REPORT OF PAVEMENT AND GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

Ice Age Drive Final Design Between SW Oregon Street and SW 124th Avenue Sherwood, Oregon

For Kittelson & Associates, Inc. June 8, 2023

Project: SherwoodC-10-02



NIV 5

June 8, 2023

Kittelson & Associates, Inc. 851 SW 6th Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97204

Attention: Tony Roos

Report of Pavement and Geotechnical Engineering Services Ice Age Drive Final Design Between SW Oregon Street and SW 124th Avenue Sherwood, Oregon Project: SherwoodC-10-02

NV5 is pleased to submit this report of pavement and geotechnical engineering services for the construction of Ice Age Drive between SW Oregon Street and SW 124th Avenue in Sherwood, Oregon. This project has been prepared in general accordance with Amendment #1 dated March 16, 2023, of our Subconsultant Agreement to Kittelson & Associates, Inc., dated April 18, 2022.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you and the City of Sherwood. Please contact us if you have questions regarding this report.

Sincerely,

NV5

Jeffery D. Tucker, P.E., G.E. Principal Engineer

cc: Claire Dougherty, Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

JJP:SJ:JDT:kt Attachments One copy submitted Document ID: SherwoodC-10-02-060823-geor.docx © 2023 NV5 All rights reserved.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

GENERAL

NV5 performed pavement and geotechnical engineering services for the proposed Ice Age Drive new alignment and widening of SW Oregon Street. This section summarizes our explorations, findings, and recommendations whereas the report provides greater detail and should be used in implementing the recommendations summarized in this section. We recommend the following project considerations:

- We reviewed available information of the east and west ends of the alignment from prior projects, including developments on the east and west ends of the alignment and a water supply facility on the east side of the alignment.
- Shallow and surface basalt bedrock was observed in some explorations, which will result in difficult explorations and will likely include blasting and advanced trenching methods.
- Existing structures and improvements are present at or near the alignment. Accordingly, some undocumented fill and other concerns related to past improvements are likely during construction.
- We completed infiltration testing in one location. Further infiltration testing should be considered once final site infiltration locations are determined.
- The near-surface soil is primarily fine grained or rich in fines. This fine-grained soil is easily disturbed during wet weather or when at a moisture content that is above optimum. If not carefully executed, site preparation, grading, utility trench work, and roadway excavation in this soil can create extensive soft areas. Significant subgrade repair costs can result.
- Given the variable subgrade conditions from east to west on the alignment, including topsoil, forest duff, organic silt, and shallow basalt, field observations will be required to establish adequate pavement subgrade support during construction.

PAVEMENT

We reviewed the subsurface information and design standards from ODOT, AASHTO, and the City of Sherwood. We used the available information to estimate the future traffic loading on Ice Age Drive and the subgrade resilient modulus on the alignment. In addition, we reviewed the pavement design recommendations for the water treatment facility on the east end. We recommend the project team select one of the two following pavement thickness options:

Pavement Section Ice Age Drive – Based on Preliminary Traffic Information (5.0 inches of AC over 9.0 inches of aggregate base)

- 2.0 inches of Level 2, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP (surface course, same time as rehabilitation surface course)
- 3.0 inches of Level 2, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP (base course)
- 9.0 inches of aggregate base
- Stabilization aggregate (if required)
- Subgrade geotextile

Pavement Section Ice Age Drive – WWSP Project (6.0 inches of AC over 12.0 inches of aggregate base)

- 2.0 inches of Level 2, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP (surface course, same time as rehabilitation surface course)
- 4.0 inches of Level 2, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP (base course, two lifts)
- 12.0 inches of aggregate base
- Stabilization aggregate (if required)
- Subgrade geotextile

Pavement Section SW Oregon Street (variable thickness of AC and aggregate base)

- 8.0 to 12.0 inches of Level 3, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP
- 12.0 to 16.0 inches of aggregate base
- Stabilization aggregate (if required)
- Subgrade geotextile

Pavement Section East-West Roadway (5.0 inches of AC over 12.0 inches of aggregate base)

- 2.0 inches of Level 2, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP (surface course, same time as rehabilitation surface course)
- 3.0 inches of Level 2, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP (base course)
- 9.0 inches of aggregate base
- 3.0 inch leveling rock course
- Subgrade geotextile

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NV5

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
2.0	PURPOSE AND SCOPE	1
3.0	SITE CONDITIONS	2
	3.1 Surface Conditions	2
	3.2 Geologic Setting	2
	3.3 Subsurface Conditions	4
	3.4 Infiltration Testing	6
4.0	CONCLUSIONS	7
	4.1 General	7
	4.2 Pavement	7
	4.3 Infiltration Systems	11
5.0	CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS	12
	5.1 Erosion Control	12
	5.2 Site Preparation	12
	5.3 Wet Weather Considerations	13
	5.4 Excavation	14
	5.5 Materials	15
	5.6 Fill Placement and Compaction	18
6.0	OBSERVATION OF CONSTRUCTION	20
7.0	LIMITATIONS	20
REFE	RENCES	22
FIGUF	RES	
	Vicinity Map	Figure 1
	Site Plan	Figure 2
APPE	NDICES	
	Appendix A	
	Field Explorations	A-1
	Laboratory Testing	A-2
	Exploration Key	Table A-1
	Soil Classification System	Table A-2
	Rock Classification System	Table A-3
	Boring Logs	Figure A-1 – A-18
	Atterberg Limits Test Results	Figure A-19
	Summary of Laboratory Data	Figure A-20
	SPT Hammer Calibration	
	Appendix B	
	Prior Exploration at the Site	B-1
	Exploration Log and Laboratory Testing Results	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDICES (continued)	
Appendix C	
Prior Explorations in the Area	C-1
Exploration Logs and Laboratory Testing Results	
Appendix D	
ESAL Calculations	D-1
ESAL Calculation: Ice Age Drive	Table D-1

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AADT	annual average daily traffic
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
AC	asphalt concrete
ACP	asphalt concrete pavement
ADT	average daily traffic
AOS	apparent opening size
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BGS	below ground surface
BPA	Bonneville Power Administration
CRBG	Columbia River Basalt Group
DCP	dynamic cone penetrometer
ESAL	equivalent single-axle load
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
H:V	horizontal to vertical
Lidar	light detection and ranging
ODOT	Oregon Department of Transportation
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OSSC	2021 Oregon Standard Specifications for Construction
PG	performance graded
psi	pounds per square inch
RQD	rock quality designation
SPT	standard penetration test
SSC	Sherwood Commerce Center
WWSP	Willamette Water Supply Program

1.0 INTRODUCTION

NV5 is pleased to submit this report of pavement and geotechnical engineering services for construction of Ice Age Drive between SW Oregon Street and SW 124th Avenue in Sherwood, Oregon. We understand the City of Sherwood (City) will construct a new road within the project limits with a new stormwater collection system, lighting, and bicycle lanes, as well as the widening of SW Oregon Street.

Figure 1 shows the approximate location of the road section. Figure 2 provides a site plan identifying the approximate locations of our exploration and the project limits. Acronyms and abbreviations used herein are defined above, immediately following the Table of Contents.

1.1 BACKGROUND

Our recommendations are based on both the borings completed for this project and geotechnical explorations on nearby prior projects as outlined below:

- SW Dahlke Development (NV5, 2022b)
- Willamette Water Supply Program, WTP_1.0 Project (listed as the "WWSP project" in this report; McMillen Jacobs, 2020)
- Sherwood Commerce Center (listed as the "SCC project" in this report; GeoDesign, Inc., 2020)
- Preliminary Subsurface Investigation for Pre-purchase Due Diligence (listed as the "GRI project" in this report; GRI, 2016).

Explorations within the proposed Ice Age Drive alignment are shown on Figure 2. Exploration data includes borings, test pits, and air knife excavations from the WWSP project; test pits from the SCC project; test pits from the SW Dahlke Development; and depth to basalt from the GRI project.

2.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The geotechnical and pavement investigations were performed to provide recommendations for construction within the project limits. The pavement project elements are limited to pavement and geotechnical considerations with the following scope:

- Obtained one-call utility locates for our exploration and obtained permits through the City, Washington County, and BPA.
- Reviewed nearby geotechnical and geological reports provided by the City and from NV5's database.
- Drilled 18 borings with rock coring along the proposed alignment to depths between 15 and 30.9 feet BGS.
- Completed one infiltration test at a depth of 5 feet BGS.
- Conducted DCP testing at one boring location in SW Oregon Street.
- Evaluated DCP results and soil classification results to estimate the resilient modulus of the subgrade soil.

- Conducted the following laboratory tests on soil samples collected from the explorations:
 - Twelve moisture content determinations in general accordance with ASTM D2216
 - One particle-size analysis in general accordance with ASTM D1140 for use in infiltration calculations
 - Two Atterberg limits tests in general accordance with ASTM D4318
- Provided the results of the infiltration testing.
- Estimated the traffic loading by reviewing traffic counts from nearby projects and traffic analysis completed by the design team.
- Evaluated pavement options based on subgrade conditions, soil borings, laboratory testing results, and traffic calculations.
- Provided pavement recommendations for roadway construction.
- Provided recommendations for geotechnical construction materials.
- Provided construction recommendations for site preparation, utility installation, structural fill
 compaction criteria, and wet/dry weather earthwork procedures based on our explorations,
 our review of the nearby geotechnical information, and our assumptions.

3.0 SITE CONDITIONS

3.1 SURFACE CONDITIONS

The proposed alignment follows an approximately 4,500-foot-long, east to west, curved route. The approximate alignment location is shown on Figure 2. Site grades vary from elevations of approximately 210 to 255 feet above mean sea level. The area shows signs of varied past use. The alignment of Ice Age Drive is a largely unimproved area with grasses, gravel, trees, and exposed basalt intermixed with isolated areas that have assorted structures, such as power poles and fences, debris, random structures, and some paved areas.

3.2 GEOLOGIC SETTING

3.2.1 Regional Setting

The site is in the Tualatin Basin physiographic province, which is a northwest to southeasttrending, pull-apart sub-basin of the Willamette Valley (Wilson, 1998). The Tualatin Basin is separated from adjacent sub-basins of the Willamette Valley by slightly folded and faulted basalt flows of the CRBG, which form topographic divides between adjacent basins (Popowski, 1997). The Coast Range and Chehalem Mountains bound the Tualatin Basin to the west and south, respectively, and the Tualatin Mountains (Portland Hills) bound the Portland Basin to the north and east. The region has undergone large-scale and localized tectonic activity, which has contributed to form the hills and valleys in the Willamette Valley.

3.2.2 Site Geology

The generalized geologic profile of the site consists of recent alluvium, catastrophic Missoula flood deposits, and basalt bedrock of the CRBG. The mapped geologic units are generally composed of unconsolidated sediments derived from transport and deposition processes and from in-place weathering of volcanic bedrock. The CRBG underlies the sedimentary deposits along the proposed alignment and is considered the basement material for the site (Burns et al., 1997; Schlicker and Deacon, 1967).

The following sections describe the specific geologic units that are mapped at the site and were also described in subsurface explorations conducted by others on the site.

3.2.2.1 Recent Alluvium

Holocene alluvium consists of unconsolidated gravel, sand, silt, and clay soil deposited in the last 10,000 years along stream and river drainages and is found within the site vicinity in the Tualatin Valley and along Rock and Coffee Lake creeks.

3.2.2.2 Missoula Flood Deposits

The recent alluvium is underlain by Pleistocene Age (15,500 to 13,000 years before present) catastrophic Missoula flood deposits, which consist of poorly consolidated, fine- to coarse-grained sand, silt, and clay. The Missoula flood deposits resulted from a series of catastrophic late Pleistocene glacial outburst floods. During this time interval, enormous floods would periodically flow across eastern Washington and down the Columbia River Valley caused by failures of a glacial ice dam that impounded a large lake located in southwestern Montana (Lake Missoula). Floodwater would inundate the Willamette Valley and Tualatin Basin, leaving deposits of gravel, sand, and silt to elevations ranging from 250 to 400 feet.

In the general vicinity of the site, the Missoula floodwaters were large enough to overtop the preexisting topographic divide between the Tualatin Valley and Willamette Valley near Sherwood, Oregon. High velocity floodwaters carved deep channels into the CRBG in the area, creating what is known as the Tonquin Scablands (Wilson, 1998). In places, the floodwaters removed decomposed and weathered basalt and eventually down cut and entrenched into less weathered material. Evidence of numerous scoured bedrock channels near the site are identifiable using LiDAR data.

Based on mapping in the area, Missoula flood deposits are anticipated along the west boundary of the site. The flood deposits are generally thin and lap onto the weathered surface of the CRBG, which occupies higher elevations at the site.

3.2.2.3 CRBG

Underlying the alluvium and flood deposits is the middle Miocene Age (20 million to 10 million years before present) CRBG. The CRBG represents the oldest geologic unit encountered at the site, which is exposed in outcrops and quarry excavations on the site and forms many of the topographic highlands within the Tualatin Valley (Wilson, 1998). The CRBG is up to 1,000 feet thick within the Tualatin Valley (Schlicker and Deacon, 1967) with individual flows ranging between 10 and 100 feet thick. The CRBG is composed of a series of basalt flows erupted from linear vent systems in southeastern Washington that flowed down the course of the ancestral Columbia River until reaching the Pacific Ocean. Some of these lava flows ponded and cooled in the northern Willamette Valley, resulting in a stacked series of basalt units. Sediments deposited on the surface of an individual basalt flow would be covered by subsequent flows, resulting in a stacked sequence of basalt flows and sedimentary interbeds. These thick flows were subsequently folded and faulted by compressional tectonics in the region.

An idealized CRBG lava flow consists of two sub-units, termed the flow top and flow interior. The flow top is often a porous, vesicular zone resulting from gas bubbles trapped during rapid cooling

of the lava surface. This zone is typically intensely to moderately fractured or brecciated, the result of rapid cooling, and both vesicles and fractures may be partially filled by secondary mineralization. The flow bottom is similar to the flow top, except the weathering may not be as severe. The flow interior typically consists of very dense, moderately fractured basalt with a high mechanical strength due to crystalline mineral formation resulting from slower cooling of the lava.

A hiatus between lava flow emplacements can create conditions of deep weathering of the basalt, resulting in a breakdown of the rock minerals to clay components forming a soil horizon (saprolite). The hiatus periods may have resulted in thick sections of severely weathered basalt and deposition of sedimentary interbeds between basalt flow units. Unweathered exposures of Columbia River basalt flow interiors are excellent sources of crushed aggregate. Several active quarries in the CRBG are located east and southeast of the study area (Tigard Sand and Gravel Quarry and Knife River-Coffee Lake Quarry). Where the CRBG was exposed for an extensive period of time, the rock is decomposed to form a thick, lateritic soil consisting of clayey gravel or clayey sand containing cobbles and boulders.

3.3 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

We drilled 18 borings (B-1 through B-18) to depths between 15 and 30.9 feet BGS at the approximate locations shown on Figure 2. The exploration logs and laboratory testing results are presented in Appendix A. A boring was completed during the 30 percent design phase and the log is presented in Appendix B. Information from additional explorations available from projects in the area as discussed in the "Background" section, which is presented in Appendix C. The approximate locations of all previous explorations are also shown on Figure 2.

Exploration data and soil logs from prior projects in the area vary in the amount of information available at this time. The prior project explorations close to the preliminary Ice Age Drive alignment include 1 boring log (B-4 from the WWSP project), 14 test pits (4 from the SCC project, 9 from the SW Dahlke Development project, and 1 from the WWSP project), 8 air-track excavations from the WWSP project, and 5 borings showing only location and depth to bedrock from the GRI project.

3.3.1 SW Oregon Street Pavement Section

Boring B-18 was completed in SW Oregon Street to a depth of approximately 30.9 feet BGS. The pavement section observed consisted of 12 inches of AC overlying a 12-inch-thick aggregate base rock section.

3.3.2 Fill

Fill consisting of crushed rock surfacing over very dense gravel with silt and sand was observed in the boring completed during the preliminary design phase (B-1; NV5, 2022a) to a depth of 5 feet BGS. A 2- to 8-inch-thick layer of crushed rock surfacing was observed in borings B-15, B-16, and B-17.

3.3.3 Forest Duff/Topsoil/Organic Silt

A 2- to 6-inch-thick forest duff layer was encountered at the ground surface at several of the explorations near the west side of the proposed alignment. Topsoil and organic silt were

identified in many of the WWSP air knife explorations as well as at WWSP-B-4; WWSP-TP-3; and the SW Dahlke Development test pits TP-1, TP-2, and TP-4 through TP-9. In addition, the surface soil generally includes a 12- to 22-inch-thick topsoil layer as well as a 4- to 8-inch-thick root zone. The topsoil/organic silt generally consists of very soft to stiff silt and includes variable amounts of organics, sand, gravel, clay, cobbles, and boulders.

3.3.4 Alluvium

The forest duff and topsoil are underlain by silt and clay at the western SCC project test pits within the preliminary alignment. The silt or clay extends to depths between 1.3 and 3 feet BGS. The silt and clay are generally medium stiff to stiff and typically include sand, gravel, cobbles, and boulders.

3.3.5 Decomposed Basalt/Residual Soil

Decomposed basalt and/or residual soil was encountered below the fill, alluvium, and topsoil layers in most of the explorations. In general, the soil unit consists of medium dense to very dense gravel with varying silt and clay content with an interbed of medium stiff to stiff silt and clay. Laboratory testing on select samples indicates moisture contents ranging from 17 to 38 percent at the time of our explorations.

3.3.6 Weathered Basalt and Basalt Bedrock

Weathered basalt and basalt bedrock was identified in 27 of the explorations. Table 1 provides a summary of depth to basalt.

Exploration	Depth to Basalt (feet BGS)
B-12	10
B-13	6
B-14	10
B-15	5
B-16	5
B-17	5
B-1 (NV5, 2022a)	13
GRI-B-28	Surface
WWSP-P-14	6
GRI-B-29	Surface
GRI-B-30	2
WWSP-P-13	2
WWSP-P-12	3
WWSP-P-11	2
WWSP-TP-3	2
WWSP-P-10	6
GRI-B-16	5
WWSP-P-9	7

Table 1. Basalt in the Explorations

Exploration	Depth to Basalt (feet BGS)
WWSP-P-8	9
GRI-B-18	4
WWSP-P-7	16
WWSP-B-4	3
TP-5 (NV5, 2022b)	5
TP-6 (NV5, 2022b)	5
TP-7 (NV5, 2022b)	6.5
TP-8 (NV5, 2022b)	6.5
TP-9 (NV5, 2022b)	7.5

Table 1. Basalt in the Explorations (continued)

Borings from the GRI project and air knife explorations from the WWSP project limit information to generalized basalt characteristics. In general, based on borings B-1 (NV5, 2022a), B-12 through B-17, and WWSP-B-4 and our interpretation of the air knife logs, the basalt is highly weathered to fresh and varies from soft (R2) to very strong (R5). Tests for unconfined compressive strength from the WWSP project range from 12,000 to 34,000 psi throughout all WWSP borings (on and outside of the proposed alignment).

3.3.7 Groundwater

During explorations for the SW Dahlke Development in December 2022, groundwater seepage was observed in test pits TP-1, TP-2, TP-4, and TP-6 at depths between 1.5 and 8 feet BGS, with approximately 6 to 8 inches of standing surface water observed in the north portion of the site. Nearby depth to groundwater mapping suggests that groundwater in the area may be present at an elevation of approximately 170 feet (Snyder, 2008), which is well below most of the site. In our opinion, the water seepage observed during our explorations is perched water. Perched groundwater zones are likely to occur along the top of the basalt, particularly during extended periods of wet weather. The depth to groundwater may fluctuate in response to prolonged rainfall, seasonal changes, changes in surface topography, and other factors not observed during this study.

3.4 INFILTRATION TESTING

We conducted one infiltration test at a depth of 5 feet BGS in boring B-1 (NV5, 2022a) and an infiltration test in boring B-4 at a depth of 5 feet BGS. The infiltration testing was performed using the encased falling head method. The exposed soil was saturated prior to performing the testing. The infiltration testing was performed with 2 feet of water head. We evaluated the records of water head and time to approximate the unfactored infiltration rate of each test. A representative soil sample was collected below the infiltration test depths for particle-size analysis.

Table 2 summarizes the results of infiltration testing and particle-size analyses. The exploration logs and results of particle-size analyses are presented in Appendix A and B.

Table 2.	Infiltration	Test Results	

Location	Depth (feet BGS)	Soil Type at Test Depth	Measured Infiltration Rate (inches per hour)	Fines Content ¹ (percent)
B-1 (NV5, 2022a)	5	SILT with gravel	>100	Not tested
B-4	5	Clayey SAND	2.6	34

1. Material passing the U.S. Standard No. 200 sieve

As summarized in Table 2, the shallow soil at the location of boring B-4 has very low infiltration capacity.

The infiltration rates presented in Table 2 are short-term field rates and factors of safety have not been applied for the type of infiltration system being considered. Correction factors should be applied to the measured infiltration rate to account for soil variations and the potential for long-term clogging due to siltation and buildup of organic material. Without additional testing, from a geotechnical perspective, we recommend a minimum factor of safety of at least 2 be applied to the field infiltration values presented in Table 2 to account for soil variability with depth.

Other infiltration testing performed in the area has resulted in variable infiltration rates from 0 to in excess of 100 inches per hour and are highly dependent on the location and depth of testing.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

4.1 GENERAL

Based on the results of our subsurface explorations, our review of prior geotechnical reports in the area, and our engineering analysis, the geotechnical site conditions are suitable for the proposed project.

4.2 PAVEMENT

The standards used for pavement design are as follows:

- ODOT Pavement Design Guide (ODOT, 2011), herein referred to as the ODOT guide
- AASHTO Guide for Design of Pavement Structures (AASHTO, 1993), herein referred to as the AASHTO guide
- City of Sherwood Engineering Design and Standard Details Manual (City of Sherwood, 2022), herein referred to as the City manual

Descriptions of our input parameters and the recommended pavement designs are summarized below. If any of our design assumptions are incorrect, our office should be contacted with the appropriate information so that the pavement designs can be revised. Our specific recommendations for design and construction of the roadway are presented in the following sections. These should be incorporated into the design and implemented during construction.

4.2.1 Ice Age Drive

4.2.1.1 ESAL Calculations

As Ice Age Drive will be new construction, traffic information is estimated based on expected development and nearby data. Based on discussions with Kittelson & Associates, we understand that the ADT is assumed to be a maximum of 5,000 vehicles per day at full build out. For heavy vehicle distribution, the City provided vehicle classification count information for SW Oregon Street just east of SW Murdock Road in the eastbound direction obtained on March 3, 2016. We used the distribution from the traffic classification counts, together with the provided ADT and an assumed compound growth rate of 1.5 percent. We used the procedure in the ODOT guide to estimate a design 20-year ESAL of 402,000. Our calculation sheet is presented in Appendix D.

4.2.1.2 Design Parameters

Other pavement design parameters used in our analysis are as recommended by the ODOT and/or AASHTO guides. These input parameters are summarized as follows:

- Reliability of 85 percent for the collector road section
- An assumed resilient modulus of 7,000 psi based on our review of the subsurface information
- Overall standard deviation value of 0.49
- Initial and terminal serviceability values of 4.2 and 2.5, respectively
- Structural layer coefficients of 0.42 and 0.10 for new AC and new aggregate base, respectively
- Resilient modulus of 20,000 psi for new aggregate base
- Drainage coefficient of 1.0 for aggregate base

4.2.1.3 Required Structural Number

We used the procedure in the AASHTO guide to determine the required rehabilitation structural number based on our calculations and assumptions listed above. We recommend a required structural number of 3.18 for 20-year ESALs.

4.2.1.4 New AC Pavement

We calculated a pavement section of 5.0 inches of ACP over 9.0 inches of aggregate base for Ice Age Drive; however, plans from the WWSP project show a pavement section of 6.0 inches of AC over 12.0 inches of aggregate base. The materials recommended should conform to the specifications presented in the "Pavement Materials" section. Our recommended structure, showing the two options, is as follows:

Pavement Section Ice Age Drive – Based on Preliminary Traffic Information (5.0 inches of AC over 9.0 inches of aggregate base)

- 2.0 inches of Level 2, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP (surface course, same time as rehabilitation surface course)
- 3.0 inches of Level 2, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP (base course)
- 9.0 inches of aggregate base
- Stabilization aggregate (if required)
- Subgrade geotextile

Pavement Section Ice Age Drive – WWSP Project (6.0 inches of AC over 12.0 inches of aggregate base)

- 2.0 inches of Level 2, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP (surface course, same time as rehabilitation surface course)
- 4.0 inches of Level 2, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP (base course, two lifts)
- 12.0 inches of aggregate base
- Stabilization aggregate (if required)
- Subgrade geotextile

Note that the aggregate base sections above may not be practical in all areas due to the potential for both undocumented fill (requiring stabilization aggregate) as well as shallow basalt (base section can be reduced to 4.0 inches). In either case, field conditions should be observed during construction and the pavement base materials should be adjusted based subgrade observations and discussions with the City and the project team.

4.2.2 SW Oregon Street

We understand that a section of SW Oregon Street between approximately Stations 48+50 and 58+00 will be widened. Pavement design and recommendations for the widening are discussed below.

4.2.2.1 ESAL Calculations

We used traffic counts and classification data for SW Oregon Street (southwest of SW Tualatin Sherwood Road) from the ODOT TransGIS database. We assumed a 1.5 percent annual traffic growth rate for ESAL calculations. A summary of traffic inputs is presented in Table 3.

Traffic Section	2021 Two-Way AADT	Truck Percentage	Annual Traffic Growth Rate (percent)
SW Oregon Street, southwest of SW Tualatin Sherwood Road	7,728	14.6	1.5

Table 3. Traffic Input Summary

We calculated ESALs using the ODOT method for a 20-year design life. We selected 2,841,000 as the design ESALs for this section.

4.2.2.2 Subgrade Resilient Modulus

Borings B-1, B-2, and B-4 were drilled in the vicinity of the widening area. Boring B-18 was drilled in the existing pavement on SW Oregon Street. The subgrade encountered within the upper 4 feet in borings B-1, B-2, and B-4 generally consists of stiff to very stiff silt and clay with varying amounts of sand and gravel. The subgrade encountered within the upper 4.5 feet in boring B-18 generally consists of medium stiff to stiff silt with trace amounts of sand. We performed DCP testing at the location of B-18, and the estimated subgrade resilient modulus based on this test is 4,750 psi. We used this value as the design resilient modulus for subgrade.

4.2.2.3 Other Design Parameters

Other pavement design parameters used in our analysis are summarized in Table 4. These input parameters are recommended in the ODOT guide.

Parameter	Design Value
Design Reliability Level, percent	85 (Urban Minor Arterial)
Initial Serviceability, Po	4.2
Terminal Serviceability, Pt	2.5
Standard Deviation	0.49
New AC Layer Coefficient	0.42
New Aggregate Base Layer Coefficient	0.10
New Aggregate Base Resilient Modulus, psi	20,000

Table 4. Other Design Parameters

4.2.2.4 Required Structural Number for New AC Pavement

We used the procedures in the AASHTO guide to determine the required structural number for use in our design based on the estimated ESALs, subgrade resilient modulus, and the other design parameters discussed above. The required 20-year structural number amounted to 4.71.

4.2.2.5 Pavement Design

We performed the pavement design according to AASHTO procedures. Table 5 shows the 20-year design alternatives.

Table 5.	New 20-Year	Design	Alternatives
----------	-------------	--------	--------------

Design Section Design Alternative		AC Thickness (inches)	Aggregate Base Thickness (inches)
SW Oregon Street	1 (Minimum AC Thickness)	7.0	18.0
SW Oregon Street	2	8.0	14.0

4.2.2.6 Pavement Widening Recommendations

The existing pavement consists of 12.0 inches of AC over 12.0 inches of aggregate base according to the data from boring B-18. ODOT expresses that pavement widening must provide adequate drainage from underneath the existing pavement. This may require constructing the

top of subgrade for the widening at the same elevation as the existing subgrade or, alternatively, an underdrain must be provided at the edge of the existing pavement that outlets beyond the new pavement structure of the widening.

Assuming that the existing pavement structure is uniform throughout the widening limits, any of the alternatives shown in Table 6 would satisfy the drainage depth requirements for the option with no underdrain.

Widening Section	Widening Alternative	AC Thickness ¹ (inches)	Aggregate Base Thickness (inches)
SW Oregon Street	1	8.0	16.0
SW Oregon Street	2	9.0	15.0
SW Oregon Street	3	10.0	14.0
SW Oregon Street	4	12.0	12.0

Table 6. Pavement Widening Alternatives

1. Level 3, 1/2-inch, dense ACP

The fourth alternative in Table 6 is to match the existing pavement structure.

4.2.3 East-West Roadway

We understand that a portion of the east-west roadway from Station 1+00 to 6+62 will be constructed as part of the Ace Age Drive project. We understand that the remainder of the east-west roadway will be constructed as part of the SCC project. The approved pavement section for the SCC portion of the roadway is as follows:

- 2.0 inches of Level 2, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP (surface course, same time as rehabilitation surface course)
- 3.0 inches of Level 2, ¹/₂-inch, dense ACP (base course)
- 9.0 inches of aggregate base
- 3.0 inch leveling rock course
- Subgrade geotextile

We recommend that the east-west roadway pavement section match the proposed section.

4.3 INFILTRATION SYSTEMS

We understand stormwater infiltration systems are being considered for the proposed development. Infiltration testing was performed in boring B-4 and in boring B-1 (NV5, 2022a). The laboratory testing indicates the near-surface soil has a high fines content.

We note that the weathered to intact basalt encountered in our explorations, which caused refusal to excavation in the SW Dahlke Development project test pits, effectively serves as an aquitard below the gravel material and will likely limit long-term/sustained infiltration. The depth to the weathered/intact basalt (where refusal to excavation was encountered) is highly variable

across the site (see Table 1). We also note that due to the presence of weathered/intact basalt, infiltration water will likely migrate laterally and potentially outside the project boundary and could adversely impact neighboring properties.

Based on the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions observed in our explorations, we do not anticipate infiltration will be effective for stormwater management at the proposed infiltration pond locations.

5.0 CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 EROSION CONTROL

When exposed, the soil at this site can be eroded by wind and water; therefore, erosion control measures should be carefully planned and in place before construction begins. Where feasible, existing pavement and aggregate base should be left in place to protect the ground surface. Measures employed to reduce erosion include, but are not limited to, silt fences, hay bales, plastic sheeting, buffer zones of natural growth, and sedimentation ponds.

5.2 SITE PREPARATION

5.2.1 Demolition

The limits of the required demolition should be determined by the project engineer and the City, although they should include all improvements that will impede construction of the new improvements.

Demolition should include removal of existing pavement, concrete curbs, abandoned utilities, structures, and other buried elements. Demolition material should be transported off site for disposal or recycled and used on site if the material is acceptable for use as structural fill. The sides and bottom of excavations should be cut into firm material and sloped at an inclination no steeper than 1H:1V prior to installing structural fill. The resulting excavations should be backfilled with structural fill. Utility lines should be completely removed or grouted full if left in place.

5.2.2 Stripping and Clearing

Forest duff, organic silt, and topsoil are located across portions of the site. The existing topsoil, forest duff, and root zone should be stripped and removed from all proposed pavement and improvement areas. We anticipate an average stripping depth of approximately 4 to 6 inches. The actual stripping depth should be based on field observation at the time of construction. Stripped material should be transported off site for disposal or used in landscaped areas.

Existing trees and shrubs should be removed from all pavement and improvement areas. In addition, root balls should be grubbed out to the depth of the roots, which could exceed 3 feet BGS. Depending on the methods used to remove root balls, considerable disturbance and loosening of the subgrade could occur during site grubbing. We recommend that soil disturbed during grubbing operations be removed to expose firm, undisturbed subgrade. The resulting excavations should be backfilled with structural fill.

5.2.3 Subgrade Evaluation

Upon completion of excavation and prior to the placement of fill, the exposed subgrade should be evaluated by proof rolling. The subgrade should be proof rolled with a fully loaded dump truck or similarly heavy, rubber tire construction equipment to identify soft, loose, or unsuitable areas. A member of our geotechnical staff should observe proof rolling to evaluate yielding of the ground surface. During wet weather or in areas that cannot be accessed by trucks, subgrade evaluation should be performed by probing with a foundation probe rather than proof rolling. Areas that appear soft or loose should be improved in accordance with subsequent sections of this report.

5.2.4 Construction Considerations

The native soil contains high amounts of fine-grained soil that can be disturbed when wet. If not carefully executed, site preparation, utility trench work, and foundation excavation will create extensive soft areas and significant subgrade repair costs will result. The construction methods and schedule should be carefully considered with respect to preventing trafficking on the subgrade to reduce the need to over-excavate disturbed or softened soil. Site preparation and grading may encounter shallow basalt bedrock, which will require specialized construction equipment and methods.

5.3 WET WEATHER CONSIDERATIONS

The fine-grained soil present on this site is easily disturbed during the wet season. Trafficability on the near-surface soil will likely be possible during dry periods but difficult during extended wet periods. When wet, the surface soil at the site may be easily disturbed and typically provides inadequate support for construction equipment. If not carefully executed, construction activities can create extensive soft areas and significant subgrade repair costs can result. If construction is planned when the surficial soil is wet or may become wet, the construction methods and schedule should be carefully considered with respect to protecting the subgrade to reduce the need to over-excavate disturbed or softened soil. The project budget should reflect the recommendations below if construction is planned during wet weather or when the surficial soil is wet.

In general, a 12- to 18-inch-thick granular pad is sufficient for light staging areas but is not expected to be adequate to support heavy equipment or truck traffic for haul roads and areas with repeated heavy construction. In our experience, an 18- to 24-inch-thick section should be adequate. The actual thickness of haul roads and staging areas should be based on the contractor's approach to site development and the amount and type of construction traffic. Consequently, the contractor should be responsible for selecting the locations of staging areas and haul roads and selecting the appropriate thickness of granular material for these areas.

The imported granular material should be placed in one lift over the prepared, undisturbed subgrade and compacted using a smooth-drum roller without the use of vibratory action. The granular material should meet the specifications for imported granular material in the "Structural Fill" section. In addition, a geotextile fabric can be placed as a barrier between the subgrade and granular material in areas of repeated construction traffic, if required. The geotextile should have a minimum Mullen burst strength of 250 psi and an AOS between U.S. Standard No. 70 and No. 100 sieves.

5.4 EXCAVATION

5.4.1 General Excavation

Most trench cuts should stand vertical to a depth of approximately 4 feet, provided groundwater seepage does not occur. However, sloughing is likely with trench cuts extending into gravel encountered in the test pits for the SCC project and our borings, gravel fill, and likely in undocumented fill, if encountered. Open excavation techniques may be used to excavate trenches with depths between 4 and 10 feet BGS, provided the walls of the excavation are cut at a slope of 1.5H:1V and groundwater seepage is not present. Steeper excavations will be possible in the intact basalt bedrock. Sloughing and caving should also be anticipated if an excavation encounters perched water or seepage or due to the presence of cobbles and boulders. The walls of the trench should be flattened or braced for stability and the area dewatered if seepage is encountered. If shoring is used, we recommend that the type and design of the shoring system be the responsibility of the contractor, who is in the best position to choose a system that fits the overall plan of operation. All shoring should take into consideration the additional depth of excavation associated with subgrade stabilization if required.

5.4.2 Trench Rock Excavation

Decomposed basalt and intact basalt bedrock will be encountered during trench excavations and will result in difficult excavations. Cobbles and boulders were encountered in some of the explorations and are often encountered within the decomposed basalt, which will result in difficult excavation, excavations wider than anticipated, and larger trench volumes and may require specialized equipment. Excavations into the more intact basalt will require rock excavation techniques such as blasting, vertical drilling to weaken the rock, rock hammering, or directional drilling. Blasting, if attempted, will be difficult and need careful planning given the residential/commercial development in the area as well as the presence of existing utilities. Blasting adjacent to commercial and residential structures and utilities will require vibration monitoring. Per OSSC 00335 (Blasting Methods and Protection of Excavation Backslopes), a blasting consultant should be retained by the contractor to prepare a blasting plan for approval by the engineer. Blasting should be performed in accordance with OSSC 00405.42 (Rock Excavation).

5.4.3 Dewatering

Perched water and seepage could be encountered within trench excavations, especially during the rainy season. Dewatering should be expected for deeper excavations and shallow explorations during extended wet weather. The sidewalls of the trenches will need to be shored or flattened if seepage is encountered. If water is present at the base of excavations, we recommend over-excavating the subgrade by 12 to 18 inches and placing stabilization rock in the base. Dewatering of excavations is the sole responsibility of the contractor, as the contractor is in the best position to select these systems based on their means and methods.

5.4.4 Permanent Slopes

Permanent cut and fill slopes should not exceed 2H:1V. Access roads and pavement should be located at least 5 feet from the top of cut and fill slopes. The slopes should be planted with appropriate vegetation to provide protection against erosion as soon as possible after grading. Surface water runoff should be collected and directed away from slopes to prevent water from running down the face of the slope.

5.4.4.1 Stormwater Facility Slopes

The proposed stormwater facility located near SW Oregon Street is anticipated to have cuts of 5 to 10 feet below the existing ground surface. Boring B-4 is located in the approximate vicinity of the stormwater facility. We encountered decomposed basalt consisting of layers of very stiff clay and medium dense to very dense, clayey sand to 15.8 feet BGS. Slopes for the stormwater facility in the clay and clayey sand soil should not exceed 2H:1V.

5.4.5 Temporary Drainage

In addition to erosion control measures (see "Erosion Control" section), during mass grading at the site, the contractor should be made responsible for temporary drainage of surface water as necessary to prevent standing water and/or erosion at the working surface.

5.4.6 Safety

All excavations should be performed in accordance with applicable OSHA and state regulations. While we have described certain approaches to excavations in the foregoing discussions, the contractor is responsible for selecting the excavation and dewatering methods, monitoring the trench excavations for safety, and providing shoring as required to protect personnel and adjacent structures.

5.5 MATERIALS

5.5.1 Structural Fill

5.5.1.1 General

A variety of material may be used as structural fill at the site. Fill should only be placed over subgrade that has been prepared in conformance with the "Site Preparation" section. Structural fill should meet the specifications provided in OSSC 00330 (Earthwork), OSSC 00400 (Drainage and Sewers), and OSSC 02600 (Aggregates), depending on the application. All structural fill should have a maximum particle size of 4 inches, unless otherwise indicated. A brief characterization of some of the acceptable materials and our recommendations for their use as structural fill are provided below.

A submittal should be made for each material prior to the start of construction. Each submittal should include the test information necessary to evaluate the degree to which the material's properties comply with the properties that were recommended or specified. The geotechnical engineer and other appropriate members of the design team should review each submittal.

5.5.1.2 On-Site Soil

The on-site silt, clay, and gravel is generally suitable for structural fill, provided that boulders and particles greater than 6 inches in diameter are removed or processed as described in the "Recycled On-Site Materials" section. From a geotechnical perspective, the soil is suitable for use as structural fill, provided it meets the specifications provided in OSSC 00330.12 (Borrow Material). Laboratory testing indicates that the on-site silt/clay soil was generally above optimum moisture content at the time of exploration. Significant moisture conditioning (drying) will be required to use on-site silt/clay soil for structural fill. Accordingly, extended dry weather will be required to adequately condition and place the silt/clay soil as structural fill. It will be difficult, if

not impossible, to adequately compact the silt/clay soil during the rainy season or during prolonged periods of rainfall, unless it is cement amended. In general, silt/clay soil should only be used as structural fill during the dry summer months.

The gravel unit contains varying proportions of clay, silt, cobbles, and boulders. Portions of the gravel containing more than approximately 10 percent silt/clay particles will be difficult to compact during periods of wet weather. Boulders and cobbles over 8 inches in diameter should be removed if the material is used as structural fill. Large equipment with high energy will be needed to adequately compact gravel soil containing cobbles.

When used as structural fill, the on-site soil should be placed in lifts with a maximum uncompacted thickness of 12 inches and the fine-grained soil compacted to not less than 92 percent of the maximum dry density and the coarse-grained soil compacted to not less than 95 percent of the maximum dry density, as determined AASHTO T 99.

5.5.1.3 Recycled On-Site Materials

The on-site excavated rock, boulders, and large cobbles may be used as fill if processed to meet the requirements for their intended use. Processing includes crushing and screening, grinding in place, or other methods to meet the requirements for structural fill. The processed material should be fairly well graded and contain no metal, organic, or other deleterious material. The processed material may be mixed with on-site silt and clay or imported fill to assist in achieving the gradation requirements. We recommend that the processed recycled fill have the appropriate maximum particle size as presented in Table 7.

Depth of Placement ¹ (feet BGS)	Maximum Particle Size (inches)	
0 to 2	Not recommended	
2 to 6	4	
6 to 10	8	
Deeper than 10	12	

Table 7. Processed Fill Maximum Particle Size

1. Below subgrade of structural element

5.5.1.4 Imported Granular Material

Imported granular material used as structural fill should be pit- or quarry-run rock, crushed rock, or crushed gravel and sand and should meet the specifications provided in OSSC 00330.14 (Selected Granular Backfill) or OSSC 00330.15 (Selected Stone Backfill). The imported granular material should also be angular, should be fairly well graded between coarse and fine material, should have less than 5 percent by dry weight passing the U.S. Standard No. 200 sieve, and should have at least two mechanically fractured faces. Imported granular material should be placed in accordance with OSSC 00596B.47 (Backfill Placement).

5.5.1.5 Stabilization Material

Stabilization material should consist of pit- or quarry-run rock, crushed rock, or crushed gravel and should meet the specifications provided in OSSC 00330.16 (Stone Embankment Material). In addition, the material should have a maximum particle size of 6 inches, should have less than 5 percent by dry weight passing the U.S. Standard No. 4 sieve, and should have at least two mechanically fractured faces. The material should be free of organic material and other deleterious material. Stabilization material should be placed in lifts between 12 and 18 inches thick and compacted to a firm condition.

Where stabilization material is used to stabilize construction haul roads, a geotextile should be placed as a barrier between the soil subgrade and the imported granular material. Placement of the imported granular fill should be done in conformance with the specifications provided in OSSC 00331 (Subgrade Stabilization). The geotextile fabric should meet the specifications provided below for subgrade geotextiles. Geotextile is not required where stabilization material is used at the base of utility trenches.

5.5.1.6 Trench Backfill

Trench backfill placed beneath, adjacent to, and for at least 12 inches above utility lines (i.e., the pipe zone) should consist of well-graded granular material with a maximum particle size of 1½ inches and less than 7 percent by dry weight passing the U.S. Standard No. 200 sieve and should meet the specifications provided in OSSC 00405.13 (Pipe Zone Material). The pipe zone backfill should be compacted to at least 90 percent of the maximum dry density, as determined by AASHTO T 99, or as required by the pipe manufacturer or local building department.

Within roadway alignments, the remainder of the trench backfill up to the subgrade elevation should consist of well-graded granular material with a maximum particle size of 2½ inches and less than 7 percent by dry weight passing the U.S. Standard No. 200 sieve and should meet the specifications provided in OSSC 00405.14 (Trench Backfill; Class B, C, or D). This material should be compacted to at least 92 percent of the maximum dry density, as determined AASHTO T 99, or as required by the pipe manufacturer or local building department. The upper 3 feet of the trench backfill should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density, as determined by AASHTO T 99.

Outside of roadway alignments, trench backfill placed above the pipe zone may consist of general fill material that is free of organic material and material over 6 inches in diameter and meets the specifications provided in OSSC 00405.14 (Trench Backfill; Class A, B, C, or D). This general trench backfill should be compacted to at least 90 percent of the maximum dry density, as determined by AASHTO T 99, or as required by the pipe manufacturer or local building department.

5.5.2 Geotextile Fabric

5.5.2.1 Subgrade Geotextile

The subgrade geotextile should meet the specifications provided in OSSC Table 02320-4 – Geotextile Property Values for Subgrade Geotextile (Separation). The geotextile should be installed in conformance with OSSC 00350 (Geosynthetic Installation). A minimum initial

aggregate base lift of 6 inches is required over geotextiles. All stabilization material should be underlain by a subgrade geotextile. Geotextile is not required where stabilization material is used at the base of utility trenches.

5.5.2.2 Drainage Geotextile

Drainage geotextile should meet the specifications provided in OSSC Table 02320-1 – Geotextile Property Values for Drainage Geotextile. The geotextile should be installed in conformance with OSSC 00350 (Geosynthetic Installation). A minimum initial aggregate base lift of 6 inches is required over geotextiles.

5.5.3 Pavement Materials

A submittal should be made for each pavement material prior to the start of paving operations. Each submittal should include the test information necessary to evaluate the degree to which the material's properties comply with the properties that were recommended or specified. The geotechnical engineer and other appropriate members of the design team should review each submittal.

5.5.3.1 AC

For Ice Age, the AC should be Level 2, ½-inch, dense ACP and for SW Oregon Street, it should be Level 3, ½-inch, dense ACP according to OSSC 00744 (Asphalt Concrete Pavement). Minimum and maximum lift thicknesses are 2.0 and 3.0 inches for ½-inch ACP, respectively. An adjustment to lift thicknesses outside this range should be reviewed by both NV5 and the City. Asphalt binder should be performance graded. For typical Level 2 and Level 3 ACP, we recommend PG 64-22 binder; however, the binder grade should be adjusted depending on the aggregate gradation and amount of reclaimed asphalt pavement and/or recycled asphalt shingles in the contractor's mix design submittal.

5.5.3.2 Aggregate Base

Imported granular material used as aggregate base should be clean, crushed rock or crushed gravel and sand that are dense graded. The aggregate base should meet the gradation defined in OSSC 00640 (Aggregate Base and Shoulders), with the exception that the aggregate has less than 5 percent by dry weight passing the U.S. Standard No. 200 sieve, a maximum particle size of $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches, and at least two mechanically fractured faces. The aggregate base should be compacted to not less than 95 percent of the maximum dry density, as determined by AASHTO T 99.

5.6 FILL PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION

Fill soil should be compacted at a moisture content that is within 3 percent of optimum. The maximum allowable moisture content varies with the soil gradation and should be evaluated during construction. Fill and backfill material should be placed in uniform, horizontal lifts and compacted with appropriate equipment. The maximum lift thickness will vary depending on the material and compaction equipment used but should generally not exceed the loose thicknesses provided in Table 8. Fill material should be compacted in accordance with the compaction criteria provided in Table 9.

Due to the relatively high proportion of cobbles in the on-site gravel soil, we recommend this material be compacted with large compaction equipment with high energy. NV5 should be consulted to determine if the proposed compaction equipment will be sufficient to compact the gravel soil. It may not be possible to evaluate material containing cobbles with a nuclear density gauge. In this case, NV5 should evaluate compaction based on the contractor's selected means and methods and observing proof rolls for every lift of fill with loaded dump trucks.

	Recommended Uncompacted Lift Thickness (inches)			
Compaction Equipment	Silty/Clayey Soil	Granular and Crushed Rock Maximum Particle Size $\leq 1\frac{1}{2}$ Inches	Crushed Rock Maximum Particle Size > 1½ Inches	
Hand tools:				
Plate compactor and	4 to 8	4 to 8	Not recommended	
jumping jack				
Rubber tire equipment	6 to 8	10 to 12	6 to 8	
Light roller	8 to 10	10 to 12	8 to 10	
Heavy roller	10 to 12	12 to 18	12 to 16	
Hoe pack equipment	12 to 16	18 to 24	18 to 24	

Table 8. Recommended Uncompacted Lift Thickness

The table above is based on our experience and is intended to serve only as a guideline. The information provided in this table should not be included in the project specifications.

Table 9. Compaction Criteria

	Compaction Requirements in Structural Zones				
	Percent Maximum Dry Density Determined by ASTM D1557				
Fill Type	0 to 2 Feet BelowGreater Than 2 FeetSubgradeBelow Subgrade(percent)(percent)		Pipe Zone (percent)		
Area fill (granular)	95	95			
Area fill (fine grained)	92	92			
Aggregate base	95	95			
Trench backfill ^{1,2}	95	92	901,2		
Retaining wall backfill	95 ³	92 ³			

1. Trench backfill above the pipe zone in non-structural areas should be compacted to 85 percent.

2. Or as recommended by the pipe manufacturer.

3. Should be reduced to 90 percent within a horizontal distance of 3 feet from the retaining wall.

6.0 OBSERVATION OF CONSTRUCTION

Satisfactory earthwork and pavement performance depend to a large degree on the quality of construction. Sufficient observation of the contractor's activities is a key part of determining that the work is completed in accordance with the construction drawings and specifications. Subsurface conditions observed during construction should be compared with those encountered during the subsurface explorations. Recognition of changed conditions often requires experience; therefore, qualified personnel should visit the site with sufficient frequency to determine if subsurface conditions change significantly from those anticipated.

7.0 LIMITATIONS

We have prepared this report for use by Kittelson & Associates, Inc., the City of Sherwood, and the design and construction team for the proposed project. The report can be used for bidding or estimating purposes, but our report, conclusions, and interpretations should not be construed as warranty of the subsurface conditions and are not applicable to other sites.

Exploration observations indicate soil conditions and pavement conditions only at specific locations and only to the depths penetrated. They do not necessarily reflect soil strata, pavement, or water level variations that may exist between exploration locations. If subsurface conditions differing from those described are noted during the course of excavation and construction, re-evaluation will be necessary.

The site development plans and design details were preliminary at the time this report was prepared. When the design has been finalized and if there are changes in the site grades or location, configuration, design loads, or type of construction, the conclusions and recommendations presented may not be applicable. If design changes are made, we request that we be retained to review our conclusions and recommendations and to provide a written modification or verification.

The scope of our services does not include services related to construction safety precautions, and our recommendations are not intended to direct the contractor's methods, techniques, sequences, or procedures, except as specifically described in this report for consideration in design.

Within the limitations of scope, schedule, and budget, our services have been executed in accordance with generally accepted practices in this area at the time this report was prepared. No warranty, express or implied, should be understood.

*** * ***

We appreciate the opportunity to be of continued service to you. Please call if you have questions concerning this report or if we can provide additional services.

Sincerely,

NV5 Jessica Pence, E.I.T. Project Manager Jeffery D. Tucker, P.E., G.E. Principal Engineer



REFERENCES

AASHTO, 1993, AASHTO Guide for Design of Pavement Structures, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

Burns, Scott, Growney, Lawrence, Brodersen, Brett, Yeats, Robert S., Popowski, Thomas A., 1997, Map showing faults, bedrock geology, and sediment thickness of the western half of the Oregon City 1:100,000 quadrangle, Washington, Multnomah, Clackamas, and Marion Counties, Oregon, Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, IMS-75, scale 1:100,000.

City of Sherwood, 2022, *Engineering Design and Standard Details Manual*, July 1, 2009, revised August 15, 2022.

GeoDesign, Inc., 2020. DRAFT Due Diligence Engineering Services; Sherwood Commerce Center; 21600 SW Oregon Street; Washington County, Oregon, dated March 17, 2020.

GRI, 2016. DRAFT Preliminary Subsurface Investigation for Pre-purchase Due Diligence; 90-Acre Site; 12900 SW Tualatin-Sherwood Road; Sherwood, Oregon, dated April 13, 2016.

McMillen Jacobs, 2020. *Willamette Water Supply Program, WTP_1.0, Geotechnical Engineering Report*, dated May 12, 2020.

NV5, 2022a. DRAFT Report of Pavement and Geotechnical Engineering Services; Ice Age Drive Extension; Between SW Oregon Street and SW 124th Avenue; Sherwood, Oregon, dated July 28, 2022.

NV5, 2022b. Due Diligence Geotechnical Engineering Report; SW Dahlke Development; 21775 SW Dahlke Lane; Sherwood, Oregon, dated December 29, 2022.

ODOT, 2011, ODOT Pavement Design Guide, Pavement Services Unit, Oregon Department of Transportation, August 2011.

Popowski, Thomas, A., 1997, Geology, Structure, and Tectonic History of the Tualatin Basin, Northwestern Oregon, Unpublished Master of Science Thesis, Oregon State University, 126 p.

Schlicker, Herbert G., and Deacon, Robert J., 1967, Engineering Geology of the Tualatin Valley Region, Oregon: Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Bulletin 60, 103 p.

Snyder, D. T., 2008. Estimated Depth to Ground Water and Configuration of the Water Table in the Portland, Oregon Area. Prepared in cooperation with the City of Portland, the City of Gresham, Clackamas County's Water Environment Services, and Multnomah County. USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2008-5059.

Wilson, Doyle C., 1998, Post-middle Miocene Geologic Evolution of the Tualatin Basin, Oregon, Oregon Geology, vol. 60, no. 5., p. 99-116.

FIGURES



Printed By: mmiller | Print Date: 6/7/2023 8:46:25 AM File Name: J:\S-Z\SherwoodC\SherwoodC-10\SherwoodC-10-02\Figures\CAD\SherwoodC-10-02-VM01.dwg | Layout: FIGURE 1



8-1 🔴	BORING	SCC-TP-2	PRIOR TEST PIT (GEODESIGN, 2020)
B-1 ©	PRIOR BORING (NV5, 2022)	WWSP-B-4 🔶	PRIOR BORING (MCMILLEN JACOBS, 2020)
-1 🖪	PRIOR TEST PIT (NV5, 2022)	WWSP-TP-3 🟳	PRIOR TEST PIT (MCMILLEN JACOBS, 2020)
(2.5)	DEPTH TO DECOMPOSED BASALT (FEET BGS)	WWSP-P-7 ⊞	PRIOR PROBE (MCMILLEN JACOBS, 2020)
[6]	DEPTH TO REFUSAL ON BASALT (FEET BGS)	GRI-B-16⊕	PRIOR BORING (GRI, 2016)

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX A

FIELD EXPLORATIONS

GENERAL

We explored the existing conditions by drilling 18 geotechnical borings (B-1 through B-18) between April 11 and 14, 2023; on April 17, 2023; and on May 12, 2023, to depths between 15 to 30.9 feet BGS using hollow-stem auger, mud rotary, and rock drilling methods by Western States Soil Conservation, Inc. of Hubbard, Oregon. The exploration logs are presented in this appendix.

The approximate exploration locations are shown on Figure 2. The exploration locations were determined using a hand-held GPS and should be accurate implied by the methods used. The explorations were completed under the supervision of NV5.

SOIL AND ROCK SAMPLING

We collected soil and rock samples from the boring using the following methods:

- We collected soil samples using a 1¹/₂-inch-inside diameter, split-spoon sampler (SPT sampler). The split-spoon sampling was conducted in general accordance with ASTM D1586. The split-spoon sampler was driven into the soil with 140-pound hammer free falling 30 inches. The sampler was driven a total distance of 18 inches. The number of blows required to drive the sampler the final 12 inches is recorded on the boring logs, unless otherwise noted. Disturbed samples were collected from the split barrel for subsequent classification and index testing.
- Rock was cored continuously using HQ wireline rock drilling methods in general accordance with ASTM D2113. Percent core recovery and RQD is noted on the exploration log. RQD is determined by summing the length of intact pieces of core longer than 4 inches and dividing by the length of the core advance.

Sampling methods and intervals are shown on the exploration logs.

The average efficiencies of the automatic SPT hammers used by Western States Soil Conservation, Inc. were 75.1 and 77.5 percent. The results of the calibration testing are presented at the end of this appendix.

SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION

We classified the soil and rock samples in accordance with the "Exploration Key" (Table A-1), "Soil Classification System" (Table A-2), and "Rock Classification System" Table A-3), which are presented in this appendix. The exploration log indicates the depths at which the soils or rock or their characteristics change, although the change actually could be gradual. Classifications are shown on the exploration log.

LABORATORY TESTING

We visually examined soil samples collected from the explorations to confirm field classifications. We also performed the following laboratory testing.

MOISTURE CONTENT

The natural moisture content of select soil samples was determined in general accordance with ASTM D2216. The natural moisture content is a ratio of the weight of the water to the weight of dry soil in a test sample and is expressed as a percentage. The test results are presented in this appendix.

PARTICLE-SIZE ANALYSIS

Particle-size analysis was performed on a select soil sample in general accordance with ASTM D1140. This test is a quantitative determination of the amount of material finer than the U.S. Standard No. 200 sieve expressed as a percentage of soil weight. The test results are presented in this appendix.

ATTERBERG LIMITS TESTING

Atterberg limits (plastic and liquid limits) testing was performed on select soil samples in general accordance with ASTM D4318. The plastic limit is defined as the moisture content where the soil becomes brittle. The liquid limit is defined as the moisture content where the soil begins to act similar to a liquid. The plasticity index is the difference between the liquid and plastic limits. The test results are presented in this appendix.

SYMBOL	SAMPLING DESCRIPTION												
	Location of sample collected in general accordance with ASTM D1586 using Standard Penetration Test (SPT) with recovery												
	Location of sample collected using thin-wall Shelby tube or Geoprobe® sampler in general accordance with ASTM D1587 with recovery												
	Location of sample collected using Dames & Moore sampler and 300-pound hammer or pushed with recovery												
	Location of sample collected using Dames & Moore sampler and 140-pound hammer or pushed with recovery												
X	Location of sample collected using 3-inch-outside diameter California split-spoon sampler and 140-pound hammer with recovery												
\boxtimes	Location of grab sample	Graphic Lo	og of Soil and Rock Types										
	Rock coring interval		rock units (at depth	indicated)									
$\underline{\nabla}$	Water level during drilling		Inferred contact be rock units (at appro	tween soil or oximate depths									
Ţ	Water level taken on date shown		indicated)										
	GEOTECHNICAL TESTIN	NG EXPLANA	TIONS										
ATT	Atterberg Limits	Р	Pushed Sample										
CBR	California Bearing Ratio	PP	Pocket Penetrometer										
CON	Consolidation	P200	Percent Passing U.S. St	tandard No. 200									
DD	Dry Density		Sieve										
DS	Direct Shear	RES	Resilient Modulus										
HYD	Hydrometer Gradation	SIFV Sieve Gradation											
MC	Moisture Content	TOR Torvane											
MD	Moisture-Density Relationship	UC Unconfined Compressive Strength											
NP	Non-Plastic	VS Vane Shear		_									
OC	Organic Content	kPa	Kilopascal										
	ENVIRONMENTAL TEST	ING EXPLAN	ATIONS										
CA	Sample Submitted for Chemical Analysis	ND	Not Detected										
P	Pushed Sample	NS	No Visible Sheen										
PID	Photoionization Detector Headspace	SS	Slight Sheen										
	Analysis		Moderate Sheen										
ppm	Parts per Million	rts per Million HS Heavy Sheen											
NIV5 EXPLORATION KEY			TABLE A-1										
			ļ	RELAT	IVE DEN	SITY -	COAF	RSE-GRA	INED SOIL				
--	--	-----------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------	-----------------	------------	--------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	--
Relative Standard Penetration Test (SPT) Dames					ames	& Moore Sampler			Dames & Moore Sampler				
Dens	sity	R	esistar	istance (140			(140-)	pound hammer)			(300-pound hammer)		
Very lo	ose		0 - 4	- 4				0 - 11			0 - 4		
Loos	se		4 - 10)				11 - 26			4 - 10		
Medium	dense		$\frac{10-3}{20}$	0				26 - 74	`		10	3 - 30	
Den	se	N/c	30 - 5	0			N/A	74 - 120)		30 More) - 47	
very de	ense	IVIC	ne tria	150 CC	NSISTE						IVIOIE	e (nan 47	
		Ctandau	-l			Maara						u a a u fi u a al	
Consist	ency	Penetration (SPT) Resist	a Test ance	Dames & Moore Sampler			er)	(300-r	nes & Moore Sampler oound hamm	e ner)	Compr	essive Strength (tsf)	
Very s	soft	Less than	12	(Less th	an 3	,	L	ess than 2		Les	s than 0.25	
Sof	ft	2 - 4			3 -	6			2 - 5		0.	.25 - 0.50	
Medium	n stiff	4 - 8			6 - 2	12			5 - 9		C).50 - 1.0	
Stif	f	8 - 15			12 -	25			9 - 19			1.0 - 2.0	
Very s	stiff	15 - 30)		25 -	65			19 - 31			2.0 - 4.0	
Har	ď	More than	30		More the	an 65		M	ore than 31		Мс	pre than 4.0	
		PRIMARY S	OIL DI	VISION	NS			GROU	P SYMBOL		GROL	JP NAME	
		GRAVE	_		CLEAN G (< 5% f	RAVEL ines)		G٧	/ or GP		GF	RAVEL	
			00/ -f	GF	AVEL WI	TH FIN	ES	GW-GN	l or GP-GM		GRAVE	EL with silt	
		(more than 5	0% OT	(≥5	% and \leq	12% fir	nes)	GW-GC or GP-GC		GRAVEL with clay			
COAR	SE-	retained	on					GM		silty GRAVEL			
GRAINED	O SOIL	No. 4 sie	/e)	(> 12% fines)				GC		clayey GRAVEL			
(more t	than						G	C-GM		silty, cla	yey GRAVEL		
50% retained SAND			CLEAN SAND (<5% fines)				SM	/ or SP		SAND			
No. 200	sieve)	(E O)(or poo	ro of	SAND WITH			H FINES		SW-SM or SP-SM		SAND with silt		
		coarse frac	tion	n $(\geq 5\% \text{ and } \leq 1\%)$		12% fir	nes)	SW-SC	C or SP-SC		SAND	with clay	
		passing	ξ.	SAND WITH					SM		silty SAND		
		No. 4 sie	/e)	(> 12% f			fines)		SC		clayey SAND		
					(* 12/0			SC-SM			silty, clayey SAND		
						-		ML		SILT			
FINE-GR	AINED I			Liquid limit le		ss thai	า 50	CL			CLAY		
501	L							CL-ML		silty CLAY			
(50% or	more	SILT AND C	CLAY					OL		ORGANIC SILT or ORGANIC CLAY			
passi	ing					. .			MH			SILI	
No. 200	sieve)			Liqui		J or gre	eater				CLAY		
										UR			
MOICTU				5 30IL			4.5						
WOISTU		SSIFICATION				Foon			L CONSTIT) r matariala		
Term	F	Field Test			•	Second	uch as	organics	, man-made	debris	s, etc.		
_					S	ilt and	Clay I	n:			Sand and	d Gravel In:	
dry	very lo dry to t	w moisture, touch	Pe	rcent	Fine Grainee	e- d Soil	Co Grai	oarse- ned Soil	Percent	Gra	Fine- iined Soil	Coarse- Grained Soil	
maint	damp,	without		< 5	trac	e	t	race	< 5		trace	trace	
moist	visible	moisture	5	- 12	min	or		with	5 - 15		minor	minor	
wot	visible	free water,	>	12	som	ne	silty	/clayey	15 - 30		with	with	
WEL	usually	/ saturated							> 30	sand	ly/gravelly	Indicate %	
	NV5 soil classification system table a-2												

HARDNESS	DESCRIPTION							
Extromoly coft (PO)	Indepted by thumbrail							
Vory coft (P1)	Can be peoled by peoket knife or coretabed with finger pail							
Very Solt (R1)	Can be peeled by pocket knife of scratched with higer fidir							
Soft (R2)	Can be peeled by a pocket knile with difficulty							
Medium nard (R3)	Can be scratched by knife or pick							
Hard (R4)	Can be scratched with knife or pick only with difficulty							
Very hard (R5)	Cannot be scratched with knife or sharp pick							
WEATHERING	DESCRIPTION							
Decomposed	Rock mass is completely decomposed							
Predominantly decomposed	d Rock mass is more than 50% decomposed							
Moderately weathered	Rock mass is decomposed locally							
Slightly weathered	Rock mass is generally fresh							
Fresh	No discoloration in rock fabric							
JOINT SPACING	DESCRIPTION							
Very close	Less than 2 inches							
Close	2 inches to 1 foot							
Moderate close	1 foot to 3 feet							
Wide	3 feet to 10 feet	3 feet to 10 feet						
Very wide	Greater than 10 feet							
FRACTURING	FRACTURE SPACING							
Very intensely fractured	Chips and fragments with a few scattered short core lengths							
Intensely fractured	0.1 foot to 0.3 foot with scattered fragments intervals							
Moderately fractured	0.3 foot to 1 foot with most lengths 0.6 foot							
Slightly fractured	1 foot to 3 feet							
Very slightly fractured	Greater than 3 feet							
Unfractured	No fractures							
HEALING	DESCRIPTION							
Not healed	Discontinuity surface, fractured zone, sheared material or filling	not re-cemented						
Partly healed	Less than 50% of fractured or sheared material							
Moderately healed	Greater than 50% of fractured or sheared material							
Totally healed	All fragments bonded							
NIVI5	ROCK CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM	TABLE A-3						



SHERWOODC-10-02-B1_18.GPJ GDI_NV5.GDT PER PAGE **30RING LOG - NV5 - 1**



































GDI_NV5.GDT SHERWOODC-1 0-02-B1_1 8.GPJ PER PAGE **30RING LOG - NV5 - 1**



60 50 CH or OH "A" LINE 40 PLASTICITY INDEX 30 CL or OL 20 MH or OH 10 CL-ML ML or OL 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 80 90 100 70 0 110 LIQUID LIMIT

KEY	EXPLORATION NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH (FEET)	MOISTURE CONTENT (PERCENT)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX
•	B-1	2.5	31	44	28	16
	B-4	7.5	36	47	23	24

	SHERWOODC-10-02
N V J	JUNE 2023

ATTERBERG LIMITS TEST RESULTS

ICE AGE DRIVE FINAL DESIGN	
SHERWOOD, OR	

SAM	PLE INFORM	IATION	MOISTURE	עעס		SIEVE	_	ATTERBERG LIMITS		
EXPLORATION NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH (FEET)	ELEVATION (FEET)	CONTENT (PERCENT)	DENSITY (PCF)	GRAVEL (PERCENT)	SAND (PERCENT)	P200 (PERCENT)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX
B-1	2.5		31					44	28	16
B-1	5.0		25							
B-2	2.5		34							
B-2	7.5		22							
B-4	2.5		25							
B-4	5.0		27				34			
B-4	7.5		36					47	23	24
B-6	2.5		24							
B-6	7.5		31							
B-10	2.5		17							
B-11	2.5		38							
B-11	7.5		33							

	10
	U

 SHERWOODC-10-02
 SUMMARY OF LABORATORY DATA

 JUNE 2023
 ICE AGE DRIVE FINAL DESIGN SHERWOOD, OR
 FIGURE A-20

Pile Dynamics, Inc. SPT Analyzer Results

RIG #2 PDA-S Ver. 2021.34 - Printed: 12/27/2021

Summary of SPT Test Results

Project: WSSC-8-06, Tes	st Date: 12/23/2021							
FMX: Maximum Force						E	FV: Maximum Energ	IY
VMX: Maximum Velocity						E	TR: Energy Transfer	Ratio - Rated
BPM: Blows/Minute								
Instr.	Blows	N	N60	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average
Length	Applied	Value	Value	FMX	VMX	BPM	EFV	ETR
ft	/6"			kips	ft/s	bpm	ft-lb	%
60.00	9-12-11	23	28	36	12.5	48.5	265	75.6
60.00	10-11-13	24	30	35	12.5	48.8	260	74.2
60.00	7-15-18	33	41	32	12.3	52.4	251	71.8
60.00	7-12-15	27	33	37	11.9	49.5	271	77.5
60.00	10-14-14	28	35	37	11.3	51.9	269	76.9
		Overall Ave	rage Values:	35	12.1	50.4	263	75.1
		Standa	rd Deviation:	6	2.2	5.6	46	13.2
		Overall Max	imum Value:	39	14.3	86.5	285	81.6
		Overall Min	imum Value:	0	0.7	30.4	0	0.0

Pile Dynamics, Inc. SPT Analyzer Results

RIG #4 PDA-S Ver. 2021.34 - Printed: 12/27/2021

Summary of SPT Test Results

Project: WSSC-8-06, Tes	t Date: 12/23/2021							
FMX: Maximum Force						E	FV: Maximum Energ	у
VMX: Maximum Velocity						E	TR: Energy Transfer	Ratio - Rated
BPM: Blows/Minute								
Instr.	Blows	Ν	N60	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average
Length	Applied	Value	Value	FMX	VMX	BPM	EFV	ETR
ft	/6"			kips	ft/s	bpm	ft-lb	%
60.00	4-6-15	21	27	40	13.0	51.6	267	76.4
60.00	5-11-8	19	24	41	13.0	58.5	288	82.4
60.00	7-14-15	29	37	41	13.0	57.0	274	78.2
60.00	7-12-18	30	38	40	13.0	49.9	266	76.0
60.00	4-19-19	38	49	40	12.5	51.7	267	76.2
		Overall Ave	rage Values:	41	12.9	53.3	271	77.5
		Standa	rd Deviation:	1	0.6	3.4	9	2.7
		Overall Max	imum Value:	43	15.1	58.9	296	84.5
		Overall Min	imum Value:	37	11.8	38.1	251	71.7

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX B

PRIOR EXPLORATION AT THE SITE

The exploration log and laboratory testing results for boring B-1 (NV5, 2022a) are presented in this appendix.



_GRAIN SIZE NO P200 SHERWOODC-10-01-B1.GPJ GEODESIGN.GDT PRINT DATE: 7/25/22:KT



NIVI5	SHERWOODC-10-01	GRAIN-SIZE TEST RESULTS	
N V J	JULY 2022	ICE AGE DRIVE EXTENSION SHERWOOD, OR	FIGURE A-2



SAMPLE INFORMATION			MOISTURE			SIEVE		AT	ATTERBERG LIMITS		
EXPLORATION NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH (FEET)	ELEVATION (FEET)	CONTENT (PERCENT)	DENSITY (PCF)	GRAVEL (PERCENT)	SAND (PERCENT)	P200 (PERCENT)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
B-1	2.5		16		41	31	28				
B-1	7.5		31								
B-1	10.0		27								
B-1	12.5		28								

SHERWOODC-10-01	SUMMARY OF LABORATORY DATA						
JULY 2022	ICE AGE DRIVE EXTENSION SHERWOOD, OR	FIGURE A-3					

Pile Dynamics, Inc. SPT Analyzer Results

RIG #12 PDA-S Ver. 2021.34 - Printed: 12/27/2021

Summary of SPT Test Results

Project: WSSC-8-06, Tes	t Date: 12/23/2021							
FMX: Maximum Force	E	EFV: Maximum Energy						
VMX: Maximum Velocity						E	TR: Energy Transfer	Ratio - Rated
BPM: Blows/Minute								
Instr.	Blows	N	N60	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average
Length	Applied	Value	Value	FMX	VMX	BPM	EFV	ETR
ft	/6"			kips	ft/s	bpm	ft-lb	%
60.00	3-3-6	9	12	41	12.1	52.9	249	71.0
60.00	3-5-6	11	14	43	13.2	51.0	282	80.6
60.00	7-10-12	22	29	44	13.3	51.0	271	77.4
60.00	3-11-12	23	31	43	13.1	51.0	275	78.5
60.00	7-11-14	25	33	44	13.8	50.9	321	91.8
		Overall Ave	rage Values:	43	13.2	51.1	285	81.4
		Standa	rd Deviation:	3	0.9	1.8	32	9.0
		Overall Max	imum Value:	46	14.6	67.8	333	95.1
		Overall Min	imum Value:	21	6.3	50.7	68	19.5

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX C

PRIOR EXPLORATIONS IN THE AREA

The exploration logs and laboratory testing results for explorations completed for the SW Dahlke Development (NV5, 2022b), WWSP project (McMillen Jacobs, 2020), SCC project (GeoDesign, Inc., 2020), and GRI project (GRI, 2016) are presented in this appendix.

FOR LAND USE PERMITTING (EXHIBIT B)

Project: WWSP_WTP_1.0

Project Location: Sherwood, OR Project Number: 5887.0

Log of Boring WTP_1.0-B-04

Date(s) Drilled		Fe	eb 05 2019	^{Client} C	DM Smith				Log Bv	^{ged} A Ha	avekost			Checked Bv	K Ellio	tt	
Drilling N	1eth	od/	HQ Wireline/CME	850 Track	Drilling	Western	States	s Soil Co	nservat	ion, Inc.	To	otal Depti	h 15	.0 ft.			
Hole Diar	nete	er 5.0	0 in.	1	Hammer Weight/Drop (lb/in.)/Type					G	Fround Su	e rface	269.0 ft.				
			Chammand OD								E	levation/[lammer E	Datum fficiency	/			
				Coordinates	45.30388	/ -12.	2.80843	>	1	(2	%)						
(((((((()))							be	ċ	5		Recover	ry (%) 📃		RQD (%)			
(tt left)				ohic	Tyl		kfill natio	Penetr		Resistance	e, N (blov	ws/ft)	alue	Remarks			
vatio	er Le	epth	IVIate	erial Descript	aon	Grap	arap nplo	ld n	mpl	Bacl		Percent	Fines (< 0	.075 mm	ו)	N V	and Tests
Ele	Vate	Ō					Sar	Sa	L I	⊢ ⊢	-Plastic	Limit - Liq	uid Limit	00 10	_	10010	
	We	1	Very wet, brown- with roots; grade [Top Soil/Residua BASALT, very stro weathered, mode vesicular; iron-sta plagioclase phene [Columbia River E From 3 to 10 ft bg fractured; joints r undulating and ro oxide stained. After 10 ft bgs, jo planar, undulating stained. At 10.5 ft bgs, be fractured, gray; d	black ORGAI s to Residua I Soil] ng, fresh to s erately fractu ained joints, ocrysts up to ir ange from 35' bugh; and, ~7! bints range from g, smooth, iron ecomes fresh, liktytaxitic.	NIC SILT (OL), I Soil.			RC1 RC2 RC3							00	Red-brown drill water and roots returned. Rod chatter, gray- brown water and basalt chips. From 10.5-11 ft bgs, dropped core was recovered in Run 3. From 13.5-15 ft, core was stuck in casing, driller hammered and broke core. Borehole completed at 15ft. below ground surface (bgs).	
Ė.		22 —															
_		23 —															
_		-															
		25															
	J AS		LEN DBS Ates										Borir	ng WTP Sheet 1	1.0)-B-04	

Log of Test Pit WTP_1.0-TP-03

	Test Pit Depth: 4.0 feet Completed: 12/27/2018	Equipment: Hitachi 210LC Contractor: Richter Logging Co. Logged by: K. Elliott							
Depth (feet, bgs)	Mat	erial Description							
0.0 to 1.0	Very soft, moist, dark brown ORGA roots, estimate 60% coarse angular (Possible Roadbed Fill)	Very soft, moist, dark brown ORGANIC SILT (OL); low plasticity, numerous fine roots, estimate 60% coarse angular gravel- to cobble-size basalt rock fragments. (Possible Roadbed Fill)							
1.0 to 2.0	Soft, moist, slightly orange-brown SILTY GRAVEL with Cobbles (GM); angular coarse gravel- to cobble-size fragments of highly to completely weathered basalt in a low plasticity silt matrix. (Residual Soil)								
BASALT; moderately strong, highly weathered, moderately to highly fra apertures are moderately wide to wide and filled with orange-brown fine stains on the joint surfaces penetrate throughout fragments smaller tha boulder-sizes up to at least 3 feet of apparent strong and relatively frest pulled from the excavation.									
2.0 to 4.0	Between 2.0 and 2.5 feet an intact portion of rock was observed in the west side wall; interlocking, sharp, angular, fracture-bound, cobble-sized clasts were observed and photographed; fracture apertures were filled with low plasticity fines.								
	Practical refusal of the equipment was reached at a depth of 4.0 feet when the excavator was no longer able to pull the bottom of the test pit. (Columbia River Basalt)								

Log of Test Pit WTP_1.0-TP-04

		Contractor: Richter Logging Co.				
	Test Pit Depth: 4.0 feet	Equipment: Hitachi 210LC				
	Completed: 12/27/2018	Logged by: K. Elliott				
Depth						
(feet, bgs)	Ma	Iterial Description				
0.0 to 0.8	Very soft, moist, dark brown ORGANIC SILT (OL); numerous fine roots, trace angular fine to coarse gravel-size rock fragments, low plasticity. (Top Soil)					
	Soft, slightly yellow-brown, moist, GRAVELLY SILT with Cobbles and Boulders (ML); subangular to subrounded coarse gravel- to boulder-sizes scattered in low plasticity fines.					
0.8 to 4.0	Groundwater began to seep into the excavation at 3.5 feet bgs; quickly filled the test pit to a level approximately 3.0 feet bgs; sidewalls unstable, cannot support the larger clasts; sidewalls slough; bottom of the test pit obscured by water, but a hard rock surface is present at 4.0 feet bgs.					

FOR LAND USE PERMITTING (EXHIBIT B)

5887.0 Willamette Water Supply Program Water Treatment Plant 1.0



Probe Hole Exploration Points - Summary Field Logs

Probe Hole P-7							Hole I	2-8			
De	pth	Observ	vations	Terter and the	Depth		Observ	T () (
From	То	Driller Log	MJA Log	Interpretation	Fre	om	То	Driller Log	MJA Log	Interpretation	
0	1			Top Soil	()	1			Top Soil	
1	2					1	2		Brown soil,	_	
2	3					2	3		moist	grading to	
3	4		Yellow-brown	Fine grained		3	4			Missoula	
4	5		Soil	Missoula Eload	4	4	5	Dirt		Flood	
5	6	Dirt		Deposite		5	6			Deposits	
6	7			Deposits	(5	7				
7	8				Ĺ	7	8			grading to	
8	9				5	8	9			Residual Soil	
9	10				(9	10	Brown-gray	Gray rock		
10	11			Residual Soil	1	0	11	mix			
11	12		Light Brown Soil		1	1	12	ШХ		Moderately weathered rock	
12	13				1	2	13	Gray-brown			
13	14				1	3	14				
14	15				1	4	15				
15	16				1	5	16				
16	17			Moderately weathered and highly fractured rock	1	6	17				
17	18				Moderately	1	7	18	Dirt		
18	19		Boulders or		1	8	19			Highly	
19	20		blocky-jointed		highly	1	9	20	Brown-gray		weathered
20	21		rock?		2	0	21		Dark red-	zone; possible	
21	22	Brown-gray			Inactured fock	2	1	22		brown	flow contact
22	23	mix			2	2	23	Dirt			
23	24				2	3	24				
24	25		Dark brown	Highly	2	24 25					
25	26			weathered:	2	5	26		Grav rock		
26	27			possible.	2	6	27		Oray room	Highly	
27	28		Orange-brown	interflow zone	2	7	28	Brown; bit		weathered and	
28	29		Orange-brown	Internow Zone	2	8	29	plugged w/ soil		intensely	
29	30				2	.9	30	at 32 ft.		fractured rock	
30	31			Madamatal	3	0	31		Dark brown	fractured fock	
31	32		Boulders or	Moderately	3	1	32		Durk 010 wil		
32	33		blocky-jointed	weathered;							
33	34	Grav	rock	rock							
34	35	Olay		IUCK							
5887.0 Willamette Water Supply Program Water Treatment Plant 1.0



Probe Hole Exploration Points - Summary Field Logs

			-
Prohe	Hol	e	P_9
LIUUU	IIU	· •	1-1

Probe Hole P-10

De	pth	Observ	vations	Terterentert	ſ	De	pth	Obser	vations	T
From	То	Driller Log	MJA Log	Interpretation		From	То	Driller Log	MJA Log	Interpretation
0	1				[0	1			Top Soil
1	2	Dirt		Top Soil		1	2		Red-brown	grading to
2	3	Dirt	Slightly red-	grading to		2	3	Dirt	Soil	Residual Soil
3	4		brown soil	Residual Soil		3	4	Dirt		Kesiduai 5011
4	5			Residual Soli		4	5		Grav	Residual Soil
5	6					5	6		Giúy	residual Soli
6	7		Brown	Residual Soil		6	7			
7	8					7	8			Slightly
8	9	Medium grav				8	9		Light gray rock	weathered rock
9	10	internation gray				9	10			weuthered rook
10	11			Slightly to		10	11			
11	12		Gray rock	moderately		11	12			
12	13			weathered rock		12	13			
13	14					13	14			Increased
14	15					14	15		Brown rock	weathering
15	16					15	16			-
16	1/					16	1/	Medium gray		
1/	18		Brown soil		ŀ	1/	18			
10	19		with brown			10	19	-	Light gray rock	Moderately
19	20		rock;		ŀ	19	20			
20	21				ŀ	20	21		chips	weathered rock
21	22	Brown-gray		Moderate to		21	22		-	
22	23			highly		22	23			
23	24			weathering		23	24		Slightly red-	Highly
24	25					24	25		brown	weathered
25	26		Bit plugged			25	26			
26	27		w/brown silt at			26	27			
27	28		30 ft.			27	28			XX: 11
28	29					28	29			Highly
29	30			ļ		29	30		Dark gray rock	weathered
30	31					30	31	Soft gray mix	chips with soil	rock; chilled
31	32	Soft, gray	Dark gray, fine	CI.'111		31	32			zone?
32	33		rock cuttings	Chilled zone?		32	33			
33	34					33	34			
54	- 35		1			54	- 35	1		

5887.0 Willamette Water Supply Program Water Treatment Plant 1.0



Probe Hole Exploration Points - Summary Field Logs

Prohe	Hold	• P -11
rrube	пою	C L L L

Probe Hole P-12

De	pth	Obser	vations	T		De	pth	Obser	vations	T
From	То	Driller Log	MJA Log	Interpretation		From	То	Driller Log	MJA Log	Interpretation
0	1	Dirt				0	1		Brown soil w/	
1	2	Dirt				1	2	Dirt	broken gray	Residual Soil
2	3		1			2	3	1	rock	
3	4	Medium gray				3	4			Ma landal
4	5					4	5		Gray rock	Moderately
5	6	Brown				5	6		-	weathered rock
6	7					6	7			
7	8					7	8			
8	9		Light gray rock			8	9			Increased
9	10		chips; no soil			9	10		Brown rock	weathering
10	11		cover			10	11	Medium grav		weathering
11	12					11	12	Medium gruy		
12	13					12	13			
13	14			Slightly to		13	14			
14	15			moderately		14	15		Orange-brown	Significant iron-
15	16			weathered rock		15	16			stained jointing
16	17			with thin		16	17			stanied jointing
17	18			highly		17	18			
18	19			weathered		18	19			
19	20	Medium gray		zones		19	20	Brown		
20	21		Bit plugged at			20	21			
21	22		23 ft but			21	22			
22	23		drilled			22	23			Moderately
23	24		consistent and			23	24			weathered rock
24	25		uniformly to 25			24	25	Medium gray		weathered roek
25	26					25	26			
26	27		It.			26	27		Dark gray	
27	28					27	28			
28	29					28	29			
29	30					29	30			
30	31				30	31				
31	32				31	32	Soft black		Chilled basal	
32	33					32	33	Son, onex		contact?
33	34	Soft black		Possible flow		33	34			
34	35	Jon, Diack		contact		34	35			

5887.0 Willamette Water Supply Program Water Treatment Plant 1.0



Probe Hole Exploration Points - Summary Field Logs

D I	TT 1	D 13
Probe	Hole	P-13

Probe Hole P-14

De	pth	Obser	vations	Tradarina madadia m	I	De	pth	Obser	vations	Testamoretation
From	То	Driller Log	MJA Log	Interpretation		From	То	Driller Log	MJA Log	Interpretation
0	1		Brown soil &	Pasidual Sail	ľ	0	1			
1	2		broken rock	Residual Soli		1	2	Diet		Top Soil
2	3	Dirt				2	3	Dirt	Brown soil,	arading to
3	4					3	4		broken rock	Residual Soil
4	5		Light gray rock			4	5			
5	6					5	6			
6	7					6	7			
7	8					7	8			
8	9					8	9			
9	10			Slightly to		9	10	Brown		
10	11			moderately		10	11	DIOWII		Moderately
11	12			weathered rock		11	12			weathered rock
12	13			weathered fock		12	13			
13	14					13	14		Light gray	
14	15					14	15		rock: hard	
15	16					15	16		TOCK, Hard	
16	17					16	17			
17	18					17	18			
18	19	Brown				18	19			Slightly
19	20	DIOWII	Bit plugged at			19	20	Medium gray		weathered
20	21		21 ft.			20	21			Rock
21	22					21	22			
22	23					22	23			
23	24		Dark brown			23	24			
24	25		soil: soft			24	25			
25	26		son, son			25	26			Moderate to
26	27			Moderately to		26	27		Brown, soft	highly
27	28			highly		27	28			weathered rock
28	29		Light brown	weathered rock		28	29	Brown-gray		
29	30		soft			29	30	mix		
30	31		5011			30	31			
31	32					31	32			Increased
32	33					32	33		Orange-brown	vn jointing with
33	34	Medium gray	Grav soft			33	34			iron stains
34	35	Wiedium gray	Oray, soft			34	35			



TEST PIT LOG - GDI-NV5 - 1 PER PAGE HARSCHINV-23-01-TP1_15.GPJ GDI_NV5.GDT PRINT DATE: 3/10/20:KM

	-		DACT							
DEPTH FEET	GRAPHIC LOG	MATE	RAFI RIAL DESCRIPTION	ELEVATION DEPTH	TESTING	SAMPLE		RE %	COMN	IENTS
		FOREST DUFF (Medium stiff, L clay and sand, (roots, rootlets inches, 6-inch- Stiff, light red- and boulders (organics (rootl plasticity, cobb 10%, boulders Medium dense clayey GRAVEL boulders (GC); subrounded to approximately approximately without boulde subrounded, cc 5% at 7.5 feet Stiff, red-brown trace silt; mois plasticity. Medium dense GRAVEL (GC), r is angular (dec Exploration co 14.0 feet.	wood, chips) (2.0 inches). prown SILT (ML), minor trace gravel and organics); moist (topsoil to 14.0 thick root zone). brown CLAY with cobbles CL), minor sand, trace ets); moist, clay has low les are approximately are approximately 5%. to dense, red-brown, with sand, cobbles, and moist, gravel is subangular, cobbles are 20%, boulders are 5 to 10%. ers; gravel is fine and obbles are approximately n CLAY (CL), minor sand, t, clay has medium , red-brown, clayey ninor sand; moist, gravel omposed basalt). mpleted at a depth of	220.3 220.3 0.2 219.3 1.2 218.0 2.5 218.0 2.5 219.3 1.2 218.0 2.5 1.0 20.5 10.0 10.5 10.0 10.5	PP PP PP		0, 50 		PP = 1.75 tsf PP = 2.5 tsf PP = 3.0 tsf Moderate caving of feet. PP = 2.0 tsf Approximately 70 broken by hand. No groundwater sto the depth explosed Surface elevation site survey.	observed at 8.5 % of rocks eeepage observed ored. estimated from
-										
20.0 —					<u> </u>		0 50	10	00	
	EX	CAVATED BY: Northwest E	arthmovers, Inc. N METHOD: mini excavator (see documer	LOG t text)	GED I	3Y: J. F	Hook		COMPLET	ED: 08/08/19
C			HARSCHINV-23-01	,			TES	T PI	Т ТР-3	
AN NUS COMPANY MARCH 2020					S	HERV WA			CENTER Y, OR	FIGURE A-3

TEST PIT LOG - GDI-NV5 - 1 PER PAGE HARSCHINV-23-01-TP1_15.GPJ GDL_NV5.GDT PRINT DATE: 3/10/20:KM

						_			1	
DFPTH	IC LOG	D	YKAF I	ATION PTH		PLE		TURE	COM	AENITS
FEET	GRAPH	MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTION	DF	TEST	SAM		NT %		
-0.0-	* *	_ FOREST DUFF (wood debris) (3.0 inches). 228.0	2				Moderate to seve	re caving
2.5		Medium stiff, k sand, gravel, a (roots, rootlets inches, 4-inch- Medium dense GRAVEL with co minor sand; m approximately approximately	orown SILT (ML), minor nd clay, trace organics); moist (topsoil to 15.0 thick root zone). , red-brown, clayey obbles and boulders (GC) oist, cobbles are 15%, boulders are 10%.		PP				observed from 0.0 PP = 2.25 tsf	0 to 6.0 feet.
5.0 — - - 7.5 —										
-		Medium stiff, l trace to minor	ight brown SILT (ML), sand. trace clav: moist.	<u>219.5</u> 8.5	<u>.</u>					
		silt has low pla	sticity.		PP				PP = 1.0 tsf	
12.5		Medium dense mottled, clayey	, gray with red and brow / GRAVEL (GC), minor	<u>214.0</u> n ^{14.0}	<u>)</u>					
15.0		Exploration col 16.0 feet.	mpleted at a depth of	<u>212.0</u> 16.0	<u>)</u>				No groundwater s to the depth explo	seepage observed ored.
17.5									Surface elevation site survey.	estimated from
20.0							0 50	1	00	
EXCAVATED BY: Northwest Earthmovers, Inc. LOGGED BY: J. Hook						łook		COMPLET	ED: 08/08/19	
C	FO		HARSCHINV-23-01				T	EST P	IT TP-4	
			MARCH 2020	20 SHERWOOD COMMERCE CENTER WASHINGTON COUNTY, OR FIGURE A-4				FIGURE A-4		

TEST PIT LOG - GDI-NV5 - 1 PER PAGE HARSCHINV-23-01-TP1_15.GPJ GDL_NV5.GDT PRINT DATE: 3/10/20:KM

			DACT				1		1	
DEPTH FEET	APHIC LOG	МАТЕ	RAF I	EVATION DEPTH	ESTING	AMPLE	● MOISTUF CONTENT	RE %	СОМ	IENTS
0.0	, , В		woody dobric) (4.0	230.0	-	S	 0 50	10	0 Moderate to seve	re caving
-		Medium stiff, c minor organics debris), minor and gravel; mc	lark brown SILT (ML), (roots, rootlets, woody clay, trace to minor sand ist (topsoil to 18.0 thick-root zone)	$ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{229.7}{0.3} \\ \frac{228.5}{1.5} \end{bmatrix} $	PP				observed from 0.0 PP = 1.25 tsf) to 7.5 feet.
2.5		Medium dense GRAVEL with co minor sand; m approximately approximately	, red-brown, clayey obbles and boulders (GC), oist, cobbles are 20%, boulders are 15%.							
5.0		cobbles are ap boulders are a feet	proximately 10%, pproximately 5% at 5.0						Moderate caving	observed from
7.5		Very dense, re mottled GRAVI minor sand; m	d-brown with gray L with clay (GP-GC), oist (weathered basalt).	<u>222.5</u> 7.5					Gravel after 7.5 fe moderately weath Approximately 10 broken by hand.	et is angular and ered. % of rocks
10.0	00,00,00,00,00,000 00,00,00,00,000 00,00,	cobblec are an	provimately 20%							
12.5	0.00.00.00.000000000000000000000000000	cobbles are ap boulders are a feet cobbles are ap	proximately 20%, pproximately 5% at 12.0 proximately 40%, pproximately 10% at 14.0						Slow groundwate	seepage
15.0	boulders are approximately 10% at 14.0 feet Exploration completed at a depth of 15.0 feet.								Surface elevation site survey.	reet. estimated from
17.5										
20.0							0 50	10	00	
EXCAVATED BY: Northwest Earthmovers, Inc.						BY: J. H	Hook		COMPLET	ED: 08/08/19
j 		EXCAVATIO	N METHOD: mini excavator (see documen	t text)						
GEODESIGN≝ HARSCHINV-23-01				TEST PIT TP-5						
	AN	5 COMPANY		S	HER WA	NOOD COMME	RCE	CENTER Y, OR	FIGURE A-5	

TEST PIT LOG - GDI-NV5 - 1 PER PAGE HARSCHINV-23-01-TP1_15.GPJ GDL_NV5.GDT PRINT DATE: 3/10/20:KM





ioft (R1

ard Depth to



SITE MAP

Nort 300 600

APR. 2016 JOB NO. 5838 FIG. 1



DEPTH FEET	GRAPHIC LOG	MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTION	ELEVATION DEPTH	TESTING	SAMPLE	MOISTURE CONTENT % 50	COMN	IENTS
		Very stiff, red-t cobbles, and be trace organics; subrounded to approximately approximately medium (topso thick root zone Dense, brown (cobbles, and be gravel is subro sand is fine to approximately approximately	orown CLAY with gravel, oulders (CL), minor sand, moist, gravel is subangular, cobbles are 1 to 2%, boulders are 1 to 2%, sand is fine to il to 12 inches, 3-inch-). GRAVEL with clay, sand, oulders (GP-GC); moist, unded to subangular, coarse, cobbles are 30%, boulders are 2 to 5%.	1.5	PP		•	PP = 2.75 tsf	
-		Very dense, bro cobbles, minor boulders are su approximately	wn-gray BOULDERS with gravel, trace clay; moist, Ibangular, cobbles are 1 to 2%.	5.5					
7.5		Dense, brown v GRAVEL with cl boulders (GP-G subangular to a approximately approximately	vith orange mottled ay, sand, cobbles, and C); moist, gravel is angular, cobbles are 10%, boulders are 5%.	7.5				Moderate ground observed at 8.0 fe	water seepage eet.
10.0		Stiff to very stir and black mott sand; moist, sa siltstone). Medium dense, brown mottled cobbles, and bo gravel is suban are approximat approximately basalt).	ff, light brown with yellow led SILT (ML), minor nd is fine (decomposed black with orange and GRAVEL with clay, oulders (GP-GC); wet, gular to angular, cobbles ely 5%, boulders are 1 to 2% (decomposed	- 11.0	P200		•	P200 = 92%	
15.0 — - - - 17.5 —		Exploration cor 15.5 feet.	npleted at a depth of	15.5				No caving observent explored. Surface elevation measured at the t exploration.	ed to the depth was not ime of
-									
EXCAVATED BY: Dan J. Fischer Excavating, Inc.						ү: Н. Н	0 50 Herinckx	COMPLET	ED: 12/02/22
		EXCAVATIO	N METHOD: backhoe (see document text)						
SHERWOODC-12-01							TEST	РІТ ТР-2	
		VJ	DECEMBER 2022	SW DAHLKE DEVELOPMENT FIGUR SHERWOOD, OR FIGUR					FIGURE A-2

DEPTH FEET	GRAPHIC LOG	MATEI	RIAL DESCRIPTION	ELEVATION DEPTH	TESTING	SAMPLE	MOISTURE CONTENT % 50 1	COMN	IENTS
		Very dense, browith cobbles, g moist, boulder: subangular and 4 feet in diame approximately coarse (2- to 3 Exploration ter 3.5 feet due to	own and gray BOULDERS ravel, sand, and clay; s are subrounded to l up to approximately ter, cobbles are 15%, sand is fine to -inch-thick root zone). minated at a depth of refusal.	3.5			2 50 1	No groundwater s to the depth explo No caving observe explored. Surface elevation measured at the t exploration.	eepage observed ored. ed to the depth was not ime of
20.0 —	-					(D 50 1	00	
í .	EXCAVATED BY: Dan J. Fischer Excavating, Inc.					Y: H. F	lerinckx	COMPLETI	ED: 12/02/22
	EXCAVATION METHOD: backhoe (see document te						TECT	T TD 2	
SHERWOODC-12-01									
			DECEMBER 2022	SW DAHLKE DEVELOPMENT SHERWOOD, OR FIGURE /				FIGURE A-3	





DEPTH	GRAPHIC LOG	MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTION	ELEVATION DEPTH	TESTING	SAMPLE		STURE ENT %	COMM	IENTS
		Stiff, red-brown trace organics; inch-thick tilled zone). very soft to sof	n CLAY (CL), minor sand, moist, sand is fine (14- I zone, 3-inch-thick root ft at 1.0 foot		PP PP				PP = 1.25 tsf PP = 0.25 tsf	
2.5 —		stiff at 2.0 feet	:		PP				PP = 1.5 tsf	
-		with gravel at 3	3.0 feet		P200 ATT		•		P200 = 75% LL = 32% PL = 22%	
5.0		Medium dense, with sand and gravel is suban lare approximat (decomposed b Dense, brown (and cobbles (G subangular to a coarse, cobbles 25% (decomposed Exploration ter 5.0 feet due to basalt.	, brown, clayey GRAVEL cobbles (GC); moist, gular to angular, cobbles tely 15 to 20% Dasalt). GRAVEL with clay, sand, P-GC); moist, gravel is angular, sand is fine to s are approximately 20 to sed basalt). minated at a depth of refusal on weathered	4.0					Slow groundwater observed at 5.0 fe No caving observe explored. Surface elevation measured at the t exploration.	r seepage set. ed to the depth was not ime of
10.0										
15.0 —	-									
	-									
-										
20.0 —	-						0 50) 1	00	
	EXCAVATED BY: Dan J. Fischer Excavating, Inc.				GED B	Y: H. F	lerinckx		COMPLETE	ED: 12/02/22
	EXCAVATION METHOD: backhoe (see document tex SHERWOODC-12-01						Т	EST PI	Т ТР-6	
	NV5 DECEMBER 2022					SW	DAHLKE E SHERWO	DEVELOPI DOD, OR	MENT	FIGURE A-6

DEPTH FEET	GRAPHIC LOG	MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTION	ELEVATION DEPTH	TESTING	SAMPLE	MOISTURE CONTENT %	СОММ 00	IENTS
2.5		Medium dense GRAVEL with cominor sand, tra- is subrounded are approximately 2 feet in diame (topsoil to 12 tr root zone). red-brown, with Medium dense GRAVEL with co boulders (GP-G gravel is subro cobbles are ap	, dark brown, clayey obbles and boulders (GC), ace organics; moist, gravel to subangular, cobbles tely 30%, boulders are 25 to 30% and up to ter, sand is fine to coarse to 16 inches, 3-inch-thick <u>h sand at 1.5 feet</u> to dense, red-brown lay, sand, cobbles, and C), trace organics; moist, unded to subangular, proximately 30%, boulders	2.0				Minor to moderat observed from 1.0	e caving) foot to 2.0 feet.
7.5		are approxima 2 feet in diame (decomposed k dry to moist (w 4.0 feet Exploration ter 6.5 feet due to	tely 25 to 30% and up to eter, sand is fine to coarse basalt). veathered bedrock) at minated at a depth of refusal on intact rock.	6.5				No groundwater s to the depth expl Surface elevation measured at the t exploration.	eepage observed ored. was not ime of
15.0								00	
EXCAVATED BY: Dan J. Fischer Excavating, Inc.				LOG	GED B	Y: H. F	lerinckx	COMPLET	ED: 12/02/22
EXCAVATION METHOD: backhoe (see document text)			N METHOD: backhoe (see document text)					IT TD 7	
	N	V 5	SHERWOODC-12-01			0.0			[
			DECEMBER 2022	SW DAHLKE DEVELOPMENT SHERWOOD, OR					

DEPTH	GRAPHIC LOG	MATEI	RIAL DESCRIPTION	ELEVATION DEPTH	TESTING	SAMPLE		STURE ENT %	COMN	IENTS
2.5		Very dense, rec with clay, grave sand, trace org subrounded to than 4 feet in c approximately coarse (topsoil 3-inch-thick roc brown, with sa at 3.5 feet	d and brown BOULDERS el, and cobbles, minor janics; moist, boulders are subangular and greater diameter, cobbles are 20%, sand is fine to to 6 to 12 inches, 2- to ot zone). nd (decomposed basalt)				•			
5.0		dry to moist at	5.5 feet							
7.5	-	Exploration ter 6.5 feet due to basalt.	minated at a depth of refusal on weathered	6.5					No groundwater s to the depth explo No caving observe explored. Surface elevation measured at the t	eepage observed ored. ed to the depth was not ime of
- - 10.0 — -	-								exploration.	
	-									
- - 15.0 — -	-									
- - 17.5 — -	-									
	-									
EXCAVATED BY: Dan J. Fischer Excavating, Inc.			LOG	GED B	Y: H. F	u 5 lerinckx	ou 1	COMPLETI	ED: 12/02/22	
EXCAVATION METHOD: backhoe (see document text									- - - -	
	N	V 5	SHERWOODC-12-01							
			DECEMBER 2022	SW DAHLKE DEVELOPMENT SHERWOOD, OR FIGURE					FIGURE A-8	



50 CH or OH "A" LINE 40 PLASTICITY INDEX 30 CL or OL 20 MH or OH 10 CL-ML ML or OL 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 0 110 LIQUID LIMIT **EXPLORATION** SAMPLE DEPTH MOISTURE CONTENT LIQUID LIMIT PLASTIC LIMIT PLASTICITY INDEX KEY NUMBER (PERCENT) (FEET) TP-6 3.0 32 22 10 ۲ 26

PRINT DATE: 12/19/22:SN

_ATTERBERG_LIMITS 7 SHERWOODC-12-01-TP1_9.GPJ GEODESIGN.GDT

60

111/15	SHERWOODC-12-01	ATTERBERG LIMITS		
IVJ	DECEMBER 2022	SW DAHLKE DEVELOPMENT SHERWOOD, OR		

RBERG LIMITS TEST RES	ULTS

FIGURE A-10

SAMPLE INFORMATION			MOISTURE	5.5%		SIEVE		ΤA	ATTERBERG LIMITS		
EXPLORATION NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH (FEET)	ELEVATION (FEET)	CONTENT (PERCENT)	DENSITY (PCF)	GRAVEL (PERCENT)	SAND (PERCENT)	P200 (PERCENT)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
TP-1	1.0		33				80				
TP-1	2.5		30								
TP-2	1.0		31								
TP-2	11.0		73				92				
TP-4	2.5		34								
TP-5	5.0		26								
TP-6	1.5		25								
TP-6	3.0		26				75	32	22	10	
TP-7	6.5		14								
TP-8	3.5		33								
TP-9	1.0		28								

N	V	h
		U

SHERWOODC-12-01	SUMMARY OF LABORATORY D	ΟΑΤΑ
DECEMBER 2022	SW DAHLKE DEVELOPMENT SHERWOOD, OR	FIGURE A-11

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX D

ESAL CALCULATIONS

TABLE D-1 ESAL Calculation: Ice Age Drive Traffic volumes according to information provided by Kittelson & Associates, Inc.							
١	Year of Traffic Count	2022		Pavement Type	Flexible		
A	Average Daily Traffic	5,000		Construction Year ¹	2023		
(One-way or Two-way	Two-way	Lane	Distribution Factor	100		
Compou	und Growth Rate (%)	1.50	Pe	ercent Heavy Trucks	3.7		
¹ Assumes pavement pu	It into service in the follow	ving vear					
FHWA	Average Daily Traff	ic by Classification	Conversion				
Classification	in 2	022	Factor ²	ESALs i	n 2022		
4	7.	0	135.3	94	16		
5	129	9.1	57.2	7.3	87		
6	23	5.8	156.2	3,7	14		
7	0.	.5	416.4	19	94		
8	21	.9	139.2	3,0	49		
9	1.	.9	256.3	47	78		
10	0.	.9	308.6	28	38		
11	0.	.0	331.7	()		
12	0.	.0	300.3	()		
13	1.	4	570.4	79	98		
² Directional Factor =	55 percent	Total ESAL	s in 2022	16,855			
		ESALs in Construc	ction Year (2023)	17,	107		
N		Cumulative		-041	Cumulative		
Year	ESALS	ESALs ³	Year	ESALS	ESALs ³		
2024 (1)	17.364	34,472	2049 (26)	25.194	564.319		
2025 (2)	17,625	52,096	2050 (27)	25,572	589,891		
2026 (3)	17,889	69,985	2051 (28)	25,956	615,847		
2027 (4)	18,157	88,142	2052 (29)	26,345	642,192		
2028 (5)	18,430	106,572	2053 (30)	26,740	668,932		
2029 (6)	18,706	125,278	2054 (31)	27,141	696,074		
2030 (7)	18,987	144,265	2055 (32)	27,549	723,622		
2031 (8)	19,271	163,536	2056 (33)	27,962	751,584		
2032 (9)	19,561	183,096	2057 (34)	28,381	779,965		
2033 (10)	19,854	202,950	2058 (35)	28,807	808,772		
2034 (11)	20,152	223,102	2059 (36)	29,239	838,011		
2035 (12)	20,454	243,556	2060 (37)	29,678	867,689		
2036 (13)	20,761	264,317	2061 (38)	30,123	897,812		
2037 (14)	21,072	285,389	2062 (39)	30,575	928,386		
2038 (15)	21,388	306,777	2063 (40)	31,033	959,420		
2039 (16)	21,709	328,487	2064 (41)	31,499	990,918		
2040 (17)	22,035	350,521	2065 (42)	31,971	1,022,890		
2041 (18)	22,365	372,887	2066 (43)	32,451	1,055,340		
2042 (19)	22,701	395,587	2067 (44)	32,938	1,088,278		
2043 (20)	23,041	418,629	2068 (45)	33,432	1,121,710		
2044 (21)	23,387	442,016	2069 (46)	33,933	1,155,643		
2045 (22)	23,738	465,753	2070 (47)	34,442	1,190,085		
2046 (23)	24,094	489,847	2071 (48)	34,959	1,225,044		
2047 (24)	24,455	514,302	2072 (49)	35,483	1,200,527		
2048 (20)		JJJJ,⊥∠4	2073 (50)	30,015	1,290,342		
Includes ESALs in cons	truction year as per meth	od in ODOT Pavement De	esign Guide				
2-rear ESALS	15-fear ESALS	20-Year ESALS	30-Year ESALS	40-fear ESALS	1 070 000		
55,000	∠30,000	402,000	052,000	5+∠,000	1,213,000		

