use	or dedicated, and to
does not significantly	prevent conversion or
impact the park's	development of parks
viability.	or parts thereof to
	non-park or
	incompatible uses.
	Section 49
	Definitions.
	The following
	definitions apply to
	this Chapter XI.
	(a) The "city" means
	the City of Tualatin, its
	city council, city
1	ony country



departments and city	
employees acting	
within their official	
capacities.	
(b) A "major change"	
is a change in use of a	
park, or part thereof,	
from a recreation or	
preservation use to	
non-park use	
unrelated to public	
recreation or	
preservation. "Major	
change" includes the	
development of roads,	
bridges, utility	
facilities, parking lots	
and buildings in a city	
park that are unrelated	
to the park uses for	
which the park was	
established, acquired	
or dedicated.	
(c) "Parks" are	
parcels of real	
property owned by or	
dedicated to the City	
of Tualatin for	
purposes of public	
recreation,	
preservation of open	
space, riparian	
greenway, natural	
wildlife or other habitat	
values, or the	
preservation of historic	
or cultural resources.	
The term "park"	



encompasses all such
properties owned by
or dedicated to the city
whether held in fee or
as an easement.
(d) To "vacate" park
property, or a portion
thereof, means to
dispose of land owned
by or dedicated to the
city through the
procedures of ORS
chapter 271 or
comparable
procedures in city
code or ordinance.
(Charter Amendment
,
adopted at a special
election held March 8,
2011).
Section 50
Approval by Voters.
The city shall not do,
or allow to be done by
others, any of the
following listed acts
with regard to any city
park or part thereof
without first obtaining
approval of the legal
voters of the city:
(a) To sell, lease or
otherwise transfer city
park property,
(b) To vacate or
otherwise change the
ownership or legal



T	T	
	status of any city park,	
	or part thereof, except	
	that the city may grant	
	easements for	
	underground utilities	
	and uses that do not	
	cause or constitute a	
	major change in use	
	of the park or some	
	part thereof.	
	(c) To cause,	
	undertake or allow any	
	development or	
	construction in a city	
	park that causes a	
	major change in the	
	use of the park or	
	some part thereof.	
	(d) To construct or	
	allow to be	
	constructed or	
	expanded in a city	
	park any street, road,	
	parking lot or	
	permanent above	
	ground structure,	
	including buildings,	
	power lines, motor	
	vehicle or utility	
	bridges and power	
	lines, other than	
	streets, roads, parking	
	lots or structures	
	needed to serve	
	primarily the purposes	
	for which the park was	
	established, including	
	park maintenance and	
	Park maintenance and	



operations. In no
event shall below
ground structures or
buried utilities be
allowed in a city park
without an approving
vote of the legal voters
if the below ground
structure or buried
utility affects or limits
above-ground park
uses. Any street, road,
parking lot or above
ground structures
existing in a city park
on the date of
adoption of this
Chapter that do not
comply with its
provisions, are
excepted from its
requirements, but any
subsequent additions
or alterations thereto
must comply with this
Section.
This section is not
intended to prevent or
require an approving
vote to allow
temporary structures,
tents, shelters and the
like to be erected in a
city park for
commercial or non-
recreational or
preservation uses so
preservation assesses



long as these
temporary structures
are erected and used
in conjunction with a
community based
event or park use of
the park. Furthermore,
this section is not
intended to control or
override adopted park
management and
operations that do not
otherwise constitute or
cause a major change
in the use of the park
or part thereof.
(Charter Amendment
adopted at a special
election held March 8,
2011).
Section 51 Parks
Designated.
The following city
parks, natural areas
and greenways, in
their current
configuration and size
and as may be
enlarged
subsequently, are city
parks as defined
herein and are subject
to the provisions of
this Chapter XI.
Parks:
- Atfalati Park, 660 SW
Sagert Street
Sayor Sussi



 <u> </u>	Ţ
- Brown's Ferry Park,	
5855 SW Nyberg	
Lane	
- Ibach Park, 10455	
SW Ibach Street	
- Jurgens Park 17255	
SW Jurgens Avenue	
- Lafky Park, 9655 SW	
Siletz Drive	
- Little Woodrose	
Nature Park, 21045	
SW 90 th Avenue	
- Saarinen Wayside	
Park, 20535 SW 86 th	
Avenue	
- Stoneridge Park,	
19489 SW 68 th	
Avenue	
- Sweek Pond Natural	
Area Park, 8700 SW	
Sweek Drive	
- Tualatin Commons,	
8325 SW Nyberg	
Street	
- Tualatin Commons	
Park, 7880 SW	
Nyberg Street	
- Tualatin Community	
Park, 8515 SW	
Tualatin Road	
Tualaun Road	
Natural Areas:	
- Johnnie and William	
Koller Wetland	
- Victoria Woods	
Natural Area	
- Sweek Woods	
Natural Area	



- Sequoia Ridge
Natural Area
- Hedges Creek
Natural Area
1.0.0.0.7 5.2
Greenways:
- Chieftain/Dakota
Greenway
- Hedges Creek
Greenway
- Hi-West Estates
Greenway
- Indian Meadows
Greenway
- Nyberg Creek
Greenway
- Nyberg Creek
(South) Greenway
- Saum Creek
Greenway
- Shaniko Greenway
- Tualatin River
Greenway
Section 52 Later
Acquired and
Expanded Parks.
Existing parks may be
expanded and
additional parks may
be created, acquired,
dedicated or
designated by the city
for park purposes.
Whenever any real
property is designated
as a city park, it shall
be subject to all of the



provisions of this
chapter XI, except that
the city's initial
acquisition of a parcel
of real estate may
include portions of
property and
improvements that are
not appropriate or
intended for city park
purposes. Nothing in
this Chapter shall
prevent or require an
approving vote to
dispose of non-park
portions of such a
property that is
acquired for park
purposes, so long as
the city disposes of
the non-park
portion(s) within two
years of acquisition or
dedication of the
larger property.

Property Tax Limitation					
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard
N/A	N/A	Chapter XI Section	N/A	N/A	Chapter XI Section
		47. Property Tax			48. Any urban
		Limitation. The			renewal plan or
		purpose of this			amendment thereof
		Section is to reduce			hereafter proposed or
		the ad valorem			adopted shall require
		property tax rate			that the plan,
		authorized to be			including the method
		levied by the City to			of financing same,



A control of the cont		
	reflect the amount	shall be approved by
	the City will no longer	the voters at a
	spend on fire,	regular or special
	emergency medical	City election in May
	and ambulance	or November, if such
	services if the City is	plan or amendment
	within the Tualatin	permits the City or
	Valley Fire and	the Agency to impose
	Rescue District	additional property
	(District).	taxes on properties
	(a) Except as	outside the urban
	provided in	renewal area to pay
	subsection (b) the	the debts or
	City Council is	obligations to be
	prohibited from	incurred in carrying
	levying more than	out the plan.
	\$2.50 of the City's	Notwithstanding the
	permanent ad	foregoing, separate
	valorem property tax	approval at an
	rate against any	election is not
	property within the	required for:
	District.	(1) Expenditures by
	(b) Commencing	the City, as
	with fiscal year	distinguished from
	2019/2020, and each	the urban renewal
	year thereafter, the	agency, which have
	City Council may	been duly identified
	increase the tax rate	and included in a
	limit established	duly adopted City
	under subsection (a)	budget; or
	by 3% of the prior	(2) Issuance of
	year's limit. Council	Bancroft bonds (ORS
	also may levy in	223.205 to 223.295)
	excess of the limit	in connection with
	specified in	assessments for local
	subsection (a), as	improvement
	increased under this	districts, if such
	subsection, if	
·	<u> </u>	



authorized by a	issuance is otherwise
measure approved	authorized by law.
by the voters.	
(c) This Section	
shall apply	
commencing with the	
City's 2018/19 fiscal	
year and continue in	
effect as long as the	
City remains within	
the boundaries of the	
District.	
(d) A local option or	
bond levy approved	
by the voters will	
neither be affected	
by, nor affect, this	
Section.	

	City Hall Building Construction				
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chapter X Section 45. REQUIRES VOTER APPROVAL BEFORE CITY EXPENDS RESOURCES TO CONSTRUCT ANY NEW CITY HALL BUILDING. "The city shall not expend resources on the construction of a new City Hall Building without first obtaining approval of a majority of voters casting	N/A



ballots during a
regularly scheduled
City election. A
regularly scheduled
city election shall be
defined as the general
election held on the
first Tuesday after the
first Monday of
November in even
numbered years or
such special election
called by the City
council for a statutorily
scheduled county
election date in March,
May, September or
November. Any ballot
proposal seeking such
approval must include
the total cost of
completing the
construction project in
its title caption. The
total cost of
construction must be
detailed in a proposal
summary and shall
include principal
construction costs,
infrastructure costs,
the commercially
zoned market value of
any land acquired or
appropriated for the
project, the maximum
cost of paying interest
on any bonded
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



indebtedness attached	
to the project, and an	
estimate of any other	
costs necessary to	
complete the project.	
The term 'City Hall	
Building' includes any	
significant structure	
housing one or more	
chief administrative	
functions of the city."	
Spending necessary	
to determine costs is	
not restricted.	

	City Resources for Homeless Encampments				
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard
N/A	N/A	Chapter XI Section 48. Use of City Resources for Homeless Encampments.	N/A	N/A	N/A
		(a) City resources may not be used to subsidize the construction or operation of a homeless encampment located within 1500 feet of a Newberg school.			
		(b) City resources may not be used to subsidize the construction or			



Ţ	ŢŢŢŢ
	operation of a
	homeless
	encampment unless
	approved by a Voter
	Authorization
	Measure subject to
	the following
	conditions:
	(1) The Voter
	Authorization
	Measure allowing the
	use of city resources
	for a specific homeless
	encampment must be
	in the form of a City
	ballot measure
	approved by a
	majority of votes at a
	primary or general
	election.
	(2) The ballot title of
	the Voter
	Authorization
	Measure must
	identify the location
	or proposed location
	of the homeless
	encampment using
	the physical
	address(es) of
	associated property
	and list the distance
	between the
	encampment and the
	three closest
	Newberg schools.



(3) Th	ballot title of	
a Voter /	uthorization	
Measure	must	
identify t	ne maximum	
	e of the city	
	s that may	
be autho		
	a specific	
homeles		
encamp		
Duration		
encamp		
	ation may not	
exceed		
period p		
	e measure	
	not exceed	
ten year		
l ton you	·	
(c) Defir	tions. As	
	nis Section:	
	y resources"	
	ne specific	
	n of monies	
or prope		
which th		
	s discretion,	
that cou		
	for uses	
	n homeless	
	nents, or,	
any sign		
	ns of city	
	, equipment	
	ipplies with	
	gate value	
	00 or more	
during a		
	∪ -	



	budget year. The
	limitations on the use
	of "city resources"
	within this section do
	not apply to the use
	or delivery of any
	emergency or public
	safety services, the
	delivery of other
	services to any
	person camping at
	least 1500 feet from
	a school, the conduct
	of routine city
	operations that
	impose obligations
	upon or provide
	incidental benefits to
	an existing homeless
	encampment or to a
	proposed homeless
	encampment, or to
	any costs arising
	from an election for a
	Voter Authorization
	Measure.
	(2) "Homeless
	encampment" means
	any property
	approved for use as
	an outdoor living
	space where tents,
	yurts, automobiles,
	recreational vehicles,
	or any housing
	structures lacking a
	foundation or modern
	indoor plumbing



	facilities used for
	transitional housing
	more than 90 days,
	or any section of
	public right of way
	where camping is
	allowed for purposes
	of temporary
	housing. This
	definition shall not
	apply to any
	properties or
	structures used to
	house persons
	physically displaced
	by fire, flood, natural
	disaster, or any other
	temporary, non-
	recurring emergency.
	(3) "Newberg
	school" means the
	grounds and
	buildings regularly
	and primarily used by
	any educational
	institution that offers
	at least six months of
	onsite curricula for
	the advancement of
	thirty or more K-12
	students toward an
	Oregon diploma
	operating within
	Newberg city limits. If
	a Newberg school
	commences
	operations after an
	election for a Voter
<u> </u>	



Authorization
Measure is called, or
after such measure is
enacted by voters,
that school's
proximity shall not
preempt the election
called nor prohibit the
use of city resources
so approved for a
homeless
encampment.
(d) Any ballot
measure submitted
for authorization
under this section
must comply with
state law. If
information required
by this measure
cannot be lawfully
included within the
ballot title of a
measure, it shall be
included in the
explanatory
statement for the
measure or by written
notice mailed to
every voter no more
than one week prior
to mailing of ballots.
(e) The district
attorney or any city
taxpayer may file suit
to enforce these



provisions against any public official who expends, or authorizes the expense of, moneys in excess of the amounts authorized by voters or for purposes not so authorized. A prevailing claimant may recoup, on behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure constituting	,		
who expends, or authorizes the expense of, moneys in excess of the amounts authorized by voters or for purposes not so authorized. A prevailing claimant may recoup, on behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure			
authorizes the expense of, moneys in excess of the amounts authorized by voters or for purposes not so authorized. A prevailing claimant may recoup, on behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure			
expense of, moneys in excess of the amounts authorized by voters or for purposes not so authorized. A prevailing claimant may recoup, on behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure			
in excess of the amounts authorized by voters or for purposes not so authorized. A prevailing claimant may recoup, on behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		authorizes the	
amounts authorized by voters or for purposes not so authorized. A prevailing claimant may recoup, on behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officiers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		expense of, moneys	
by voters or for purposes not so authorized. A prevailing claimant may recoup, on behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		in excess of the	
purposes not so authorized. A prevailing claimant may recoup, on behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		amounts authorized	
authorized. A prevailing claimant may recoup, on behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		by voters or for	
prevailing claimant may recoup, on behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		purposes not so	
may recoup, on behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		authorized. A	
may recoup, on behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		prevailing claimant	
behalf of the City, misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure			
misspent moneys from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure			
from any public official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure			
official found to be personally responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure			
responsible for unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		official found to be	
unauthorized expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		personally	
expenditures. Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure			
Consistent with ORS 30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		unauthorized	
30.285, the City shall defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		expenditures.	
defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		Consistent with ORS	
defend all public officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		30.285, the City shall	
officers from any such suit, however, no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		defend all public	
no city resources may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		officers from any	
may be used to indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		such suit, however,	
indemnify a public official from liability for any expenditure		no city resources	
official from liability for any expenditure		may be used to	
official from liability for any expenditure		indemnify a public	
for any expenditure			
		constituting	
malfeasance in office,			
or willful or wanton		or willful or wanton	
neglect of duty.		neglect of duty.	
If any portion of this		If any portion of this	
section is held to be			
unenforceable as a		unenforceable as a	



Home of the Italatin River National Wildlife Refuge		
	matter of law by a	
	court of competent	
	jurisdiction, it shall be	
	severed only to the	
	degree necessary to	
	correct the deficiency	
	and shall not alter the	
	remaining provisions.	

Amendments						
Sherwood	King City	Newberg	Tualatin	Wilsonville	Tigard	
N/A	N/A	N/A	Chapter X Section 41a. – Amendments. (a) The City Council of the City of Tualatin, Washington County, Oregon, is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to issue and sell general obligation bonds in the sum or sums not to exceed \$200,000. Said bonds are to be issued for the purpose of providing funds with which to acquire, construct, reconstruct, alter, enlarge, renew, replace, operate and maintain the City water distribution and the City sewage collection system. Said bonds shall bear interest at the rate not	N/A	N/A	



to exceed six percent
per annum and shall
be issued in amounts
and on such dates as
the City Council may
determine; provided,
that no bonds issued
hereunder shall bear a
maturity date
exceeding 25 years
from the date of
issuance.
(b) The debt
limitations as
contained in the
Charter of the City of
Tualatin shall not
apply to the bonds
hereby authorized, nor
shall any other
provisions and
limitations in said
Charter apply.
(c) The City Council
of the City of Tualatin,
Oregon, is hereby
authorized,
empowered and
directed to issue and
sell general obligation
bonds in the sum or
sums not to exceed
\$300,000 and to levy
and ad valorem
property tax to retire
said bonded
indebtedness. Said
bonds are to be
bolius are to be



issued for the purpose	
of providing funds to	
acquire and construct	
a City water reservoir	
system. Said bonds	
shall bear interest not	
to exceed a net	
effective rate of sever	
percent per annum	
and shall be issued in	
amounts and on such	
dates as the City	
Council may	
determine; provided,	
that no bonds issued	
hereunder shall bear	
maturity date	
exceeding 25 years	
from the date of	
issuance.	
(d) The debt	
limitations as	
contained in the Char	
of the City of Tualatin	
shall not apply to the	
bonds hereby	
authorized, nor shall	
any other provisions	
and limitations in said	
Charter apply.	
(e) The authority of	
the City Council to	
issue and sell general	
obligation bonds	
under Subsection (c)	
above is subject to the	
City entering into a	
grant agreement with	



the United Ct-t	
the United States	
Department of	
Housing and Urban	
Development for the	
receipt of a sum not	
less than \$300,000	
from said Department	
of Housing and Urban	
Development.	
(f) The City Council	
is hereby authorized,	
empowered and	
directed to purchase	
real estate by land	
sale contract to be	
used for park	
purposes and in	
connection with the	
City Community	
Center and incur	
indebtedness	
therefore in an amount	
not to exceed \$47,500	
in addition to interest	
thereon. The real	
property shall be paid	
for out of government	
and private matching	
funds and shall not be	
paid for by local	
property taxation.	
(g) The City Council	
is hereby authorized	
and empowered by	
ordinance to impose	
and levy a tax not	
exceeding five percent	
on gross amounts of	



	money, credit or other	
	things of value paid to	
	or received for lodging	
	by the owner or	
	operator of any hotel,	
	motel, apartment or	
	lodging house, mobile	
	home or trailer park or	
	court, or any other	
	place in the City	
	where space designed	
	or intended for lodging	
	occupancy is rented	
	by any person or	
	persons for any period	
	less than monthly.	
	This tax shall not	
	apply to hospitals,	
	convalescent or	
	nursing homes or	
	public institutions, or	
	permanent occupancy	
	as defined by	
	ordinance. The tax	
	imposed shall be	
	collected by the owner	
	or operator of the	
	rental space, in	
	addition to the rental	
	charge at the time of	
	payment of rent. City	
	revenues from such	
	taxes shall be credited	
	to the general fund of	
	the City and used for	
	general City purposes,	
	as the Council may	
	find appropriate.	
<u> </u>		





,		¢	۰	1		4	•
City	Population	Stipend or Reimbursement	Stipend Amount Mayor	Stipend Amount Council President	Amount Council	Stipend issued	Notes
Central Point	19,702	Stipend	\$250.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	Monthly	Paid monthly, with limited taxes taken out. Also reimbursed for expenses incurred while on official City business, including lodging. City issues tablet of choice and city email address.
Forest Grove	26,225	Stipend	\$300.00		\$200.00	monthy	\$800 for electronic device, plus\$20 per month; medical, vision and dental benefits (paid at 95%) and cost-of-living increase on July 1. Reimbursed for travel/training when expenses incurred.
Happy Valley	22,553	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Reimbursed for travel/training when expenses incurred.
Junction City	22,616	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	City issues laptop/tablet and city email address if requested.
Keizer	38,495	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Reimbursed for travel/training when expenses incurred.
Lake Oswego	39,500	Stipend	\$447.74	N/A	\$198.95	Monthly	Stipend increases annually on July 1 based on the CPI.
McMinnville	35,159	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Reimbursed for travel/training when expenses incurred.
Milwaukie	21,009	Stipend	\$369.00	N/A	\$307.00	Monthly	Stipend is based on the CPI
Milwaukie		Stipend	\$310.00	N/A	\$260.00	Monthly	Stipend is based on the CPI. Council receives Visa card for budgeted education/training (\$3,000 annually for council and \$5,000 annuall for mayor). City issues laptop/tablet.
Newberg	25,529	Stipend	\$300.00	N/A	\$200.00	Monthly	Reimbursed for travel/training when expenses incurred.
Redmond	36,000	Stipend	\$300.00	\$200.00	\$200.00	Monthly	
Roseburg	23,701	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sherwood	19,500	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Reimbursed for travel/training when expenses incurred.
Troutdale	16,433	Reimbursement	\$500.00	N/A	\$50.00	Monthly	City pays for all LOC conference expenses and any other expenses IF approved by Council. Plu MS Surface Pro for packets/city business
West Linn	26,583	Stipend	\$756.00	N/A	\$473.00	Quarterly	I divided their current number by 3 to get to a monthly number.

1

4/16/2024 **41**

CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE



Ryan Adams, City Attorney; Kristen Switzer, Assistant City Manager 23 APRIL 2024

Section 47.- Vote Required on Certain Taxes, Charges, and Fees.

After July 1, 2015, any ordinance, resolution or order approved by a majority of the City Council that imposes a new city tax, charge, or fee and/or increases by more than two percent annually any city utility tax, charge, or fee including but not limited to water charges, sewer and surface water charges, and street utility fees that are imposed on residential properties occupied by owners and/or occupants within the City of Sherwood boundaries, shall not be effective unless ratified by a majority vote of the City's qualified electors voting in an election where at least 50 percent of the registered voters cast a ballot, or the election is held in May of an even numbered year or November of any year.

Issue:

- The sewer fund is limited by section 47 of the charter
- Cannot afford to save for sewer replacement
- Inflation outpaces fees.
- Expenses outweigh ability to increase services to public
 - Water
 - Sewer
 - Streets
 - Storm

OPTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

- Remove "Sewer and Storm" from Section 47
- Tie increase to each utility's financial plan
- Tie any increase to CPI or ENR
- Raise from 2% to 4%
- Repeal Section 47

Section 47.- Vote Required on Certain Taxes, Charges, and Fees.

After July 1, 2015, any ordinance, resolution or order approved by a majority of the City Council that imposes a new city tax, charge, or fee and/or increases by more than two percent annually any city utility tax, charge, or fee including but not limited to water charges, sewer and surface water charges, and street utility fees that are imposed on residential properties occupied by owners and/or occupants within the City of Sherwood boundaries, shall not be effective unless ratified by a majority vote of the City's qualified electors voting in an election where at least 50 percent of the registered voters cast a ballot, or the election is held in May of an even numbered year or November of any year.

CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE



Ryan Adams, City Attorney; Kristen Switzer, Assistant City Manager 23 APRIL 2024