

## TABLE 1Summary of Cleanup Alternatives Compared to Evaluation CriteriaFormer Frontier Leather Tannery PropertySherwood, Oregon

	Protectiveness	Effectiveness		Long-term Reliability		Implementability		Implementatio	Risk	Sustainability	Climate Change Concerns		Rank			
Alternative No.		Scoring		Scoring	Scoring		Scoring		Scoring				Scoring			
and Title		None	0	D None		NA	NA		None 0		NA		None	4	(higher score =	Cost
		Low	1	Low	1	Difficult	1	High	1	No. of	Low	1	Low	3	more desirable)	
	Pass / Fail	Moderate	2	Moderate	2	Moderate	2	Moderate	2	Weeks	Moderate	2	Moderate	2	,	
		High	2	High	2	Easy	2	Low	2		High	2	High	4		
Alternative 1		T light	3		3	Lasy	5	LOW	5		i ligit	5		/		
No Action	Fail	None	0	None	0	Easy	3	None	0	0	Moderate	2	None	4	9	\$0
Alternative 2																
Removal and Disposal of Contaminated Soils and Hide	Pass	High	3	High	3	Easy	3	High	1	16	Moderate	2	None	4	16	\$3,850,000
Alternative 3																
Placement of Contaminated Soils and Hides in (HDPE)-Lined On-Site Containment Cell	Pass	High	3	Low	1	Difficult	1	Moderate	2	15	Moderate	2	Moderate	2	11	\$3,710,000
Alternative 4																
Placement of Contaminated Soils and Hides in Chemically Stabilized On-Site Containment Cell	Pass	High	3	Moderate	2	Easy	3	Moderate	2	13	High	3	Moderate	2	15	\$3,280,000
Alternative 5																
Placement of Contaminated Soils in Chemically-Stabilized On-Site Containment Cell; Removal and Disposal of Hides	Pass	High	3	Moderate	2	Easy	3	Moderate	2	12	Moderate	2	Moderate	2	14	\$4,310,000
Alternative 6																
Placement of Contaminated Soils in Chemically-Stabilized On-Site Containment Cell; Hides Managed In Place	Pass	High	3	Low to Moderate	2	Easy	3	Low	3	10	Low	1	Moderate	2	14	\$3,260,000
Alternative 7																
Removal and Disposal of Contaminated Soils; Hides Managed In-Place	Pass	High	3	Moderate	2	Easy	3	Moderate	2	11	High	3	None	4	17	\$2,630,000

Notes:

No. of weeks - total weeks estimated for construction

Green highlight identifies the remedial alternatives with the highest rank

Yellow highlight identifies the alternatives of similar score below the highest ranked alternatives

## TABLE 2 Summary of Costs for Each Remedial Alternative Former Frontier Leather Tannery Property Sherwood, Oregon

		Alternative 1		Alte	rnative 2	Alter	native 3	Alter	rnative 4	Alternative 5		Alternative 6		Alte	rnative 7		
Cost Categories Rate Units								Placement of		Contaminated Soils in		Placement of					
					nd Disposal of	Placement of Contaminated Soils and		Contaminated Soils and Hides in Chemically		Chemically-Stabilized On- Site Containment Cell:		Contaminated Soils in		Removal	and Disposal of	Explanation	
					Contamin	ated Soils and	Hides in HE	DPE-Lined On-	Stabiliz	zed On-Site	Removal	and Disposal of	Site Cor	ntainment Cell;	Contaminated Soils; Hides		
			N	lo Action	l	Hides Cost	Site Cont	ainment Cell	Contai	inment Cell	Quantity	Hides	Hides Ma	anaged In Place	Manag	led In-Place	
			Quantity	COST	Quantity	COSI	Quantity	COSL	Quantity	CONSULTA	NT COST	COSI	Quantity	COSI	Quantity	COST	
Workplan/Design (subtotal)			0	\$0	216	\$31,600	248	\$35,840	234	\$34,060	244	\$35,710	214	\$31,110	214	\$31,110	
Sr. Engineer	\$165	Per Hour	0	\$0	80	\$13,200	80	\$13,200	80	\$13,200	90	\$14,850	70	\$11,550	70	\$11,550	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars
Jr. Engineer PM - Geologist	\$120 \$155	Per Hour	0	\$0 \$0	48	\$5,760 \$7,440	40	\$4,800 \$7,440	36 48	\$4,320 \$7,440	36 48	\$4,320 \$7,440	36 48	\$4,320 \$7,440	36 48	\$4,320 \$7.440	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars
CAD	\$130	Per Hour	0	\$0 \$0	40	\$5,200	80	\$10,400	70	\$9,100	70	\$9,100	60	\$7,800	60	\$7,800	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars
Permitting (subtotal)	¢100	Destleur	0	\$0 \$0	200	\$24,000	200	\$24,000	200	\$24,000	200	\$24,000 \$24,000	200	\$24,000	200	\$24,000	
Bid Support	\$120	Per Hour	0	\$0 \$0	60	\$24,000	64	\$24,000 \$9,480	64	\$24,000	200 64	\$24,000 \$9,480	64	\$24,000	64	\$24,000	Estimated LOE IN 2017 dollars
Sr. Engineer	\$165	Per Hour	0	\$0	40	\$6,600	40	\$6,600	40	\$6,600	40	\$6,600	40	\$6,600	40	\$6,600	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars
Jr. Engineer	\$120	Per Hour	0	\$0 \$0	20	\$2,400 \$115,980	24	\$2,880 \$108,880	24 938	\$2,880	24 868	\$2,880 \$87,580	24 728	\$2,880 \$73,380	24 798	\$2,880	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars
Sr. Engineer	\$165	Per Hour	0	\$0 \$0	160	\$26,400	150	\$24,750	130	\$21,450	120	\$19,800	100	\$16,500	110	\$18,150	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars based on schedule
Jr. Engineer	\$120	Per Hour	0	\$0	160	\$19,200	150	\$18,000	130	\$15,600	120	\$14,400	100	\$12,000	110	\$13,200	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars based on schedule
Env. Tech Construction Report (subtotal)	\$85	Per Hour	0	\$0 \$0	208	\$70,380	220	\$66,130	678 220	\$57,630	628 230	\$53,380 \$33,150	528 230	\$44,880 \$33,150	578 230	\$49,130	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars based on schedule
Sr. Engineer	\$165	Per Hour	Ő	\$0	100	\$16,500	100	\$16,500	100	\$16,500	110	\$18,150	110	\$18,150	110	\$18,150	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars
Jr. Engineer	\$120 \$120	Per Hour	0	\$0 \$0	48	\$5,760 \$7,800	60	\$7,200 \$7,800	60 60	\$7,200 \$7,800	60 60	\$7,200 \$7,800	60	\$7,200 \$7,800	60 60	\$7,200 \$7,800	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars
5-Year Operations & Maintenance (subtotal)	\$13U	Fel Houl	0	\$0	0	\$7,800	160	\$21,200	160	\$21,200	160	\$21,200	280	\$36,150	135	\$17,250	Estimated LOE III 2017 dollars
Sr. Engineer	\$165	Per Hour	0	\$0	0	\$0	40	\$6,600	40	\$6,600	40	\$6,600	50	\$8,250	20	\$3,300	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars covering a 5-year period
Jr. Engineer CAD Specialist	\$120 \$130	Per Hour Per Hour	0	\$0 \$0	0	\$0 \$0	100 20	\$12,000 \$2,600	100 20	\$12,000 \$2,600	100 20	\$12,000 \$2,600	200 30	\$24,000 \$3,900	100 15	\$12,000 \$1 950	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars covering a 5-year period
Project Mgmt/Communication (subtotal)	φ100	1 of 11our	0	\$0	384	\$56,360	364	\$53,540	332	\$48,420	316	\$45,860	284	\$40,740	300	\$43,300	
PM - Geologist	\$155 ¢c5	Per Hour	0	\$0 \$0	256	\$39,680	244	\$37,820	220	\$34,100	208	\$32,240	184	\$28,520	196	\$30,380	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars based on schedule plus pre- and post-construction communications
Principal Review	ຈັດວ \$175	Per Hour Per Hour	0	\$0 \$0	52 76	\$3,380 \$13,300	48 72	\$3,120 \$12,600	48 64	\$3,120 \$11,200	48 60	\$3,120 \$10,500	48 52	\$3,120 \$9,100	48 56	\$3,120 \$9,800	Estimated LOE in 2017 dollars plus pre-construction communications
Labor Markup	6	%		\$0		\$16,020		\$17,066		\$15,800		\$15,419	•	\$14,881		\$14,326	
TOTAL CONSULTANT LABOR COST Expenses (subtotal)			0	<b>\$0</b>	2748	\$283,020 \$49.077	2443	\$301,506 \$43,473	2153	\$279,140 \$42,291	2008	\$272,399 \$41,700	1574	\$262,891 \$38,358	1866	\$253,096 \$41 154	
Mileage	\$0.65	Per Mile	0	\$0 \$0	2240	\$1,456	2100	\$1,365	1820	\$1,183	1680	\$1,092	1400	\$910	1540	\$1,001	No. of weeks * 5 days/week * roundtrip miles
Van	\$216	Per Week	0	\$0 \$0	16	\$3,456	3	\$648	3	\$648	3	\$648	3	\$648	3	\$648	No. of weeks * cost/week
Permitting	\$15 \$30.000	Lump Sum	0	\$0 \$0	411	\$6,165	264	\$3,960 \$30.000	264	\$3,960 \$30.000	264	\$3,960 \$30.000	120	\$1,800	267	\$4,005 \$30.000	Professional judgement (may change based on future dialouge with permitting agencies)
Other	\$100	Lump Sum	0	\$0	80	\$8,000	75	\$7,500	65	\$6,500	60	\$6,000	50	\$5,000	55	\$5,500	Other direct costs proportional to scale of each alternative
Expense Markup	15	%		\$0 \$0		\$7,362 \$56 439		\$6,521 \$49 994		\$6,344 \$48,635		\$6,255 \$47 955		\$5,754 \$44 112		\$6,173 \$47 327	
TOTAL CONSULTANT COST (LABOR + EXPENSE)				\$0	\$339,459		\$351,500		\$327,775		\$320,354		\$307,002		\$300,423		
Site Prep/Mob/Demob	\$1,000	Lump Sum	0	\$0	64	\$64,000	60	\$60,000	52	\$52,000	48	\$48,000	40	\$40,000	44	\$44,000	Proportional to equipment and manpower requirements to complete each alternative
Grubbing/Tree Removal	\$2,000	Per Acre	0	\$0	17.3	\$34,676	17.3	\$34,676	17.3	\$34,676	17.3	\$34,676	17.3	\$34,676	17.3	\$34,676	Total acres (10.2) * 1.7 to cover equpiment and disposal costs (engineer's experience)
Excavation/Grading	\$14.00	Per CY	0	\$0	50,352	\$704,932	50,352	\$704,932	50,352	\$704,932	50,352	\$704,932	38,974	\$545,631	38,974	\$545,631	Total CY to be moved
Disposal Hillsboro	\$9.00 \$33.32	Per Ton Per Ton	0	\$0 \$0	27,595 27,595	\$248,356 \$919,467	0	\$0 \$0	0	\$0 \$0	0.0	\$0 \$0	0.0	\$0 \$0	27,595 27,595	\$248,356 \$919,467	Total tons to be transported to Hillsboro Landfill Total tons to be disposed at Hillsboro Landfill
Transport to Riverbend (hides)	\$15.30	Per CY	0	\$0	22,757	\$348,185	0	\$0	0	\$0	22,757	\$348,185	0.0	\$0	0.0	\$0	Total CY to be transported to Riverbend Landfill
Disposal (hide splits only) Riverbend	\$38.20 \$1.85	Per CY Per SF	0	\$0 \$0	22,757	\$869,325 \$0	0	\$0 \$737 892	0	\$0 \$368 946	22,757 199,430	\$869,325 \$368,946	0.0	\$0 \$368 946	0.0	\$0 \$0	Total CY to be disposed at Riverbend Landfill SE of South Langoon * 1.2 to account for topography
CAP Cover/Backfill	\$32.00	Per CY	0	\$0	0	\$0	25,852	\$827,267	25,852	\$827,267	25,852	\$827,267	43,552	\$1,393,668	17,700	\$566,401	CY of cap * 1.4 to account for compaction
Phosphate Mixing	\$1,200	Per Ton	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	48.5	\$58,210	48.5	\$58,210	48.5	\$58,210	0.0	\$0	No. tons * mixing ratio of 0.003 per ton
Wetlands Mitigation	\$155,000 \$0.25	Per Acre Per SF	0	\$0 \$0	-3.9 544 895	-\$599,756 \$136,224	1.2 136 773	\$188,728 \$34 193	1.2 136 773	\$188,728 \$34 193	-1.3 136 773	-\$205,514 \$34 193	-1.3 136 773	-\$205,514 \$34 193	-3.9 136 773	-\$599,756 \$34 193	See note at bottom of table SE of wetland area * 1.5 for to meet state restoration requirements
Upland Hydroseeding	\$4,356	Per Acre	0	\$0	4	\$17,068	8.1	\$35,240	8.1	\$35,240	8.1	\$35,240	8.1	\$35,240	4	\$17,068	\$0.10 per SF for 1-acre or more; no. of acres * 1.5 to account for topography
Contractor Markup	15	%		\$0		\$411,372		\$393,439		\$345,629		\$468,519		\$345,758		\$271,506	
TOTAL CONTRACTOR COST				\$0		\$3,153,849		\$3,016,368		\$2,649,821		\$3,591,980		\$2,650,809		\$2,081,543	
										TOTAL PROJ	IECT COST						
CONSULTANT + CONTRACTOR COST (INCL 0&M)				\$0		\$3,493,307		\$3,367,868		\$2,977,596		\$3,912,334		\$2,957,812		\$2,381,967	
Contingency	10	%		\$0		\$349,331		\$336,787		\$297,760		\$391,233		\$295,781		\$238,197 Accounts for unknown conditions and volumes. Applied to consultant and co	
TOTAL PROJECT COST (CAPITAL COST + O	AL PROJECT COST (CAPITAL COST + 0&M)		\$0		\$3,842,638		\$3,704,655		\$3,275,356		\$4,303,567		\$3,253,593		\$2,620,163		
TOTAL PROJECT COST (CAPITAL COST + 0&M)	- ROUNDED					\$3,850,000	\$3,710,000		\$3,280,000		\$4,310,000		\$3,260,000		\$2,630,000		For use in comparative evaluation
Schedu	le (weeks) <sup>2</sup> =			0		16		15		13		12		10		11	See note at bottom of table

Notes:

The wetland mitigation unit cost is derived from estimated costs, as of 2017, for credits available from wetland mitigation banks within the designated watershed of the site. This unit rate cost is subject to change. Costs for wetland mitigation reflect the requirement for the purchase or sale of wetland credits depending upon the overall impact or restoration of site wetlands as proposed in each alternative. A negative quantity and cost for wetland mitigation indicates that a wetland mitigation bank would be established at the site and banked credits would be purchased from an established mitigation bank within the watershed to offset the estimated impact to site wetlands.

<sup>2</sup> Schedule is based on the number of weeks for on-site or off-site transport of contaminated materials, plus 2 weeks for mob/demob, plus between 1 and 5 additional weeks not covered by transport time.











Amec Foster Wheeler
Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. 7376 S.W. Durham Road
Portland, OR 97224

K\13000\13000\13082\DWG\\_ABCA\\_Revised\_2018\Figure 4 - Selected Remedial Alternative Map.mxd - stephane.descombes - 7/3/2018 - 1:13:49 PM