Addendum No. 2

8086 – Request for Proposal for Consultant Services
Storm System Master Plan Update

DATE: October 13, 2014

ADDENDUM NO. 2

Addition/Change to the Contract Documents
The work provided for in this addendum shall become a part of the RFP submittal.
The following include responses to submitted questions on the RFP:

**Question 1:** Have there been changes such as development plans, regulatory environment, or approach and solutions that have contributed to the City updating the plan at this time.

**Response:** In the time span since adoption of the current master plan, the City has experienced significant growth in development. Several expansion areas have been annexed into the City limits and new expansion areas have been identified from Metro's Urban Reserves designations. The City needs to account for these new areas and identify existing systems which are in need of remediation or upgrade.

**Question 2:** Please clarify area we would study, in relation to the existing Urban Growth Boundary (UGB).

**Response:** The primary boundary is the current UGB. New development and infill within areas within the UGB and more detailed planning in un-annexed areas including the Tonquin Employment Area and Brookman Expansion Area are needed. Impacts from potential improvements of the Urban Reserves west of Elwert Road may be included.

**Question 3:** If the City's intention is to only add the areas outside of the existing UGB for the study, does the City anticipate using the hydrologic model from the existing Master Plan and only update it with the newly added areas outside of UGB?

**Response:** The hydrologic model from the existing Master Plan may be used as a base to the proposed effort. The intent is that the infill and development within the UGB since the last Master Plan update along with the newly designated growth areas be incorporated into the model to bring it current.

**Question 4:** Please clarify if you have specific expectations of content for the 60% and 90% draft chapters, or if this is calling for two reviews of completed drafts?
Response: This intent is to perform a review of the document at the 60% and 90% level of completion.

**Question 5:** Are digital files of the PC-SWMM models available for us to view?

Response: The City has GIS files of the stormwater system, but does not appear to have the actual PC-SWMM data files used in modeling the system. The GIS files will be made available as part of the work effort.

**Question 6:** Are GIS map layers (e.g. SHP files or other formats) of the existing stormwater collection and conveyance system, in particular as modeled in PC-SWMM, available for us to view?

Response: The City has GIS files of the stormwater system, but does not appear to have the actual PC-SWMM data files used in modeling the system. The GIS files will be made available as part of the work effort.

**Question 7:** To date how many of the CIP's recommended in the 2007 master plan have already been designed and constructed?

Response: The 2007 Master Plan identified 26 projects, of which 2 were pipe/culvert remediation projects, which have been completed. The remaining 24 projects are related to regional storm water facilities, of which 2 have been completed. City staff has inspection records indicating other system deficiencies which may have an impact to overall stormwater management. The intent of this Master Plan update is to incorporate these known deficiencies into the Master Plan model and determine remediation projects along with regional treatment projects.

**Question 8:** What is the City’s current policy regarding Low Impact Development Approaches (LIDA), and does the City desire LIDA to be considered as part of the SWMP update and recommended stormwater CIP’s.

Response: The City acknowledges and supports use of CWS approved stormwater treatment approaches including LIDA. Generally, LIDA is approved for use on private development projects within the City. The City has not adopted the use of LIDA systems for public facilities. The use of LIDA systems on public facilities is taken on a case by case basis and approval of such systems use is predicated on acceptance by the City’s Public Works Department. LIDA systems that are not currently approved by CWS are not approved for use within the City.

**Question 9:** To what extent do City expectations from the requested SWMP Update reflect the results of the significant amount of planning work that has occurred in 2006-2007 when it had been over 13 years since the City’s previous SWMP was prepared in 1993, versus the 7 years since the 2006 update? We noticed that the current RFP is almost identical to the one issue for the 2006 update?

Response: The modeling assumptions from the 2006-2007 Master Plan may be used as a base to the proposed effort. The intent is that the infill and development within the UGB since the last Master Plan update along with the newly designated growth areas be incorporated into the model to bring it current. City staff has inspection records indicating other catchment and conveyance system deficiencies which may have an impact to
overall stormwater management. The intent of this Master Plan update is to incorporate the infill and development conditions along with known deficiencies into the Master Plan model and determine remediation projects along with regional treatment projects.

**Question:** Will the City extend the submittal date at least a week to allow proposers time to incorporate into proposals the City’s answers to questions from the various consultants who expressed interest?

**Response:** Given the timeline of response to these questions, the submittal date has been extended to Friday, October 17, 2014, at 4:00 PM.

This ADDENDUM shall be signed and attached to the Bidder's Proposal and shall subsequently become part of the Contract Documents.
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