

SHERWOOD CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES 22560 SW Pine St., Sherwood, Or May 7, 2024

WORK SESSION

- 1. CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Rosener called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm.
- 2. COUNCIL PRESENT: Mayor Tim Rosener, Council President Kim Young, Councilors Doug Scott, Taylor Giles, Dan Standke, and Renee Brouse. Councilor Keith Mays was absent.
- 3. STAFF PRESENT: City Manager Pro Tem Craig Sheldon, City Attorney Ryan Adams, Assistant City Manager Kristen Switzer, Community Development Director Eric Rutledge, IT Director Brad Crawford, Public Works Utility Manager Rich Sattler, Economic Development Manager Bruce Coleman, Interim Planning Manager Joy Chang, HR Director Lydia McEvoy, Police Chief Ty Hanlon, Records Technician Katie Corgan, and City Recorder Sylvia Murphy.

4. TOPICS:

A. Murdock Park Master Plan Update

Assistant City Manager Kristen Switzer presented the "Murdock Park Master Plan Park Design Concepts" PowerPoint presentation (see record, Exhibit A) and introduced Brett Hoornaert with Lango Hansen. Mr. Hoornaert provided project background and explained that the project was currently in Phase 2. He explained that Phase 2 built upon the technical investigations, site analysis, and community feedback to develop creative design solutions that worked within site constraints, respected the project budget, and met community expectations. He outlined the project's guiding principles of: provide green solutions, promote health and accessibility, enhance the play experience, improve and expand park amenities, and provide and elevated park design. He provided an overview of the site analysis on page 5 of the presentation and reported that it was determined that the pond qualified as a wetland and therefore required a 50-foot vegetated setback as well as other programming stipulations. He noted that some of the existing pathways were not ADA accessible. He summarized the feedback from the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board and open house and reported that people loved the natural and serene feel of the park, people wanted to enhance the pond, a bathroom, and the playground should be updated for both parents and children. He noted that the addition of a sports court had been divisive, with feedback focused on the noise of the sports court detracting from the serene environment of the park and the fact that Snyder Park's sports courts were nearby. Councilor Scott commented that the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board discussion regarding the sports court cited similar concerns. Mr. Hoornaert continued that feedback also included the need for the park to be accessible and to be mindful of the informal ways in which the park was used and referred to wintertime sledding. He noted that in each concept, the pathway network had been expanded, the playground area had been made larger than the current play area, and each contained a restroom and shelter. He addressed Concept 1 on page 7 of the presentation and outlined that: the pathways largely followed the exiting pathways but would

be made more accessible; the play area would remain in roughly the same location with the addition of a central plaza space with a combined shelter and restroom to be located closer to the pond; the lookout area would be made larger and moved away from the road; and smaller scale sports facilities (ping pong or chess tables) were to be added. He addressed Concept 2 on page 9 of the presentation and outlined that: a portion of the park's grade would be raised to create a flatter area with a flexible lawn space and a flexible hardscape sports court; a shelter and restroom to be centrally located between the play area and the sports court; the play area would be shifted northward to help separate it from the shelter; the existing pathway would be diverted to create a longer trail with a pedestrian bridge over the wetland area; and the addition of a covered lookout that could be used year round. He addressed Concept 3 on page 11 of the presentation and outlined that: the pathways were more dynamic, playing into the nature theme; a smaller pond pathway with larger boulders to provide natural seating next to the pond would be added; a separated shelter and restroom; and the half-covered lookout area would be moved to the north edge of the pond. Mr. Hoornaert recapped that the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board had liked elements of each concept with the pathway option in Concept 3 being the most popular. Councilor Giles recommended not using trail material that could easily be thrown into the pond. Council agreed that they liked the trail network of Concept 3 best and that providing a sports court was unnecessary given the nearby sports facilities in other parks. Councilor Brouse asked if the existing playground equipment would remain or be replaced. Mr. Hoornaert replied that in each concept, the playground equipment would be replaced and explained that the current equipment was 11 years old and would reach the end of its design life within the next 4-9 years. He explained that Concept 1 could accommodate retaining the existing structures. Councilor Brouse explained that the existing play equipment had been purchased by the Rotary Club of Sherwood. She asked if it would be possible to work with the group again in some capacity on this project. Councilor Giles asked if the existing pond lookout needed to be replaced. Mr. Hoornaert replied that there had been some maintenance within the last five years, and it was in a state of good repair. Councilor Giles commented that he would prefer to provide an additional gathering place by leaving the existing lookout where it was. Council President Young commented she would prefer to utilize the existing playground equipment that had multiple years of use left rather than spend the money on new equipment. Assistant City Manager Switzer commented that the equipment could be replaced using a phased approach. Council commented they liked the boulders that provided a natural sitting area. Council discussed including ping pong tables in the park and their desire to provide a unique play opportunity. Mayor Rosener asked if any of the plans preserved existing trails to help cut down costs. Mr. Hoornaert replied that Concept 1 retained some of the existing trails, but large portions of the existing trails were not accessible and would need to be fixed regardless of the chosen concept. Councilor Giles asked if any of the concepts addressed existing maintenance issues at the park. Public Works Utility Manager Rich Sattler replied that overall, there were not large maintenance issues with the park. He noted that the existing culvert needed fixing, but the pedestrian bridge would pass over the area. Councilor Scott noted that Concept 2 would eliminate the ability for the park to be used for sledding in the winter. Councilor Giles referred to Concept 2 and said that the play area was not visible from the shelter, which would be a hinderance to parents, and he preferred the shelter placement in Concept 1. Councilor Scott stated he agreed and said that the pond lookout could also be a reservable space. Councilor Standke stated that he liked the additional trails, and he agreed that the sports court felt out of place for the area. Mr. Hoornaert recapped next steps and explained that the feedback from this meeting would be discussed at an upcoming Parks and Recreation Advisory Board meeting. After the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board meeting, the plans would be updated and published again for community feedback. He stated that staff would bring the feedback to Council to discuss a preferred option. Councilor Giles asked when prices would be attached to the concepts and Council commented on their desire to have a rough idea of the costs of different items within the plans.

B. Development Code Audit to promote Economic Development

Community Development Director Eric Rutledge and Economic Development Manager Bruce Coleman presented the "Development Code Audit to Promote Stronger Economic Development" PowerPoint presentation (see record, Exhibit B). Mr. Rutledge explained that conducting a Development Code audit in FY24-25 to promote economic development was a Council goal and said that staff was looking for direction from Council. Mayor Rosener asked how the city was defining "stronger economic development." Mr. Rutledge replied that to him, it meant creating the best opportunities to attract targeted industries to Sherwood as well as developing ways to speed up development to expand the tax base. Mr. Coleman commented that staff was aware that there were insufficient incentives within cities and the state to encourage business growth. He explained that if the city could expedite the entire process, it would create more opportunities for investment. He referred to economic cycles and how a quicker process would help developers in terms of timing economic cycles. Mayor Rosener commented it was important to try and attract developers that would bring jobs that paid high enough wages that would allow people to live in town. Mr. Coleman commented that the city's flexible code was what had attracted developers to Sherwood rather than neighboring cities. Councilor Giles asked if the city could offer incentives to developers or business owners to be the first business of certain categories to locate in Sherwood. Councilor Scott asked if there were burdensome rules or procedures that could be removed to make the process more streamlined. He commented he wanted to ensure that the city could move towards the desired outcome without being overly prescriptive. Community Development Director Rutledge outlined that of the confirmed leases, it was a roughly even split between manufacturing and warehousing. He referred to the Tonquin Employment Area and explained that the city could conduct an audit of the future tenants and bring that information to Council for review for potential restrictions going forward. Mayor Rosener recommended that staff review "the wins" thus far and determine if they had brought in the types of jobs the city had hoped they would. Councilor Giles commented that the city should also be mindful of the type of atmosphere certain industries would create within the city. Councilor Brouse stated that she preferred to determine how to incentivize living wage jobs. Mayor Rosener commented that the URA provided a large number of tools the city could use to incentivize those jobs and referred to SDC offsets. Community Development Director Rutledge stated that staff sought feedback on if Council wanted to create a process for staff to fast track land use reviews for certain types of industries in addition to URA incentives. He recommended that the city state the SDC offsets as a URA incentive to help attract developers and Mr. Coleman commented he agreed. Council President Young referred to warehousing and asked if mini storage would be allowed under that category. Mr. Rutledge replied that mini storage would not be permitted under warehousing. Councilor Scott stated he liked the idea of tying the SDC offsets to Councilor Giles' idea of trying to incentivize developers or business owners to be the first business of certain categories to locate within Sherwood. Economic Development Manager Coleman replied that advertising SDC offsets was a good way to attract developers and commented that the strength of the leasing marking would also play a large role. Council President Young asked if it was possible to analyze the future benefits the city would receive by offering SDC offsets to developers and discussion occurred. Mayor Rosener referred to the City of Wilsonville's use of tax increment financing and asked for staff to look into that option. Councilor Standke cited Policy 4.11 under Healthy and Valued Ecosystems of the Sherwood 2040 Comprehensive Plan and asked that the policy be included in the audit as an environmental incentive. He spoke on how the natural resources of Sherwood West could be incorporated into the design standards or used to incentivize developers to preserve more of the natural resources of the area and discussion occurred. Community Development Director Rutledge outlined that the economic Development Code was narrow in scope, but in order to achieve Council's goals, it may be necessary to broaden the scope. He explained that he and Economic Development Manager Coleman could review the Council goals to determine if any goals should be added to the scope of the project. Councilor Giles commented that Councilor Standke's suggestion could be included in the SDC offset incentives. Council discussed clear and objective standards and Mayor Rosener commented that the city could have more influence over the process if it had an incentive program. Discussion occurred regarding whether or not the incentives needed to be listed with in the Development Code. Mr. Rutledge commented that the incentives could be referenced but listing them

in the code was likely unnecessary and would make it harder to update the incentives. He stated that the process for fast tracking land use review should be cited in the Development Code to help defend Council decisions during the hearings process. Mr. Rutledge outlined that a review of the allowed, conditional, and prohibited uses in the Commercial and Industrial zones would be conducted. He referred to data centers and stated it was important that Council make those policy decisions and not city staff or Planning Commission. Mr. Coleman explained that data centers did not produce jobs, nor did they produce traffic, but they did create a revenue stream for cities and discussion occurred. Councilor Giles commented that he was fine with a smaller sized data center and Mayor Rosener commented that a policy was needed and referred to emerging Al data centers. Mr. Rutledge reported that he frequently received inquiries about data centers in Sherwood and commented that he wanted to get ahead of this topic before the city's hand was forced via a Land Use Application. Council asked that a work session be scheduled to discuss data centers. Community Development Director Rutledge recapped next steps and explained that staff would review the pertinent code sections as well as reviewing "Comparable Cities" per Resolution 2022-082. Staff would also conduct stakeholder interviews with developers and design professionals that had recently completed projects in Sherwood. Mayor Rosener asked that developers and design professionals that had completed projects in other cities that would be a good fit for Sherwood also be interviewed. Councilor Giles commented that developers who had expressed interest in Sherwood but had ultimately walked away should also be interviewed. Economic Development Manager Coleman spoke on industry trends and explained that the market was not favorable for built-to-suit lots. He explained that most developers wanted to own the properties and lease the buildings. Mr. Rutledge reported that per HB 2415, the city would move to a fully electronic building and planning permit system in 2025. He explained that hiring a Commercial Plans Examiner and/or striking a more favorable contract with a third-party plan reviewer would also be necessary to update the internal process.

Record note: Prior to the meeting, Community Development Director Rutledge provided Council with the "Improve Development Code to Promote Stronger Economic Development" memo (see record, Exhibit C).

5. ADJOURN:

Mayor Rosener adjourned the work session at 7:02 pm and convened a regular session.

REGULAR SESSION

- CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Rosener called the meeting to order at 7:10 pm.
- COUNCIL PRESENT: Mayor Tim Rosener, Council President Kim Young, Councilors Doug Scott, Taylor Giles, Dan Standke, and Renee Brouse. Councilor Keith Mays was absent.
- 3. STAFF PRESENT: City Manager Pro Tem Craig Sheldon, City Attorney Ryan Adams, Assistant City Manager Kristen Switzer, Community Development Director Eric Rutledge, IT Director Brad Crawford, Public Works Utility Manager Rich Sattler, HR Director Lydia McEvoy, Police Chief Ty Hanlon, City Engineer Jason Waters, Law Clerk Emma Gill, and City Recorder Sylvia Murphy.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

MOTION: FROM COUNCIL PRESIDENT YOUNG TO AMEND THE AGENDA, MOVING ITEM A UNDER PRESENTATIONS TO ITEM B AND ADDING THE RECOGNITION OF THE MUNICIPAL COURT

PROSECUTOR AS ITEM A. SECONDED BY COUNCILOR SCOTT. MOTION PASSED 6:0; ALL PRESENT MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR (COUNCILOR MAYS WAS ABSENT).

MOTION: FROM COUNCIL PRESIDENT YOUNG TO APPROVE THE AMENDED AGENDA. SECONDED BY COUNCILOR BROUSE. MOTION PASSED 6:0; ALL PRESENT MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR (COUNCILOR MAYS WAS ABSENT).

Mayor Rosener addressed the next agenda item.

5. CONSENT AGENDA:

- A. Approval of April 10, 2024, City Council Meeting Minutes
- B. Approval of April 16, 2024, City Council Meeting Minutes
- C. Resolution 2024-018, Authorizing the City Manager Pro Tem to Execute a Construction Contract with the Apparent Low Bid Contractor for the Cedar Creek Greenway Fence & Landscaping Restoration Project
- D. Resolution 2024-027, Approving a Grant Agreement with the State of Oregon to Receive \$4 Million in State Lottery Funds for the Hwy 99W Pedestrian Bridge Project
- E. Resolution 2024-028, Authorizing the City Manager to Sign a One-Year Extension to the On-Call Building Plan Review and Inspection Services Contract with Clair Company
- F. Resolution 2024-029, Approving an Employment Agreement with Sebastian Tapia to Serve as Interim City Attorney

MOTION: FROM COUNCILOR BROUSE TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA. SECONDED BY COUNCILOR SCOTT. MOTION PASSED 6:0; ALL PRESENT MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR (COUNCILOR MAYS WAS ABSENT).

Mayor Rosener addressed the next agenda item.

6. CITIZEN COMMENT:

Sherwood resident Mark Symonds came forward and stated that the new Brookman sewer line extension would traverse his property and provided a handout (see record) and referred to a previous email he had sent to Council on April 8th. He stated that the reimbursement district, as proposed by Clean Water Services (CWS) needed to be expanded to include a larger number of benefiting properties as only 22 property owners were being charged for the \$3.8 million extension. He stated that easement-related payments to landowners totaling up to \$674,000 were being included in the construction costs by CWS and were therefore being charged back to the property owners via the reimbursement district. Mr. Symonds stated that in addition to the initial assessment amounts being charged to the property owners through the reimbursement district, property owners were recently informed that they would also be charged an additional amount to run another pipeline through their properties so adjoining properties could connect to the pipeline. He explained that most property owners would have to finance the charges which would significantly increase the financial burden placed on property owners. He stated that CWS would make an additional \$2.1 million in income from these property owners, \$836,000 of which would be from collecting loan payments over the loan term. He stated that the assessments and loans in connection to CWS would place additional financial burdens on property owners by reducing the amount of funds they would be able to access from their banks for future home equity loans and home refinancing. Mr. Symonds stated that CWS had not replied to a letter he had sent on March 22nd nor to his submitted records request. He stated that based on the outlined issues, he requested that the city investigate the project, including the projected cost of the project, determine the number of property

owners that would utilize the pipeline, determine the minimum size pipeline required to serve the properties and ensure that only the costs related to the minimum size pipeline be charged to property owners via the reimbursement district.

Sherwood residents Dan and Joanne Tatman came forward and provided a handout (see record) and spoke on the new Brookman sewer line extension. Mr. Tatman stated that there was no information about the project available on the city's website. He stated that while the pipeline would serve a large area, the reimbursement district would only be comprised of 22 properties that would have the pipeline running through them. He commented that most properties were currently using septic systems and would not be utilizing the pipeline. He stated that the cost of the new pipeline should be shared equally by those who would benefit from the project. He stated that affected property owners were only informed of their share of the construction costs in March of this year. He outlined that property owners would need to take out a loan, with interest, in order to pay for the pipeline. He explained that should any of their septic systems fail, state law mandated that they must connect to the sewer line at their own expense. He stated that they would not be able to afford to do so and would be forced to sell their property. He stated that his loan would be around \$200,000, not including interest, and in 10 years, it would be over \$300,000. He stated that the figures CWS provided were subject to change because the total cost would be calculated 9 days after completion of the pipeline, which would be over two years from now. He stated that given the large area that this pipeline would serve, the city should contribute more financially to the cost of the project. Joanne Tatman stated that the pipeline would pass directly through the field where they kept their goats, and the installation would make half of their field unavailable for grazing for 1-2 years. She stated that they understood why the pipeline needed to cross their property, but they disputed that the reimbursement district would only be applied to 22 properties when it would service such a large area. She stated that the sewer line extension would cause a financial hardship for the property owners in the reimbursement district and asked that the city do what they could to help correct this "unfair and unreasonable" expectation from CWS and the City of Sherwood.

Mayor Rosener stated that their comments and concerns were very valid. He explained that CWS had designed the reimbursement district, not the City of Sherwood and commented that the city was informed of the district around the same time as the affected property owners. He commented that he agreed that the cost of the project should be spread out across more properties and said that he and City Manager Pro Tem Sheldon would meet to discuss this topic. He stated that the city would work to try and create a more equitable outcome with CWS, but the city did not have final say on the reimbursement district. He urged those who wished to express their concern about the project to attend a Washington County Commissioners meeting as Washington County Commissioners were also the CWS board members and provide comment. Ms. Tatman replied that they had contacted the Washington County Board of Commissioners, but the County referred them to CWS; and CWS had referred the Tatmans back to the informational documents they had been sent or to ask the city for more information.

Mayor Rosener addressed the next agenda item.

7. PRESENTATIONS:

A. Introduction of Interim City Attorney Sebastian Tapia and Recognition of Municipal Court Prosecutor Emma Gill

Mr. Tapia came forward and provided background on himself and stated that he had worked in government his entire career. He reported that he had served as a litigator for Lane County Counsel for 12 years. He stated that he had wanted to get into general counsel for some time and the fact that the Interim City Attorney position was a one-year opportunity was exciting to him. Council welcomed Mr. Tapia to the City of Sherwood.

City Attorney Adams reported that certified Law Clerk and Municipal Court Prosecutor Emma Gill's last day with the city would be May 10th and he wished to acknowledge the hard work and dedication Ms. Gill had shown during her time in Sherwood. Mr. Adams stated that Ms. Gill was, "one of the best law clerks I've ever had the chance to work with" and thanked her for her work and wished her well on her future endeavors. Ms. Gill replied that she had had a wonderful experience working for the city. Mayor Rosener commented that it had been great to work with Ms. Gill and Council President Young stated she appreciated how accessible her memos were. Council wished Ms. Gill the best of luck in her future endeavors and presented her with a certificate of appreciation.

Mayor Rosener addressed the next agenda item.

B. Proclamation, Proclaiming May 12-18, 2024 as National Police Week and May 15, 2024 as National Police Officers Memorial Day

Mayor Rosener read aloud the proclamation and stated that Congress and the President of the United States had designated the week of May 12-18, 2024 as National Police Week, and the women and men of the Sherwood Police Department provided a vital public service by safeguarding the rights and freedoms of the Sherwood community. He stated that it was important that all citizens knew and understood the duties, responsibilities, hazards and sacrifices of their police department and that members of Sherwood's Police Department recognized their duty to serve the people by safeguarding life and property, protecting them against violence and disorder and protecting the innocent against deception and the weak against oppression. Mayor Rosener stated that the safety of citizens was the foremost goal of local, state, and national governments and the ability to exercise the rights and freedoms as set forth by our Forefathers depended upon law and order. He stated that law enforcement was one of the few chosen professions that required the willingness to lay down one's life to protect others; and the daily performance of a Police Officer's normal duty was dangerous, stress-filled, often unappreciated and required a person with a supportive family. He proclaimed May 12-18, 2024 as National Police Week and May 15, 2024 as National Peace Officers Day in Sherwood and urged all citizens to recognize and thank Law Enforcement Officers for the dedicated service they provided.

Mayor Rosener addressed the next agenda item and the City Recorder read aloud the public hearings statement.

8. PUBLIC HEARING:

A. Ordinance 2024-001, Vacating City Public Right-of-Way located on an unnamed street within the Tonquin Employment Area east of SW Tonquin Road

City Engineer Jason Waters presented the staff report and explained that this ordinance would vacate a portion of an unnamed road located east of SW Tonquin Road. He reported that the road portion of the roadway was deemed too steep and not needed for vehicular access. He reported that staff had worked with PGE, Public Works Department, and all other franchise utilities and the area was no longer needed, and staff was therefore recommending the vacation of the outlined portions of the unnamed road. He stated that the Applicant representatives were present to answer any questions. Mayor Rosener asked for Council questions prior to opening the public hearing. Councilor Giles asked for the definition of "vacate" in this context and Mr. Waters explained that it meant that the city no longer maintained the public right-of-way of the area and it was no longer a city asset. He explained the difference between a public right-of-way and a utility easement. Councilor Giles asked if the city had paid for the access and Mr. Waters replied that this was an old county

road which the city had acquired when the land was annexed. Councilor Standke asked who initiated this process and Mr. Waters replied that the property owners had initiated the process.

Mayor Rosener opened the public hearing to receive testimony on the proposed ordinance. Glen Southerland with AKS Engineering came forward and stated that he had served as the consultant for the applicant, Schnitzer Properties. He asked for any questions from Council. With no questions, and no other public testimony received, Mayor Rosener closed the public hearing and asked for discussion from Council. Councilor Giles asked if there was a drawback for passing this ordinance. Councilor Scott replied that the road would be replaced by newer, "proper" roads so there were no negatives in his view. City Engineer Waters reported that the city would establish utility easements in the area and would record the necessary easements at the county. Councilor Standke asked what would happen to the old road and Mr. Waters replied that the area would be turned into a parking lot and storm lines would be routed through the area. Mayor Rosener reported that there would be a second reading on the proposed ordinance.

Mayor Rosener addressed the next agenda item.

9. CITY MANAGER REPORT:

City Manager Pro Tem Craig Sheldon reported that the city's Safe Routes to School grant application was now in Phase II. He reported that the Bike & Roll at Middleton Elementary event would be held on May 8th. He reported that the Sherwood Wine Walk event would be held on May 9th and the Saturday Market was now open. He reported that the Arbor Day event had been rescheduled to May 13th. He reported that the first Budget Committee meeting would be held next week.

Police Chief Ty Hanlon reported that a shred event would be held on May 18th at the Sherwood Police Department.

Mayor Rosener addressed the next agenda item.

10. COUNCIL ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Councilor Giles reported that Sherwood High School was putting on a production of "Almost Maine" and encouraged those interested to attend. He reported that Sherwood's concert choir group had done well in state competitions. He reported that the Sherwood Middle School track team would compete in their league finals this week. He reported that the library's "Learn to Hula" celebrating Hawaiian culture and heritage event would be held on May 18th.

Councilor Brouse reported she was unable to attend the Housing Advisory Committee as she was out of town. She reported she would attend the Senior Advisory Board meeting on May 8th. She reported that the next Chamber of Commerce meeting would be held on May 14th. She spoke on the Cruisin' Sherwood event and Sherwood Week. She reported that "Donuts and Donations" benefitting local charities would be held on May 11th.

Councilor Scott reported that he attended the Police Foundation's Boots and Bling Gala. He reported he attended the most recent Parks and Recreation Advisory Board meeting where they heard a presentation on Murdock Park.

Councilor Standke reported that tickets for the Wine Walk event were still available. He stated he attended Trashpalooza and reported that the event was well attended. He reported that the Planning Commission had not met and stated that there was still a Planning Commission vacancy. He encouraged those interested to apply. Councilor Scott reported that there were also vacancies on the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board. Councilor Standke reported that the Planning Commission would discuss creating climate friendly communities in Sherwood, after which the topic would come to Council for discussion.

Councilor Scott reported that the city had held an appreciation dinner for all board and commission members.

Council President Young reported on her attendance at the Police Foundation's Boots and Bling Gala. She reported the event raised \$122,000, \$88,000 net. She reported she and Mayor Rosener attended the Robin Hood Festival Madrigal Feast. She spoke on the State of the City Address event. She reported that she would be interviewed by the LOC for their publication regarding the Robin Hood Festival.

Mayor Rosener reported on his attendance at the Police Foundation's Boots and Bling Gala. He reported he would attend the Wine Walk event. He spoke on his attendance at the volunteer appreciation dinner. He spoke on his attendance at the Robin Hood Festival Madrigal Feast. He reported on recent Metro meetings where they discussed tipping fees. He reported he had an urban growth stakeholder meeting with Metro. He reported he attended the most recent NLC and LOC Telecom Policy Committee meeting. He reported that the city had applied for a broadband grant to continue to expand Sherwood Broadband's service area.

11. ADJOURN:

Mayor Rosener adjourned the regular session at 8:05 pm.

Attest:

Sylvia Murphy, MMC, City Recorder

Tim Rosener, Mayor