

Julia Hajduk

From: romeco1@juno.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 1:39 PM
To: hadjukj@ci.sherwood.or.us; Julia Hajduk; Tom Pessemier
Cc: francine.west3@verizon.net; patersonj@ci.sherwood.or.us
Subject: time information presentation for public view

Mr. Sanford Rome
14645 SW Willamette Street
Sherwood, Oregon 97140
503.293.0714 Tel

December 1, 2009

Sherwood Planning Staff
c/o Julia Hadjuk, Planning Manager
Sherwood City Hall
Sherwood, Oregon 97140

Re: Sherwood Cannery PUD

Dear Staff--

After the last hearing it was my understanding that you would be posting all the information from the first hearing as well as other information that the applicants were gathering to be added to the record. I have checked the city's web site on and off during the past weeks since the hearing and find only today that something has been posted-- the agenda for the Dec 8th meeting.

Will the additional information from the staff, applicant, and people who testified be posted to your web site soon? The hearing is only six days away. Please let me know when it will be available to the public. You can email me the information at this email address, or call me directly at 503-293-0714, or courier it to my Sherwood address: 14645 SW Willamette St.

It was stated at the hearing that all information and materials to date would also be available-- Yet to be found on the web site.

At the first public hearing, We, the local citizens, were the last to know that the meeting had been delayed by an hour. ***It felt like a direct slap in the face!*** We, the neighborhood and local citizens, with the least amount of time to prepare for an open hearing, the inability to get needed info, were then put into a waiting game. The applicant: the City and Capstone came late to their meeting. Why? No explanation was offered and apologies are only words.

I sincerely hope that OPENNESS, and full information is really going to happen.

It appears that Capstone and City have had some 2 years head start on this plan. Now with falling property values in Sherwood, and the need to replace reduced value into the Urban Renewal that the Urban Renewal Agency and staff are worried about the bonding failure. If the program would have accommodated the citizens concerns, and Capstone understand that the citizen concerns be addressed, we would not suspect foul

play with this process. Another backroom, or across the counter deal should not be allowed, and will not be tolerated by the citizens. The applicant stated that they had previously worked out details with staff and Mr. Patterson. How does this happen? Does this preclude our due process, full disclosure and openness? What about the many departures from our municipal code regulations, and the needs for the citizens, the neighborhoods and the Old Town District?

Please add this letter to the record for the Sherwood Cannery PUD.

Sincerely,

Cc: Sherwood Planning Commission

[Hotel](#)

[Hotel pics, info and virtual tours. Click here to book a hotel online.](#)