City of Sherwood Oregon

Home of the Tualatin River National Wildlife Refuge

SHERWOOD CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
22560 SW Pine St., Sherwood, Or
June 17, 2014

EXECUTIVE SESSION

1. **CALL TO ORDER:** Mayor Middleton called the meeting to order at 6:31 pm.

2. **COUNCIL PRESENT:** Mayor Bill Middleton, Council President Linda Henderson, Councilors Krisanna Clark, and Bill Butterfield. Councilor Robyn Folsom via conference call. Councilors Dave Grant and Matt Langer were absent at the roll call and joined the meeting later.

3. **STAFF AND LEGAL COUNSEL PRESENT:** City Manager Joseph Gall, Assistant City Manager Tom Pessemier, Finance Director Julie Blums and City Recorder Sylvia Murphy. Labor Attorney Howard Rubin and Ursula Kienbaum with Olgetree Deakins.

4. **TOPICS:**

   A. Labor Negotiation pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(d).

5. **ADJOURN:**

   Mayor Middleton adjourned the Executive Session at 7:00 pm.

REGULAR SESSION

1. **CALL TO ORDER:** Mayor Middleton called the meeting to order at 7:05 pm.

2. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:**

3. **COUNCIL PRESENT:** Mayor Bill Middleton, Council President Linda Henderson, Councilors Krisanna Clark, Bill Butterfield, and Robyn Folsom via conference call. Councilors Dave Grant and Matt Langer were absent at time of roll call, attending meeting via conference calls at time of New Business.

4. **STAFF AND LEGAL COUNSEL PRESENT:** City Manager Joseph Gall, Assistant City Manager Tom Pessemier, Police Captain Ty Hanlon, Finance Director Julie Blums, Community Development Director Julia Hajduk, Public Works Director Craig Sheldon, City Engineer Bob Galati, Planning Manager Brad Kilby, Administrative Assistant Colleen Resch, and City Recorder Sylvia Murphy. City Attorney Chris Crean.

   Mayor Middleton addressed the Consent Agenda.

5. CONSENT AGENDA:

A. Approval of June 3, 2014 City Council Meeting Minutes
B. Resolution 2014-037 Certifying the provision of certain municipal services in order to qualify the City to receive State revenues
C. Resolution 2014-038 Authorizing the City Manager to enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) with Metro and Washington County regarding a Joint Industrial Site Readiness and Planning Grant benefiting Sherwood's Tonquin Employment Area

MOTION: FROM COUNCIL PRESIDENT HENDERSON TO ADOPT THE CONSENT AGENDA, SECONDED BY COUNCILOR CLARK, MOTION PASSED 5:0, ALL PRESENT MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR. (COUNCILOR FOLSOM VIA CONFERENCE CALL) (COUNCILORS LANGER AND GRANT WERE ABSENT).

Mayor Middleton addressed the next agenda item.

6. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Jim Claus, Sherwood resident approached the Council and commented when he first moved to Sherwood he read the Charter and said he read the recent Charter and it implies it is the same but it is not. He commented regarding Sherwood having the strongest form of City Manager government you can have, with the exception of the supposed City attorney, which we don't have. He said we have attorneys under contract to represent the Mayor, the City Manager and the Council and by definition that is not a City attorney. He said everyone works for the City attorney and commented regarding a former City Manager and a Council member talking to someone and their job was threatened and they had to resign. He said somewhere or another that all got lifted out of the charter. He said all of sudden we have so called policy makers, nonpaid citizen representatives making administrative decisions. He commented regarding former Mayor Hitchcock and former City Manager Rapp and 42 acreage of light industrial land and a mess regarding Home Depot, across the street, and ODOT. He said it just turned into chaos and he wants to know if we are a strong City Manager form of government where you set policy and he runs the City and he is judged on that. He said our charter has been changed to the point that it doesn't do it anymore although you are supposed to. He asked what they are doing getting involved in day to day decisions and said he believes that all falls under the charter and said, but more than that it is now to the point of being an election issue. He commented on the articles of faith. He said we need to have a set of summarized standards and you need to sign off on it because it will be an issue in the next election. He asked what we are doing buying 19 acres out of town, planning to rezone it and bringing it in as Mayor Mays did with the piece next to the Y. He asked why we are doing things like that and said that is not a policy decision. He commented regarding a water line that you lost the vote on and the attorneys forget to tell you that because if the citizens wait long enough the statute runs out on it. He suggested adopting fundamental principles and signing off on them so when the election comes we have the issue because rightly, as with the annexation, they did not come in because they did not trust the city and the county finally took it and developed it. He suggested a statement of what you stand for because it looks like you are hands on managers and that is not what the majority of us want to vote for.

Mayor Middleton stated Mr. Claus previously gave him a copy of the article on public service and asked if everyone on Council received a copy. Mr. Claus said no that he sent it to Mayor Middleton because he wanted someone to look at something that a typical graduate student would be required to prepare for each form of government. He explained the document in question as a Berkley PhD program. He

commented regarding a situation where a Council person talked to the City Manager's employees and that person was disturbed enough that they needed to resign to the point they will go to that staff around the City Manager. Mayor Middleton asked Mr. Claus to give a copy of the letter to the City Recorder and she will hand it out.

Mayor Middleton addressed the next agenda item and Councilor Langer joined the meeting via conference call.

7. NEW BUSINESS

A. Resolution 2014-039 Adopting the Capital Improvement Project Plan for Fiscal Year 2014-15

Finance Director Julie Blums stated this is the annual Capital Improvement Plan that covers the upcoming FY 2014-15 and outlines future projects that the City will consider. She said the attachment outlines additional projects that they have contemplated for the next five years. She stated this is the same document that was provided during the budget process.

Mayor Middleton asked if Public Works Director Craig Sheldon had anything to add. Craig said once the Water Master Plan is completed there may be some projects identified at the treatment plant or in the City limits such as upgrades but we won't know that until half way through the year.

Julie stated as we are going to be doing master plans for all of the utility funds this year, this will probably change dramatically for next year. She said Community Development Director Julia Hajduk wants to work on enhancing this and adding more detail for what we bring forward next year.

With no further Council questions Mayor Middleton asked for a motion.

MOTION: FROM COUNCIL PRESIDENT HENDERSON TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2014-039, SECONDED BY COUNCILOR BUTTERFIELD, MOTION PASSED 6:0, ALL PRESENT MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR, COUNCILORS FOLSOM AND LANGER VIA CONFERENCE CALL. (COUNCILOR GRANT WAS ABSENT).

Mayor Middleton addressed the next agenda item.

B. Resolution 2014-040 Transferring Budget Expenditure Appropriations between categories for Budget Year 2013-14

Julie Blums stated this is an appropriations transfer and there are several items that we need to address. She said the first is in the Street Capital Fund and reminded the Council that she previously brought an appropriations transfer for this fund and they have discovered that they have nearly managed to complete the TSP update and they didn't think they would be that far along. She said this will just get us finished up this year on the Street Capital Fund. She stated they are transferring an appropriation of $50,000 to cover additional legal expenses they incurred this year related to multiple things such as the Special Committee and the Charter Review Committee. She said they had several investigations that they had not planned on. She said for Community Development they are transferring $150,000 to pay for additional costs related to the development spike we had this year.

Mayor Middleton stated the Charter Review Committee was about $26,000 and asked what the cost of the Special Committee was. Julie said the Special Committee was about $26,000. Mayor Middleton noted

that the Charter Review Committee is ongoing and asked if we planned for that in the next budget. Julie said they did estimates.

Council President Henderson stated that the money is basically material and services fees, and asked regarding the Charter Review Committee, if you are counting the City Manager and the City Recorders time and a very small amount for a City Attorney. Julie said that any attorney time is what this would be related to. Councilor Henderson noted that it should be remarkably less.

Mayor Middleton said the capital outlay is the Street Capital Fund. Julie said yes, we are transferring it out of the capital outlay line and moving it to the personal services and material and services line for Street Capital.

With no further Council questions, Mayor Middleton asked for a motion.

MOTION: FROM COUNCIL PRESIDENT HENDERSON TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2014-040, SECONDED BY COUNCILOR BUTTERFIELD, MOTION PASSED 6:0, ALL PRESENT MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR, COUNCILORS FOLSOM AND LANGER VIA CONFERENCE CALL. (COUNCILOR GRANT WAS ABSENT).

Mayor Middleton addressed the next agenda item and Councilor Grant joined the meeting via conference call.

C. Resolution 2014-041 Authorizing the City Manager to enter into a contract with Blackline, Inc. for the 2014 Slurry Seal Program

Public Works Director Craig Sheldon informed of the annual slurry seal program and said they have partnered with Washington County in the past but they do not want to partner this year so they were able to work with the City of Hillsboro to get through the RFP process which saved money, allowing for better pricing on materials and services. He stated Blackline, Inc. is the lowest responsive bidder and requested authorization for the City Manager to sign the contract for $80,000. He said the streets are identified in the staff report. He said staff has provided an amended staff report (see record, Exhibit A) and had to add some streets and delete some streets to make sure they stay within the $80,000. He stated it is mainly the Oregon Trail subdivision and some street that need clean up from last year that did not get complete, and Century Drive is identified because they are expecting more traffic.

Mayor Middleton asked if the Councilors via conference call received the amended version. The City Recorder noted that it was emailed to the entire council.

Councilor Butterfield asked when the work is scheduled to be completed. Craig said the week of July 4th they will begin grinding and depending on the weather they are hoping to do the sealing July 7, 2014. He said they will be here only two days and the property owners have been notified and they will get door hangers 48 hours before.

Council President Henderson asked Craig to explain what the slurry seal program does for our road maintenance system. Craig said it is a thin emulsion that goes down with the sanding material and over time the sand rolls up and they come back and sweep it up. He said it is preventive maintenance and costs about $1.30 a square yard versus $10-$15 for replacing a road at about $75-$80 for a ton of asphalt. He noted if you do that over the life cycle of the road you should be able to slurry seal 3 to 4 times before the road needs reconstructing or overlay. He stated it is a cost saving method of preventive maintenance. Councilor Henderson asked how they chose the roads on the list. Craig said through the

pavement management system they all rate from 81-78 and once you do this maintenance it will bring those numbers up. He said you want to stay around the 80 mark with the slurry program.

Councilor Clark asked how many years have we done the slurry seal program. Craig said we started in 2005 and there were a few years the program wasn't funded and we have been doing it regularly from 2008 or 2009.

With no further questions, Mayor Middleton asked for a motion.

MOTION: FROM COUNCILOR CLARK TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2014-041, SECONDED BY COUNCILOR BUTTERFIELD, MOTION PASSED 7:0, ALL PRESENT MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR, COUNCILORS FOLSOM, LANGER AND GRANT VIA CONFERENCE CALL.

Mayor Middleton addressed the next agenda item

D. Resolution 2014-042 Authorizing the City Manager to enter into a contract with Musco Sports Lighting LLC for installation of field lights at Laurel Ridge Middle School and additional lights at Sherwood Middle School

Craig Sheldon said the project started about 1½ years ago and there were no funds in SDCs to fund the project for field lights at Laurel Ridge Middle School, a couple of lights at the baseball field and two lights at Sherwood Middle School. He said they looked at several options and the current system is Musco Lighting. He said the Recreation Manager can control the lights and they weighed the options of going with other companies but it made sense to have another set of Musco Lights so all of the system is the same. He said they did an intrastate agreement with King County Directors Association and asked if they could use their price break and they approved it. He said the City Attorney has reviewed the agreement. He said Musco Lighting has the contract with King County which is a large area in Seattle and they have a contractor that does the work for them and they do the electrical engineering and everything. He said in February an agreement was signed until next February then they will rebid after that. He said this has been through the Parks Board, the Budget Committee and is in this next year's budget. He stated the breakdown is $302,330 for the construction and materials, $4850 for engineering cost, $2836.62 for performance and payment bonds, and the School District has asked for two additional outlets on the poles at the Ridges and Sherwood Middle School, which they will pay $1820. He stated the total is $311,835.62 and said staff is asking for a 10% contingency which is $31,184.00. He said they do not anticipate problems and they are going to try to complete the project in 10 to 12 weeks so they can have it ready for football.

Mayor Middleton asked Councilor Butterfield as the liaison to the Parks Board to update the Council. Councilor Butterfield said they have been working on this for a couple of years and have just been waiting for the money. He said they have discussed it at the Parks Board several times and he has met with PGE at the site and they have offered to help with maintenance costs after they are installed. He said he spoke with several of the organizations who will be using the facilities and that is the reason they brought it to the City. He commented on the amount of work that has been done and the need for the project.

Mayor Middleton noted that this will save those organizations money by not having to rent the lights. Councilor Butterfield explained they use gas-powered generators to run the lights now and they are loud.

Council President Henderson asked when the project is expected to start. Craig responded once the contract is signed there is a waiting time for the materials and they are expecting to have it complete in 10

to 12 weeks. He noted that this went through the Hearings Officer and the lights will be on until 10 pm and said there were two neighborhood meetings held regarding the project.

Councilor Clark asked about the attendance at the meetings. Craig said 5 people in total.

Councilor Folsom said she appreciates the work of the Parks Board and of Councilor Butterfield. She stated to continuing pushing forward and this will be a great asset for the community.

Council President Henderson clarified about the lights being on until 10 pm. Craig said there is a state law regarding noise ordinances that it has to be quiet from 10:15 pm. Councilor Henderson said we have an ordinance that lights have to be off by 8:15 pm in parks. Craig said that the lights at Snyder Park are a different scenario where this is at a school district location. He said the lights at Snyder are 35 to 50 feet away from properties which is why it maybe 8:15 pm but all the other schools have been 10:00 pm or 10:30 pm and this is consistent with what we have been doing.

With no other comments, the following motion was received.

MOTION: FROM COUNCILOR BUTTERFIELD TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2014-042, SECONDED BY COUNCIL PRESIDENT HENDERSON, MOTION PASSED 7:0, ALL PRESENT MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR. COUNCILORS FOLSOM, LANGER AND GRANT VIA CONFERENCE CALL.

Mayor Middleton addressed the next agenda item

E. Resolution 2014-043 Approving the Terms of an Employment Agreement between Joseph Gall and the City of Sherwood

Council President Linda Henderson said this is a 3 year contract with Joe Gall with some minor changes. She said she and Councilor Grant met with Mr. Gall on a couple of occasions to discuss any concerns he had with his contract. She said she assumes all Councilors had an opportunity to talk to Mr. Gall on a one on one situation as everybody meets with him. She stated his current contract expires June 30, 2014 and this contract's effective date would be July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2017.

Councilor Grant stated he agrees and said they met with Joe and every opinion was represented, and said they came up with what he thinks is a good contract.

Mayor Middleton asked for comments from the Council members.

Councilor Langer said he followed the process and believes they came up with a good contract.

Councilor Folsom stated she agreed.

Councilor Butterfield replied he was good.

Mayor Middleton said the first time he saw this was in the packet and was not kept up to date on the whole process. He said he has historical background and can't agree with this contract and said he has some suggestion the Council may make. He stated on October 15th Mr. Gall was not meeting his goals and had been here for over a year and said we should have reviewed the contract in February but it went to October. He said at that point we wanted a 3 to 5 year revenue forecast, and there had been some

issues with staff that he was supposed to work out, and on November 5th he was still not meeting his expectations according to Council and a work plan was given to him.

Council President Henderson interjected and said those were issue that were discussed during Executive Session and were not discussed outside of Executive Session.

City Attorney Chris Crean said he heard the Mayor say they were discussed by the Council and asked at what point were they discussed by the Council in public session. Mayor Middleton said we just got this now.

Mr. Crean said he heard the Mayor say the list was discussed by Council. Mayor Middleton said we did not come out in public session after that, so this would be.....

Mr. Crean stated only the Council as a whole can waive the privilege of Executive Session. Mayor Middleton replied okay.

Mayor Middleton said from his perspective we have not met the five year plan, we have not worked on other issues that Joe fell short on such as expectations, public security and a safety advisory board. He said we did not initiate the neighborhood school events, we did not develop the strategic plan for organization, we also spoke numerous times about hiring a City Attorney and still have not done that. He said he likes Joe and he thinks the Council works with him but he would like to see the contract extended for one year so the next Council gets to make the decision and if we wait three years and the next Council is not supportive of him we automatically have to pay him for 6 months. He said it should be up to the next Council and not us to make the decision on that. He commented on the pay raise, the increase in car allowance and the YMCA benefit, he said he did not think at this point he is due any of these and is still falling short of his expectations and he believes other Councilor's expectations. He said he is in favor of a one year contract and leaving all the language as is now. He stated he was not kept up to date on the contract negotiations and he did email one of the Councilors and asked what was going on and was told he would be presented with it and he was presented with it at the last meeting for setting the agenda and it was not something that was given to all of the Council.

Mayor Middleton asked if all the Council got copies during the process.

Councilor Butterfield stated he did not know how many there were but did get some.

Mayor Middleton said he did not get any and does not think it was all of the Council agreeing on the contract just a certain few and said he does not think this is the way we want to do business. He said the way we want to do business is we all sit down and all meet and we all make a decision, and not doing some things behind a few of the Council and try and push forward their agenda. He said this is why he would vote no this.

Councilor Langer said he heard plenty of updates all along the process. Mayor Middleton gaveled and interjected and asked Councilor Langer to please wait to be talked to, and said when you want to talk please say you want to talk and give your name and do not mention anything about what he had or others have done.

Councilor Langer said it sounded like the Mayor asked if they had received updates all along and before we had a chance to answer is seemed like you kept talking but maybe he misheard. He said he wanted to

make sure that the Mayor knew that he had updates all along and he had a good understanding of how the process was going.

Mayor Middleton asked why certain Councilors were left out of the process.

Council President Henderson replied that the Mayor made it clear to her early on that he wouldn't consider anything but a one year contract and the Mayor attached a cryptic email to her about something that was covered under executive session that he was comfortable divulging.

Mayor Middleton said that is not true and never said he was stuck on the one year and he is just saying from Council's point of view you meet with all of the Council and talk to all of the Council and not just meet with 3 or 4 that you know will support you. He said this is a Council decision and he does not think it was done properly.

Councilor Henderson said she and Councilor Grant met with Joe and other councilors did not meet with her and Councilor Grant. Mayor Middleton replied, but you gave updates. She replied and said we told them that all we had to decide on was a raise, the term and that there were no other outstanding issues. She said you had given me your term and Councilor Grant called Councilor Clark but did not receive a phone call back.

Councilor Clark said that is not true and said she spoke with Councilor Grant.

Councilor Grant said that Councilor Henderson is correct at one time and a few days ago Councilor Clark did call him back and they had a discussion about it. He said he did not call Mayor Middleton because he made his opinion very clear by email days ago and he said he felt that everyone had a chance to weigh in and see this. He said everyone is making the decision tonight with everything before us and the failures that the Mayor has mentioned are failures of Council not failures of Joe. He said they are failures of leadership on the Council and failures of the Mayor himself and this is his opinion.

Mayor Middleton gaveled and said Councilor Grant will be muted if he brings up his name again. He instructed the City Recorder to mute the call.

City Attorney Crean informed the Mayor he cannot mute the call. Mayor Middleton replied he cannot mention my name and he is violating Council Rules. Mr. Crean said everyone is mentioning everyone's name and he does not think there is any ill intent but you can't mute a member. Mayor Middleton said he does not want Councilor Grant to mention his name again and it is under Council Rules and we have been discussing this and he is giving his opinion of me. Mr. Crean stated he heard and understood. Mayor Middleton replied to Mr. Crean that he did not ask for his opinion at this time.

Mayor Middleton asked where are the performance standards that he has done to actually give him this raise and the increase in car allowance and the YMCA. He said he is worried about taxpayer money and he knows we are going to keep him and he has no problem with that. He said he could make it and he is doing a better job but not the job that we want to give him all these perks at this time. He said he would like to give him a one-year contract and would even agree to the increases if we go to a one-year contract so we can let the next Council decide. He said we don't want to handcuff the Council into being forced with a 3 year contract. He said that is the only change he would make, to make it a one-year contract.

Councilor Clark said she did have a discussion with Councilor Grant and he basically told her what had been decided and it was fine and allowed her a chance to think about it. She said her concern is.....she enjoys working with Joe and said he responds very well to her now and if she contacts him, he contacts her back. She said she did not have any problems in that manner and thinks her concern is a historical concern of what has happened with meeting the goals and getting things done that we need to get done. She said it would be prudent to not give a long contract and doesn't believe this is negative and said she definitely wants to continue on with the contract but thinks that three years seems extensive. She said particularly looking that we could potentially have a new range of Council members and believes Mayor Middleton makes a good point that it's a negative blight, but believes we should look at it in a calm manner and ask what is the best thing for the City and for Joe. She stated he has shown some real strides and if we continue to talk with him and work with him and let him know that this is what we need then she believes it is easier for him to perform. She said if you say here's three years and you figure it out, she believes that is much harder and believes to keep on top of it and to make sure we get what we need is prudent and not a negative thing but just prudent.

With no other comments received, the following motion was stated.

MOTION: FROM COUNCILOR GRANT TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2014-043, SECONDED BY COUNCILOR FOLSOM, MOTION PASSED 5:2. (COUNCILORS HENDERSON, GRANT, FOLSOM, BUTTERFIELD AND LANGER VOTED IN FAVOR, MAYOR MIDDLETON AND COUNCILOR CLARK VOTED AGAINST).

Mayor Middleton addressed the next agenda item and the City Recorder read the public hearing statement for Resolution 2014-044, Resolution 2014-045 and Resolution 2014-046.

8. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A. Resolution 2014-044 Adopting a Schedule of Fees as authorized by the City Zoning and Community Development Code, establishing fees for miscellaneous City services and establishing an effective date

Finance Director Julie Blums presented the annual update to the Fee Schedule effective July 1 and said this year we have a limited number of changes. She commented on the changes and said they are going to eliminate the alarm permit registration process. She said in researching other cities a lot of them have a registration process to try to mitigate false alarms and said Sherwood does not have an issue with false alarms and this is not a process we need and we don't need to charge people to register their alarms for a problem we don't have. She said staff will be bringing a code change forward for the July 1 meeting but because it has a fee portion to it she wanted to make sure it was in this Fee Schedule. She referred to police report video tapes and said we don't use video tapes anymore so we will take that fee out of the schedule, and copies for discs will be changed to make it consistent with all the other fees for discs. She referred to the court section and said the state legislature made some changes that puts discretion back into the hands of municipal judges so we don't need these separate fees in the schedule. She said under utility rates the sanitary storm rates are increasing due to increases from Clean Water Services and said we are not increasing our surcharge. She said the system development fees as were discussed at the May 6 Council meeting are being put forward with a 50% reduction on all city street SDCs. She noted that Clean Water Services has an increase in sewer SDC's as well. She said the last one is the in lieu of fee for fiber and said now we require developers to put the conduit in when they do new construction and if

they choose to not do that they have to pay the in lieu of fee so that the City can do that work. She said the fee of $10 a linear foot was not covering the cost so they will increase that fee.

Council President Henderson referred to the staff report on page 52 of the packet that shows utility rates increasing 3% for sanitary and 8% for storm and asked if those are the rates on our combined water bill and asked if those are fees imposed by Clean Water Services which is a jurisdiction that services our community. She asked when do citizens have an opportunity to attend a public hearing on their fee increases and how are they justified. Julie said their public hearing is tonight and they follow the same process that we follow because they are a local government and their meeting just happens to be tonight but people can call and provide feedback. Council President Henderson asked if historically those rates go up every year and is it normally 8% for storm. Julie said 8% on $1.56 is small but yes we have every indication that this will continue for the next few years.

Mayor Middleton clarified that this would reduce our SDCs by 50%. Julie said yes, for streets.

Councilor Henderson clarified that we do not have a water rate increase in this budget or in the Fee Schedule. Julie said that is correct and we will look at that again when we finish the master plan and said we will do an SDC update at that point.

Councilor Folsom stated that Councilor Grant's phone line dropped.

Julie commented to Councilor Henderson that they put a notification on the water bill of how to provide feedback to Clean Water Services.

City Recorder note: Councilor Grant was reconnected to the meeting.

Mayor Middleton opened the public hearing. With no one coming forward Mayor Middleton closed the public hearing. The following motion was received.

MOTION: FROM COUNCILOR CLARK TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2014-044, SECONDED BY COUNCILOR BUTTERFIELD, MOTION PASSED 7:0, ALL PRESENT MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR, COUNCILORS FOLSOM, LANGER AND GRANT VIA CONFERENCE CALL.

Mayor Middleton addressed the next agenda item.

B. Resolution 2014-045 Declaring the City of Sherwood's election to Receive state revenues

Finance Director Julie Blums said this is an annual item that we have to do, to hold a public hearing to allow citizens to comment on how we spend our state shared revenue. She said this year the estimate is about $178,000. She said in the past it is general fund money but if people have specific ideas of how they want the money spent they can provide it now.

Mayor Middleton opened the public hearing.

Eugene Stewart approached the Council and recommended that a small portion of the money could be used for a feasibility study to put solar energy to work on public buildings and said the study could let us know what it would save the citizens if we converted our buildings to solar energy.

Mayor Middleton said that is a good idea.

Councilor Butterfield said he is in the business and knows for a fact that it would be a 8 to 9 year payback to put solar on the buildings here in Sherwood. Mayor Middleton said it is something that we could look at. Councilor Butterfield said as long as the state continues to provide tax benefits to make it feasible otherwise it is not worth it.

With no other public comments received, Mayor Middleton closed the public hearing. The following motion was received.

MOTION: FROM COUNCILOR CLARK TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2014-045, SECONDED BY COUNCIL PRESIDENT HENDERSON, MOTION PASSED 7:0, ALL PRESENT MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR, COUNCILORS FOLSOM, LANGER AND GRANT VIA CONFERENCE CALL.

Mayor Middleton addressed the next agenda item.

C. Resolution 2014-046 Adopting the FY 2014-15 budget of the City of Sherwood, making appropriations, imposing and categorizing taxes, and authorizing the City Manager to take such action necessary to carry out the adopted budget

Mayor Middleton opened the public hearing.

City Recorder note: the Council received a letter via email dated June 16, 2014 from Justin Wood with the Home Builder's Association of Metro Portland to be considered for the public hearing, (see record, Exhibit B).

Eugene Stewart came forward and said he is concerned about the unfunded liabilities for PERS and last he heard it was $7 million and every year the $7 million sits out there you are costing the taxpayers an estimated 5% on growth and 5% on earnings which is 10% and that means they will have to come up with sometime in the future another $700,000 to get that back down to zero. He said it is not right to say we can pay it down the road, there is still a cost associated every year that we have unfunded liabilities and we need to recognized that and try to get it back down. He said by not getting unfunded liabilities down we are also paying a higher rate on an annual basis. He asked how much does that cost us, he said this should be brought out in the budget more than it has been in the past.

With no other comments received, Mayor Middleton closed the public hearing.

Councilor Folsom said she discussed everything she needed to at the meeting and thanked Julie for the process and said it was very transparent and noted each year she servers on the Budget Committee she learns more and understands more and has a greater capacity to see where we are spending our money. She congratulated the staff on doing a great job of conserving resources and coming out with a 31% reserve.

Councilor Grant agreed and thanked the entire Budget Committee and Julie for putting together a budget that they could easily understand, discuss and come to an agreement on.

Councilor Langer stated he agreed with the comments and thanked the volunteer citizen for hours of work at the Budget Committee and the guidance of the Chair and congratulated staff for putting together a

great budget and numbers that are easier to work with. He said it is great to see projects being taken care of.

Councilor Clark thanked the volunteers on the Budget Committee and said it is a lot of hours and said Mr. Gall tried something new this year with a Saturday meeting and it was a good attempt at working out of the box and she appreciates all of the citizens who came. She stated it takes a lot of work for staff to get this together and thanked them.

Council President Henderson agreed with the comments and said she appreciates the Budget Committee members and said they had some hard questions and every year the budget has been better and easier to read with more detail about where expenses are going. She said she is hoping with staff's pursuit of a new accounting system that we will have even more transparency and potentially ongoing information on the City website where citizens can see where the taxpayer dollars are being spent and how much projects costs and she is hoping it will reduce staff time. She said she is hoping the budget document will continue to improve as we continue to improve our system.

Mayor Middleton said he agrees but does not agree with the 17% increase. He said Julie is doing a great job and it is a hard job and he appreciated everything she has done. He commented on something Councilor Grant previously brought up and said now there is another Police Officer going on military leave for a year and he asked if we could add another officer and maintain the same ending balance. He said we are going to be down and we have one on paid leave and one going away on military leave. He said he would like to keep the same ending fund balance and if Council agrees they could add a Police Officer right now and then after the study is done they can evaluate if they should add more after that. He asked if Council was interested in working on that or waiting until later.

Council President Henderson asked if it is the Council's job to decide who Mr. Gall hires and when they hire. She said she understands wanting to fill the position that Officer Twigg is vacating while he serves in the military. She said maybe Julie can answer your question about ending fund balance. She said she is sure Chief Groth has a plan for back filling that position and said if he is only gone for one year she does not know the process for hiring somebody for only one year.

Mayor Middleton said that we make the budget decisions and that is why we are having the public hearing now.

Council President Henderson said we talked about this at the budget meeting where Vice Chair Carkin recommended that we fund part of an officer position starting January 1 as part of this budget.

Mayor Middleton asked staff how you are going to back fill that position.

City Manager Gall stated that Chief Groth could not be here because of a death in the family and Captain Hanlon can answer questions about how they back fill.

Captain Hanlon said the process is simply going to be utilizing the personnel they have right now and a majority of that will be overtime.

Mayor Middleton asked why it wouldn't make more sense to hire someone than spending on overtime. He said it makes more sense to bring an officer on because you will be paying time and a half and if we hire someone we could cut back on overtime.

Captain Hanlon said ideally that would work and the issue we have with hiring someone right now is it would be months before we could hire them because of the process, he said three months at best and then getting them up to speed. He said if we hire a recruit we are still a year out before we can utilize the officer. He stated he understands but unless we hire a lateral that can begin working tomorrow it still takes 3 months for hiring, interviewing and background and then there is 8 months to use the officer.

Mayor Middleton replied it seems like they are always saying they need more and we are trying to offer more and you are saying it is something you don't need or want. He said he would rather spend the time and money to train somebody up because according to what we received from the Chief we are almost 5 officers down or he wants 5 more. He said at least if we start the process now of bringing one on that would eliminate..... and we would have to stager as we hire.

Captain Hanlon replied you are right and said he is just addressing how we back fill the officer that is on military leave and said we don't have a quick fix for that yet. He said he knows with the long term sustainability we have been talking about, ultimately for an officer it takes a year to get up and going and if you hire someone now we won't see their true benefit for a year. He said that will not help them in the short term dilemma they are in, long term yes.

Mayor Middleton commented that there is one on paid leave and if he doesn't come back then we are looking at still having to hire and still going out for the training so this would give us a head start. Captain Hanlon replied yes.

Councilor Folsom said she appreciates Mayor Middleton's thoughts and commented on the final budget meeting and said the committee as a whole spoke of this and she was absent and asked what the consensus of the committee was. She asked was it to fund a partial year starting in January. She asked City Manager Gall and Julie to address the ability of this and what they thought of the idea. She said she hears what the Mayor is saying and appreciates his offer to try and support our police department.

Mayor Middleton commented that he didn't think we knew during our meetings that there was going to be an officer leaving for a year.

Councilor Folsom said she did not remember if the Budget Committee was made aware of that but she heard Councilor Henderson alluded to a discussion and asked if there was a decision made by the committee as a whole.

Julie replied yes and said there was quite a discussion and there were several options that were put on the table and ultimately the decision was made that we would hold off on hiring until we got the police study done and then work towards a long term sustainable funding source to fund whatever needs come out of the police study. She said if that means we need to come back mid-year with a supplemental to add somebody at that point we can do that. She said if we add money to the police budget we would need to cut somewhere else to cover it if you do not want to touch ending fund balance, which would be her recommendation. She said adding a position creates an ongoing annual expense and it needs to be covered by annual ongoing revenue. She said if we were to add money to add a position in the police department we would need to cut $100,000 somewhere else.

Councilor Clark asked at what point is the study anticipated to be complete. Julie asked Tom Pessemier the status of the RFP. Tom said the major portion of the RFP is moving forward and we are still internally going through the scope of work and he is working on that with City Manager Gall and Chief Groth and

said it should be ready fairly soon. He said the goal is to get it done by the end of the year so we could do a supplemental budget as Julie suggested. City Manager Gall said there is money in this proposed budget to do that study which is another reason we waited.

Councilor Butterfield said he is confused because it sounds like no matter whom you hire it is going to take a year before you can utilize the hire. Captain Hanlon replied with a standard recruit yes and based on best case scenario you can post, interview and then hire in three months then you have the basic police academy and then you have FTEP (Field Training Evaluation Program). He said you are almost a year before they have solo status in patrolling. He commented that a lateral could take 3 or 4 months depending on where they come from and their experience, and how quickly we can get through the hiring process.

Julie commented that we have a retiree who is working and back filling that position currently for Officer Twigg. She said he is not full time, he is about 25 hours.

Councilor Clark asked not at overtime but regular pay. Julie replied yes.

Mayor Middleton confirmed we are not paying Officer Twigg when he is gone. Julie said that is correct. He said the $100,000 you would need could be saved on his salary. Julie said some of it, and stated we still have to provide benefits so you are only looking at salary. Mayor Middleton said you don't have to provide medical either.

Mayor Middleton stated that if it is going to take that long to get someone we should just start now and move that way so we will have someone trained in a year and then in next year's budget we will have to be concerned about that, but now we could have somebody. He said he understands they have to go through FTEP. He said they are a body and a second person in the car and especially at night that is helpful, once they go through the academy. He noted it gives us a head start in moving ahead.

Councilor Grant said we have before us a resolution to adopt a budget and what he is hearing is a staffing discussion about hiring members of staff which is the job of staff, particularly the job of Chief Groth who would bring it to the City Manager. He said if he is hearing a motion to amend the budget he is listening but if not he would like to make a motion to approve the budget.

Councilor Langer said he seconded the motion.

Mayor Middleton said he would like to amend and asked if this was the time to amend the resolution.

Councilor Grant called for a point of order and stated we have to vote on the motion and the second first and if it fails we can amend it.

City attorney Crean clarified that if the motion fails it can't be amended and the only way to amend it is when it is before the body and the person making the motion has to agree to allow a motion to amend.

Councilor Grant said he would not allow a motion to amend.

City Recorder asked who seconded the motion. Councilor Langer confirmed he did. The motion was as follows:

MOTION: FROM COUNCILOR GRANT TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2014-046, SECONDED BY COUNCILOR LANGER, MOTION PASSED 6:1. (COUNCILORS HENDERSON, GRANT, FOLSOM, BUTTERFIELD, CLARK AND LANGER VOTED IN FAVOR, MAYOR MIDDLETON VOTED AGAINST).

Councilor Folsom thanked Mayor Middleton for showing support for the police department and thanked Julie for explaining the process and said she is sure the Council will be amenable to a supplemental budget as needed to help support the police department.

Mayor Middleton recessed the meeting at 8:23 pm and reconvened at 8:30 pm and addressed the next agenda item.

City Recorder note: Councilor Grant did not resume his conference call upon reconvening.

D. Ordinance 2014-012 Amending Chapter 6 of the Sherwood Comprehensive Plan (part 2), amending the Transportation Street Functional Classification Map, adopting a new Transportation System Plan, establishing an effective date, and repealing Ordinance 2000-1104 establishing the Capacity Allocation Program (CAP)

Community Development Director Julia Hajduk informed the Council that Planning Manager Brad Kilby would provide a brief presentation and discuss what is being proposed. She said the consultant with DKS is here to speak and City Engineer Bob Galati is also available.

The City Recorder read the public hearing statement.

Planning Manager Brad Kilby came forward and presented information (see record, Exhibit C) on the Transportation System Plan (TSP) Amendment and said it was a project that was funded through a Transportation and Growth Management Grant that was awarded to the City and said it has been a year long process. He said the last update to the TSP was in 2005 and it is a relatively new document compared to the Comprehensive Plan, which was adopted in 1991. He said the 2005 TSP was out of compliance with some of the issues with the Transportation Planning Rule, the Metro Regional Transportation Plan and the Metro Regional Transportation System Functional Plan and Washington County. He stated the TSP is a blueprint of where we are going to make transportation improvements and how those improvements will look over the next 20 year planning horizon. He said the forecasting was based on our existing TSP, what the forecasted growth is and, other plans that have been adopted. And he said the team tried to assess those impacts and looked at the system as a whole and said this is what we need over the next 20 years to accommodate our growth. He said the proposal is to amend the comprehensive plan chapter 6, which is transportation, and that will amend specifically some goals and strategies within our Comprehensive Plan. He said it amends the City's development code as it relates to definitions, plan amendment, site plan review, off-street parking and loading, and transportation facilities. He said specifically within those chapters we are looking at definitions being key, plan amendments and site plan review, traffic impact analysis that currently we require, where this requires a developer to provide a more robust traffic impact analysis to allow staff to assess the impact of their development. He stated off-street parking and loading added some off-street parking for bicycles, multi-model requirements and transportation facilities and looked at a whole range of multi-model transportation improvements such as sidewalks, bike paths, streets and trails. He said this also amends the CAP that was placed on the Pfeifer property as part of the zone change in 2008. He explained in 2008 we placed a trip cap on that property because there was no analysis of the transportation planning rule. He said through this process our traffic consultants DKS ran a transportation model. He said every jurisdiction runs these

transportation models and they are ran on a Metro platform. He said they were able to forecast what traffic would be generated if that were to be built out as a retail commercial site which it was rezoned in 2008. He said it lists projects that the property owner can then mitigate the impacts to that. He said in 2008 there was no assessment of what those impacts could be at retail commercial and there was no identification of the mitigation measures. He said under this scenario they both have been anticipated and are reflected in this transportation system plan. He commented on extensive public involvement and said they went through one Planning Commission hearing, two Planning Commission work sessions, met with the Planning Commission and gave them regular updates, two public open houses, and four meetings with both the Citizen Advisory Committee and the Technical Advisory Committee. He said the draft TSP, which is not exactly the one before the Council tonight as the Planning commission has recommended amendments, has been available to the public since March to review and comment on and it have been discussed in the Archer and the Sherwood Gazette.

He commented on the key issues they heard through the process. He stated on the local street connectivity map we show Villa Road as a connector. He said at some point there was a policy decision not to connect that street but technically to make this system efficient you would need that street connection. He said Chair Simpson raised that as an issue because she was aware of the previous decision to never connect that street, and with it being in there as a local street connection she wanted Council to make the decision of where to or not to maintain that as a local street connection or to take it out of the document. He commented on the Brookman Road language and said Washington County would like to call it a five lane arterial and we have it as a five lane collector and the County is asking us to hold that as a placeholder for future connection of a bypass route that would connect from 124th and I-5. He said it doesn't necessarily have to go at Brookman but they would like it as a placeholder as Brookman is currently within the urban growth boundary and you could make the connection there. He referred to the CAP removal and said all of the items that were asked for in the CAP with the exception of one have been completed and believes that one will be completed with the County's project on Tualatin Sherwood Road. He commented on the Pfieffer property and said within the transportation impact analysis that developers are required to do, Washington County and ODOT require volume to capacity studies and we only require level of service and under the new proposal, you would be allowed as Planning Commissioners and Councilors to consider both. He said we would be looking at volume to capacity and level of service. He stated the City Engineer is able to describe this in detail.

He said this document puts together transportation improvements and over the next 20 year horizon we looked at projects that needed to be completed to keep our system efficient, and through that process of putting this document together we looked at how they were funded and whether or not they were needed in the short term, the medium term or the long term. He said the Planning Commission recommended moving 2-3 projects from either short term to medium term or Medium term to short term. He commented regarding traffic signals and the issues of the Tualatin Sherwood Road project and said part of that could have been because that signal was identified in our TSP. He said it was also required as a condition of approval. He said the language they put into the TSP is intended to allow the decision makers and the citizen to understand that at certain points and times there will have to be changes made to traffic signals to make systems work better, reduce delays or increase delays. He said the Planning Commission's recommendation was to make some amendments and those are in your meeting packet and they recommended unanimously that you approve the TSP as amended.

Brad offered to answer questions and said City Engineer Galati and the traffic consultant from DKS were also available.

Councilor Butterfield asked if the plan is scheduled and when will it take place or is it something that still needs to be determined. Mr. Kilby said it is a living document and it happens as development occurs, as your priorities are set and projects are funded. He said it says here is a range of projects that we think need to be done over the next 20 years and they were put into short, medium and long term based on what the engineer thought was a priority as well as the public as this went through the public process. He said it is up to the Council to set their priorities through the Capital Improvements Plan or any alternative and as development occurs it will fall upon them to make those improvements.

Councilor Butterfield referred to the second to the last page of the presentation and asked if that is the priority list. Mr. Kilby said the priority list is in volume 2 of the TSP on page 28. He said a large majority of the projects were identified in the 2005 version of the TSP and they looked at projected revenue as to whether or not we would have the money to fund these projects and they were put into short term if they were going to make the system more efficient and in the medium term if we didn't think funding was going to be available, but could potentially be available through development. He said long term are projects that are needed, but funded or not likely to be funded within this planning horizon short of priorities being aligned.

Councilor Butterfield asked if these are city projects or joint projects. City Engineer Bob Galati replied the majority of the projects are city projects and there are some projects that are across jurisdiction lines and could be done with MSTIP funding and said that is how Adam's Avenue was done. He said some of things we are going with, the Kruger Elwert intersection and MSTIP funding for that project, where we provide the land and they provide the construction process. He said it is still identified in our TSP and is open to joint funding and allows us to utilize those funds. He noted that if it is not in the TSP we can't go to the County or the State to pick up funds for these projects. He said there are some projects in the TSP that do overlap with the County. Councilor Butterfield clarified that these are unfunded projects. Mr. Galati said some are unfunded and some do have funds associated with them. He said they looked at if you are able to construct this project with a revenue source stream that we are anticipating coming in, then those are in your five year plan. He said they consider if it is important to the system, enhances the system and has a feasibility of being funded based on our income and revenue, as it goes out further the funding sources become more tenuous and the importance factor isn't quite there and he said those can change. He said the decisions will be made at this level based on ongoing importance of the community needs and we have tried to identify the community needs through the Citizens Advisory Committee where a lot of this was weighed.

Mayor Middleton said wouldn't one of the big projects be the bypass that would be on joint funding if it ever comes. Mr. Galati said the bypass is a huge project and he doesn't think the City could ever fund it by itself or try to. He said that is a regional project where we would lend our weight politically to get approval and adopted through the State and the County to put it through. He said if you are looking at the 124th project where we are not actually doing the project but supporting it, which helps prioritize that project in the regional system. Mr. Kilby commented on the bypass project and said if it is identified in our TSP, such as Brookman Road was identified as a five lane arterial and if someone comes to develop in that location we can look and ask for the right-of-way depending on the impacts associated with that proposed development. He said you can start putting the pieces in place for that development but it is highly unlikely that projects that large would be funded through just the City and it is important to note that they were considered because they would improve transportation through the City and regionally.

Mayor Middleton stated that he spoke to Mr. Kilby and told him that we call them accident reports and the correct terminology is crash reports. Mr. Kilby said he agrees. Mayor Middleton said a lot of this is

speculative, such as getting development on Oregon Street and reducing our 65% week day peak hours where it says it would be local travel and not long distance travel. Mr. Kilby said that is correct and when looking at the different projects, and because we were looking at coming into compliance with the Transportation Planning Rule, Metro Regional Transportation Plan, as well as our own TSP and the County, we are trying to look at this as a group to determine the needs of the entire region and how can we accomplish these in the best way to make our transportation system efficient. Mayor Middleton said this is a challenge and they did a great job.

Councilor Folsom thanked the Planning Commission and staff for their efforts and said she is grateful for their diligence.

Councilor Langer said he echoes Councilor Folsom and congratulated staff on a job well done and said this speaks volumes to how Mr. Gall performs as a leader with a great staff under him to achieve projects like this and the budget all in the same year.

With no other Council comments, Mayor Middleton opened the public hearing.

Eugene Stewart approached the Council and said he does not believe the project meets goal one and you need to make sure you have a written citizen involvement plan and you need to have a committee for citizen involvement and these are both required by goal one and is a state statute. He said yet we continue to ignore it. He said you want citizen involvement but you don't do very much to get them involved. He stated he served on the citizen committee and we started with 10 members and ended up with 3 members. He said it seems like this program is weighted heavily towards bicycles and non-vehicular traffic but from his experience driving in this area and anywhere in Portland one of the worst things we have is our traffic and what we are doing is not helping. He referred to a train going through our industrial area and he said he believes the City of Tualatin had that remanded to them by LUBA, stating that is considered to be a park, and under Metro's rules there can be no parks put in an industrial area so we need to make sure that we are bypassing our industrial area or we will run into the same problem. He commented on the bypass discussion and said he hopes they start talking about a bypass for the people traveling down 99W who don't want to stop in Sherwood and said you need to figure a way to bypass past the refuge going on the Hillsboro side and coming out at the other end. He said there seems to be not too much communication between the local, state and county levels on what is going on and it seems like we learn at the tail end that on Elwert the county is looking at 5 lanes. He said he also heard from a property owner on Roy Rogers that they have been told by the County that by 2018 they are looking at having 5 lanes from Scholls Ferry to 99W and if Elwert and Roy Rogers become 5 lanes maybe it would be nice to have a bypass around Sherwood. He referred to the transportation model and said it would be interesting to know what the assumptions are in that model and said if you don't know the assumptions and accept the model you don't really know what is going on and if you look at the traffic counts they did on the intersections, it is interesting that most of them have no bicycles and more pedestrians.

Ty Wyman came forward and stated he is the Attorney representing Merlone Geier Partners, owners of the Sherwood Market Center. He said last week he argued the case of the traffic signal on Tualatin Sherwood Road at the State Court of Appeals. He stated that traffic signal is the most litigated traffic signal in the history of the State and worse yet it is exceedingly unlikely that the Court of Appeals decision which they expect in September will resolve the matter. He said he appreciates staff listing their concerns and said one way or another this will come back and the road is the county's but we are part of the City and the City will be part of the long term decision and these properties are important to them and also important to the City. He thanked staff for their time and discussion of long term solutions. He stated that

it is likely that they will come back and possibly ask for help to sort this out to determine long term if this is going to be a town center, pedestrian friendly or take out the signal.

Anthony Bevel, Sherwood resident approached the Council and said he has lived here 16 years and asked the Council to address the short term problem on SW Lynnly and the through traffic off of Roy Rogers going towards Edy Road. He said he has been pleading for traffic calming devises to be incorporated on that street. He said he appreciated the police for their involvement. He stated there is a sign off Roy Rogers that says it is not a through street but they are using it as a through street and the next best thing is for some traffic calming devices. He referred to the TSP being 20 years down the line and showing a potential street behind the Lutheran Church and SW Lynnly and said he hopes that does not happen. He said he has filed a report with the police and is hoping it gets to Mr. Gall for him to address the problem.

Don Pfeifer came forward and said he is the owner of the Pfeifer property that appeared in the TSP and said they have two issues that have been in existence since 2008 when the property was rezoned, and said he appreciates the staff efforts trying to help us solve the problems. He referred to SDC charges for transportation fees and said he has had two sales on that property and each one has failed due to the excessive SDC charges and in one case it was over $3 million and the other case that recently failed, he was informed by the City that the total was $1.8 million. He said those are off site charges. He said they are into the property $630,000 in taxes and fees since 2008 and it is in the process now where they have to make a decision and said we can't develop or find a successful buyer under the conditions that exist now. He said they have hired all kinds of professionals and are running out of money. He stated it is a burden that is placed on a property owner and said they have paid $56,000 in sewer charges, paid $28,000 for traffic studies, paid $14,000 for other assistance, and attorney fees are about $80,000. He said he thinks he is getting cooperation and they are on the right track and stated that other municipalities are considering lowering charges. He said the City of Springfield has reduced theirs by 50%. He said he believes he is the largest property owner along the highway in the City now. He said he has envisioned that to be a beautiful development and said it has some views and could be an attractive gateway to the City, but like he mentioned with the restrictions that have been placed on the property, and unless some of these things can be worked out, it is not developable.

With no other public testimony received, Mayor Middleton closed the public hearing. The following motion was stated.

MOTION: FROM COUNCILOR CLARK TO APPROVE ORDINANCE 2014-012 TO INCLUDE MAYOR MIDDLETON'S REQUEST THAT THE TERM "ACCIDENT" BE REPLACED BY "CRASH" AND AS RECOMMENDED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION, SECONDED BY COUNCILOR BUTTERFIELD, MOTION PASSED 6:0, ALL PRESENT MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR. (COUNCILOR GRANT WAS ABSENT).

Mayor Middleton asked City Manager Gall to provide his report.

9. CITY MANAGER AND DEPARTMENT REPORTS

City Manager Gall said Community Services Director Kristen Switzer wanted to remind the Council that once again the Historical Society will be selling beer and wine at the Music on Green events and said usually we don't allow alcohol in the parks but this is the one exception.

Mayor Middleton addressed the next agenda item.

10. COUNCIL ANNOUNCEMENTS

Councilor Folsom thanked the Senior Center Director for the Father's Day luncheon and the Abraham Lincoln presentation and said it was a great event. She said she is having a great experience in Washington DC and has had several meetings and has a lot of information to pass onto Julia Hajduk. She said it has been a great opportunity for sharing the story of Sherwood and thanking the people in Washington DC who are helping with the Grant and are excited to help us be successful.

Councilor Langer thanked Councilor Folsom for going to Washington DC and doing the work for the Council. He reminded that on Thursday at 6:00 pm is the Chamber Annual Dinner.

Councilor Butterfield stated that it has been tough coming to the Council meetings and when he comes into the room there is an air of contention and it is not welcoming and he is reaching out to everyone to make this a positive thing so we can get back to doing the work for the City.

Councilor Clark thanked Congresswoman Bonamici for attending the Cruisin' event with her as well as Mayor Knapp from Wilsonville and said they were able to meet with Mayor Middleton and she thanked him for his time as well. She announced on Saturday June 28 from 11 am – 3 pm at Stella Olsen Park the Wildcat Haven will have a Dog Walk to support the Big Cats No Kill Shelter and said you can register online. She announced that 503 Uncorked will have a grand opening on Saturday June 21 from noon to close.

Council President Henderson announced that the first week of Missoula Children's Theater starts next week and the second week is July 7. She said that it is a partnership between the School District, the City and the Sherwood Foundation for the Arts and you can register online at sherwoodarts.org and the camp is held at the High School in the PAC. She said the Charter Review Committee will meet Wednesday July 18 at 6:30 pm and the Library Board meets tomorrow night. She congratulated the Chamber for the successful Cruisin' event.

Mayor Middleton said Crusin' was great and said that Saturday Market has been busy.

11. ADJOURN

Mayor Middleton adjourned the meeting at 9:20 pm and convened to a URA Board of Directors meeting.

Submitted by:

Sylvia Murphy, MMC, City Recorder

Bill Middleton, Mayor