

Q1 The first action item is to adopt the Town Center Plan. Do you have any comments on the Town Center Plan document itself?

Answered: 44 Skipped: 21

#	Responses	Date
1	No	6/6/2013 1:36 PM
2	I would like to see this project take advantage of making Sherwood an awesome place to live.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
3	I would like to see this project take advantage of making Sherwood an awesome place to live.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
4	I would like to see this project take advantage of making Sherwood an awesome place to live.	6/6/2013 12:06 PM
5	I feel that it is a good guideline to unifying the area and a positive step toward handling future growth.	6/6/2013 9:34 AM
6	Bring in quality restaurants, outdoor seating/family friendly. NO FAST FOOD! People will eat out if there is quality! Keep the same visual appearance as low profile, NW - similar to Lake Oswego.	6/5/2013 10:40 PM
7	Please no Walmart. Walmart is too big for Sherwood, plain and simple.	6/5/2013 9:25 PM
8	Much has been left to determine.	6/3/2013 1:25 PM
9	It does not seem like there is adequate infrastructure in place (roads) to support reduced curb to curb distance all while increasing density.	5/31/2013 9:47 AM
10	Please start over. The whole concept is bad. We don't want a city. We want a small town. If you haven't looked at the appendices to the plan, please look at them. This is not why we moved here. This makes me want to cry. Whoever is driving this, please go back to your Portland townhome and leave us alone.	5/31/2013 7:38 AM
11	As the intention of this plan is to create "the heart of the city" or a "gateway into our town" - I would like to see the name changed to Civic Center or Civic Neighborhood (in an effort show division between Langer Town Center and this core area). Once this happens, creating "districts" and branding them within the proposed boundary to better identify use issues, design requirements, etc would be helpful. For example, "Old Town District" or "Smockville District" - design standards to be determined (these standards would likely be different than that of a commercial district).	5/30/2013 11:46 AM
12	Have a name that won't be confused with other locations around town.	5/30/2013 8:38 AM
13	I think the Town Center Plan is to large. You are trying to address to much land. I would like to see this broken down into Historic Old Town and Other.	5/29/2013 7:42 PM
14	We need to take a step back and look over the plan once more,as it stands I would have to say no.	5/29/2013 4:48 PM
15	Not yet - would need to do a little more research.	5/29/2013 3:38 PM
16	If talking about the Walmart Center, yes... we don't need it. I know no one asking for a Walmart... keep Sherwood old and rustic.. the plan I saw last night shows nice new buildings where Abba Day Spa is and across the street from Hopkins... that is what we do NOT want ... no Baby Beaverton!	5/29/2013 10:33 AM
17	I agree with the "Edges" option being the best option of the three presented.	5/29/2013 9:46 AM
18	Yes. I do not want Walmart or any big box store in there as an "anchor"	5/28/2013 6:36 PM
19	Why is the planning commission using a survey to adopt a Town Center plan ? This needs to brought forward as a ballet measure !	5/28/2013 1:42 PM
20	I am in favor of almost everything. I am very, very disappointed you are bringing in Walmart. You are going to ruin Sherwood by doing this. I actually will consider moving out of Sherwood because of this.	5/28/2013 10:17 AM
21	The concept appears to be making the Six Corners area a choking point for traffic that will encourage motorists to seek alternate routes through neighborhood streets that cannot support or were designed for this. Financially how can the city afford such grand plans?	5/28/2013 8:28 AM
22	it's ludicrous.	5/26/2013 2:51 PM
23	Economic development and urban vibrancy?? Whose friggin economic development is WALMART providing?	5/24/2013 7:36 PM
24	More before and after images would be better.	5/24/2013 5:14 PM
25	LOOKS LIKE ALL DESISSIONS ARE MADE WHY ASK THE PEOPLE	5/23/2013 10:12 AM

Sherwood Town Center Plan Action Items

#	Responses	Date
26	Awful idea. Matt langer should be fired	5/22/2013 9:29 PM
27	Yes, please clarify that the proposed Walmart complex has NOTHING to do with the Town Center Plan and that they should not be able to use the term, Town Center.	5/22/2013 5:06 PM
28	How can you call this the "Town Center Plan" without addressing the newest area to be named "Sherwood Town Center"?	5/22/2013 9:09 AM
29	Sherwood needs housing not big-box stores.	5/21/2013 8:19 PM
30	Name it something else! It's too confusing with the new Gramor/Walmart Development.	5/21/2013 3:41 PM
31	Sherwood is a truly unique community relative to the rest of the Portland metro area. People like me choose to live in Sherwood because it is removed from the congestion in other cities. We love the small town community feel. It doesn't matter that we don't have easy freeway access or that we don't have all the shopping options other communities have. When you talk about what makes Sherwood special you talk about families, great parks, low crime rates, great small businesses like Mud Puddles, Safari Sams, the ice rink, Clancy's, Symposium etc. What doesn't make Sherwood special is Target, or Home Depot, or Safeway, or heaven forbid Walmart. It's not that I don't ever shop at these places (except Walmart, I will never shop there!) it's just that you can go to those places anywhere. This plan seems engineered to make Sherwood more like every other city in the metro area, and that just seems to be the wrong direction for Sherwood!	5/21/2013 3:22 PM
32	Well I that the town center should be old town.	5/21/2013 2:43 PM

Sherwood Town Center Plan Action Items


#	Responses	Date
33	<p>First, without understanding the implications with Metro of designating a Town Center, I cannot recommend any action. What does it mean to designate a Town Center with respect to Metro and decisions or control we forgo as a result, or the empowerment of Metro? For what purpose does Metro want to understand our Town Center? What does it allow or restrict Metro to do to our city, local area, or nearby areas? Additionally, what does it mean for areas not within the Town Center boundaries? Further, I do NOT want to see any more high density housing. I disagree with the plan on this objective. Nor do I subscribe to the intent of the plan to "recognize and enhance principal centers of urban life" within Sherwood. Urban is precisely what I would like to avoid. With respect to the Key Policies of the plan, I have included my comments below for each: - Support programs and improvements that facilitate a greater awareness of the unique attributes of the Town Center. -- Great idea. - Encourage higher residential densities in targeted areas within the Town Center. -- No! Not desirable, and not what I would like to see. It will lead to higher crime. - Encourage an appropriate mix of activities and uses within the Town Center. -- Great idea. - Ensure that new development and redevelopment within the Town Center contribute to a pedestrian- friendly environment. -- Great idea. - Encourage property owners to invest in development that supports the Town Center vision. -- Great idea, providing the plan is amended to reflect what I am sharing in way of comments, or the intent of my comments. - Support transit service in the Town Center, including maintaining a local transit service network and planning for future high-capacity transit service to neighboring cities. -- What does this mean? How is it envisioned this would manifest? As stated, it doesn't sound so bad. However, if the implementation were to add a lot more buses and MAX transit, that is not desirable, nor is it what I would like to see. - Implement transportation system improvements and standards that increase access and improve safety for all modes of transportation within the Town Center.-- Good idea, but needs to be consistent with what the community wants, not the City Council or planning committees. - Balance the need for vehicular mobility within the Town Center with other transportation and land use goals and priorities. -- In what capacity? The strategies are too vague, and can go in any direction, which may not be what the Sherwood community wants. This is not detailed enough, so I cannot support it. Before any alternative transportation mobility targets are approved/completed, citizen approval of the specifics, including understanding why they are being recommended in the first place, should occur. - Provide sufficient parking for businesses and residents, while maximizing the efficiency of parking areas. Complete Streets: Langer Drive I do not like the plan for this roadway. We don't need on street parking, and there is too much room allocated for bicycle traffic (e.g. buffered bike lanes). Eliminating the turning lane will congest traffic. I also do not like the removal of so many trees to facilitate the plan. I also do not like the "new commercial 'liner' buildings located close to the street", and the general sense of packing more things into existing space. Bike/Pedestrian Improvements: The proposed "Bicycle/pedestrian/wildlife undercrossing of Highway 99W" will increase crime. Transit: "The Town Center provides an opportunity to create a regional hub that connects to other areas within the larger Southwest Corridor via Highway 99W and Tualatin-Sherwood Road. Potential future connections (such as bus rapid transit) could serve the Town Center by traveling along Langer Farms Parkway to access Old Town. In addition to Old Town, another future high capacity transit node could be located at the intersection of Tualatin-Sherwood Road and Langer Farms Parkway to serve the Langer Drive District as well as the adjacent Langer Farms development." -- ABSOLUTELY NOT! I do NOT want this under any circumstance. No! Parking Management "Providing sufficient parking for residents and businesses while using land efficiently is a balancing act in all vibrant urban centers." -- I DO NOT want a vibrant URBAN center. I think the city leadership and planning entities need to reassess what they are considering, given the continued use of the term urban. Your citizens are not interested in making Sherwood more urban. Updated, modern, safe? Yes. But not more urban, and not to attract 40K people to live here. Additionally, I do not support paid parking in Sherwood, period! Policy Direction GOAL "Future residential growth, economic development, and public investment in the Sherwood Town Center will enhance urban vibrancy, encourage active transportation, and improve safety and efficiency for all modes of transportation." -- I DO NOT WANT URBAN vibrancy. I WANT HIGH CLASS, SMALL TOWN feel. "Policy 2: The City will encourage higher residential densities and facilitate future development of appropriately-scaled multi-family and single-family attached housing in targeted areas within the Town Center" -- NO, NO, NO! I DO NOT want higher density, period. All strategies under this policy need to be removed. Policy 6 - I do not support rail (e.g. MAX) transit service to Sherwood. Policy 9, Strategy 9.1 "Re-evaluate the required number of automobile parking spaces for townhomes to make this type of development more likely in the Town Center." -- I do NOT support more townhomes or higher density housing.</p>	5/20/2013 6:08 PM
34	No Wal-Mart.	5/20/2013 4:58 PM
35	Where is our next school going to be built? With all of this growth, I do not see plans for any other schools!	5/20/2013 2:28 PM
36	Walmart will cause an increase in traffic in an area that is already ill-equipped to handle traffic. Without better transportation solutions, this plan is a nightmare. This area does not need big box stores.	5/20/2013 12:36 PM
37	I like it.	5/20/2013 11:15 AM
38	I strongly oppose any high density apartment complexes/rental properties in Sherwood area. They bring down existing home values and put more strain on our already struggling school budgets	5/20/2013 11:12 AM

Sherwood Town Center Plan Action Items

#	Responses	Date
39	"Sherwood Town Center is a lively, safe, and beautiful place that embodies the best of Sherwood, a family friendly community with historic roots that enthusiastically plans for a bright future." What part of safe, family friendly, and beautiful is being addressed with the plan to put a store in Sherwood that is notorious for bringing crime with it? I am not opposed to Walmart because they are a large corporation or because of what they pay their employees. If people choose to work for those wages then that is their problem. I am against Walmart because of the TRAFFIC, CRIME and UNDESIRABLES that it will bring into Sherwood. I moved here to get away from what was happening in Tigard in regards to traffic, crime and undesirables, and now you are trying to turn this into another Tigard. I really don't want to have to uproot my family again to get away from this. Sherwood is not easily accessible to freeways and most of the people I know who have moved here did so to get away from the same things that I came here for. I don't like the way this was not disclosed to the public in a timely manner and I feel like the city is trying to pull one over on the citizens of Sherwood.	5/20/2013 9:58 AM
40	Has the Old Town area been given enough emphasis as the traditional Town Center? The heart of the city is the Old Town, the commercial area is not the heart of the city.	5/20/2013 9:26 AM
41	Yes - "Human Scale buildings means what?" No warehouses? The City will ensure that new development and redevelopment within the Town Center contribute to a pedestrian-friendly environment with human-scale buildings and high-quality design.	5/20/2013 9:15 AM
42	"Langer Farms Parkway" needs to be renamed "Adams Avenue" as before. Having "Langer Park" and "Langer Drive" all in the same area cause confusion. Renaming "Adams Avenue" to begin with was self serving and short sighted. City planners should know better and I would like to see the city communicate with citizens about which name they prefer for that road.	5/20/2013 8:36 AM
43	The document is laid out well, and seems to describe the plan well.	5/20/2013 8:35 AM
44	I would really like to see an open-forum, interactive discussion with the citizens on this. This plan covers a lot of ground, much of it not good for the small town feel.	5/18/2013 10:01 PM

Q2 Action 2 Includes amending the Comprehensive Plan Map to include the new Town Center boundary. Do you support the location of the Town Center Boundary? If you have changes, please indicate in the comment box.

Answered: 52 Skipped: 13



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes, I support the boundary as identified.	32.69% 17
No, I am not in agreement with the boundary location.	67.31% 35
Total	52


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	The Langer "Walmart" areas are going to be a huge area change. I would like to see this included so it can be integrated and more bike/walking areas to take advantage of.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
2	The Langer "Walmart" areas are going to be a huge area change. I would like to see this included so it can be integrated and more bike/walking areas to take advantage of.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
3	The Langer "Walmart" areas are going to be a huge area change. I would like to see this included so it can be integrated and more bike/walking areas to take advantage of.	6/6/2013 12:06 PM
4	It would be wise to have differentiation between what are the two "town centers" that are in conflict. I think the downtown should stay as is, little face lift - but keep its quaint old shops. If the town center will be large, it needs more plan than just adding into existing old buildings....tax payers will suffer.	6/5/2013 10:40 PM
5	I'll know if I am in agreement or not when I see a place coupled with adequate infrastructure to make this seem like a feasible possibility.	5/31/2013 9:47 AM
6	We don't need a town center. We need an old town that maintains its historic character.	5/31/2013 7:38 AM
7	To large an area and to confusing as to what is Walmart supporting and what is the Town Center Plan supporting.	5/29/2013 7:42 PM
8	Town Center should be OLD Town. Or rename Old Town to the Old Town District but do NOT call Langer's land Town Center. That area is urban so why should it be in our center?????	5/29/2013 10:33 AM
9	Oppose the plan.	5/28/2013 6:36 PM
10	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:42 PM
11	I believe the city needs to identify neighborhood commercial areas to help relieve all the traffic into the Six Corners area.	5/28/2013 8:28 AM
12	Old Town should be the focus and needs to extend down the lane to stella olson park	5/24/2013 7:36 PM
13	THE CITY IS TELL THE PEOPLE WHAT TO DO WITH THERE LAND	5/23/2013 10:12 AM

Sherwood Town Center Plan Action Items

#	Other (please specify)	Date
14	I wanted just Old Town to be the Town Center. Willamette to Hopkins and the original 9 blocks of Old Town. If this is a scheme to incorporate high density housing into or around Old Town I don't want it.	5/22/2013 5:06 PM
15	I do not understand why the new Sherwood Town Center is not included in this??	5/22/2013 9:09 AM
16	I think development of the areas around six corners is probably inevitable, but I don't like the idea of increasing residential density in Old Town.	5/21/2013 3:22 PM
17	I think the town center should JUST be old town at that you are including the other areas to have to something with your whole Walmart plan.	5/21/2013 2:43 PM
18	It's very difficult to answer this question, as I'm not sure of implications of answering yes or no. Given the machinations that have taken place and led to Walmart announcing they are building in Sherwood, I'm afraid I'm being set up with this question. As such, I must disagree with any boundary designation. Further, in way of general comments, I would like to see the plan amended to have the Commercial District renamed so as to not include or make reference to "Langer".	5/20/2013 6:08 PM
19	It needs to be pushed further east to include "Langer Farms PUD" This sizable chunk of land will effect our city.	5/20/2013 2:28 PM
20	This area does not need big box stores.	5/20/2013 12:36 PM
21	The boundary should contain the Old Town area as the Town Center, not the area that: (1) benefits a council member; (2) is built of so many big box retailers. That is not a Town Center, it is a commercial center.	5/20/2013 9:26 AM

Q3 Action 3: Do you support the idea that the Town Center should be designated as a Multi Modal Mixed Use Area?

Answered: 55 Skipped: 10




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion/Don't Know	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	12.73% 7	20% 11	3.64% 2	49.09% 27	14.55% 8	55	3.33

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Not sure what mixed modal is - if it pertains to blocks if apartment complexes - NO! Don't fill our community w Apt complexes, there are already condos that can't sell & will bring down Eco-sociology.	6/5/2013 10:40 PM
2	No. No. No.	5/31/2013 7:38 AM
3	In order for me to feel like I can strongly support implementation of this plan (over time) - several issues need to be first addressed, including but not limited to, traffic issues. The City of Sherwood code must be changed - asap/in emergency fashion actually - to require developers include pass by trips, internal trips, trips from existing facilities in their traffic studies, etc. (like the City of Tualatin). In this way, City officials and those serving to make recommendations on proposed developments/ new businesses have the opportunity to base decisions that include real, overall, impact(s).	5/30/2013 11:46 AM
4	Not enough knowledge.. clear up which town center you are talking about.	5/29/2013 10:33 AM
5	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:42 PM
6	Please use language that someone can understand, what is mixed Modal?	5/24/2013 5:14 PM
7	What is Multi Modal Mixed use area? Gas stations: NO! High rises: no! High density apts: No!	5/22/2013 5:06 PM
8	I am concerned this will lead to MAX expansion to Sherwood, which I do NOT want. Additionally, we should not be planning for high density housing with the Town Center area, which would mitigate the need to designate for Multi Modal Mixed use or "Adopting alternative transportation performance standards that better reflect the desired use and expectations for the area."	5/20/2013 6:08 PM
9	It appears that MMA is being utilized specifically to overrule the requirement to address traffic concerns. Traffic must be addressed!!!	5/20/2013 8:35 AM
10	If the trade off is increased congestion, I absolutely do not agree with it.	5/18/2013 10:01 PM

Q4 The Town Center Plan discusses making the Town Center area easier to get around by bike, using transit or on foot. Any improvements that we decide to adopt, we also need to amend the Transportation System Plan. How do you feel about making changes to the roadway network that adds these bike and pedestrian improvements?

Answered: 60 Skipped: 5



	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion/ Don't know	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	31.67% 19	33.33% 20	6.67% 4	26.67% 16	1.67% 1	60	2.33


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Please make sure "Safety" is the highest priority. No visual obstructions and easy access bus service.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
2	Please make sure "Safety" is the highest priority. No visual obstructions and easy access bus service.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
3	Please make sure "Safety" is the highest priority. No visual obstructions and easy access bus service.	6/6/2013 12:06 PM
4	Walking paths, bike paths that connect both sides of 99W.	6/5/2013 10:40 PM
5	Tualatin-Sherwood/Roy Rogers road leading up to 99 is a joke, I would approve of improvements to make that better, but not if it includes adding a Walmart to the mix.	6/5/2013 9:25 PM
6	I support this, but it all depends on the corridors you are pulling traffic from and if there is spare capacity within the current infrastructure for these	5/31/2013 9:47 AM
7	Okay, I can support bike lanes and foot traffic.	5/31/2013 7:38 AM
8	Street design, transit facilities, must be done concurrently with overall design standards - taking into account use, traffic studies, etc.	5/30/2013 11:46 AM
9	They should be done with public input.	5/30/2013 8:38 AM
10	Right now I walk, bike and use public transportation. It shouldn't work but it does.	5/29/2013 7:42 PM
11	WE get around just fine, kids get around. NO BUSES and public yuck!	5/29/2013 10:33 AM
12	That sounds good, but you haven't addressed the increased cars coming to the big box store which will kill the bikers. Take care of that first.	5/28/2013 6:36 PM
13	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:42 PM
14	The roadway needs to be further separated from bikes and pedestrians.	5/28/2013 8:28 AM
15	It is easy to get around on foot and by bike today.	5/24/2013 5:14 PM
16	JUST IS MAKING WORK FOR STAFF	5/23/2013 10:12 AM

Sherwood Town Center Plan Action Items

#	Other (please specify)	Date
17	I do not want any light rail or anymore mass transit coming into Sherwood.	5/23/2013 8:23 AM
18	We have enough roads as is.	5/22/2013 5:06 PM
19	No MAX to or in Sherwood.	5/20/2013 6:08 PM
20	The main problem is automobile traffic. Adding ped trails and bike trails will not solve this.	5/20/2013 12:36 PM
21	Support as long as the Town Center is in Old Town!	5/20/2013 9:26 AM
22	I support bike and foot traffic improvements, however, I strongly oppose a plan that does not account for increased vehicular traffic.	5/20/2013 8:35 AM
23	I'd like to hear what this actually means in implementation.	5/18/2013 10:01 PM

Q5 Near Term or at the Time of Adoption: Action 7: Amend the Development Code to allow townhome parking to be consistent with multifamily parking requirements and allow garages to count for a parking space.

Answered: 58 Skipped: 7




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion/ Do Not Know	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	12.07% 7	17.24% 10	10.34% 6	44.83% 26	15.52% 9	58	3.34

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Parking for multi-family. Does my driveway count as parking in a neighborhood? Parking should be a part of zoning. I'm not sure why the church across from Stella Olson could be established without a parking lot? Would the multi-family/town home have restrictions for parking? In a neighborhood there are CCR for how many cars a house can have. It should be the same for multifamily/townhomes too.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
2	Parking for multi-family. Does my driveway count as parking in a neighborhood? Parking should be a part of zoning. I'm not sure why the church across from Stella Olson could be established without a parking lot? Would the multi-family/town home have restrictions for parking? In a neighborhood there are CCR for how many cars a house can have. It should be the same for multifamily/townhomes too.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
3	Parking for multi-family. Does my driveway count as parking in a neighborhood? Parking should be a part of zoning. I'm not sure why the church across from Stella Olson could be established without a parking lot? Would the multi-family/town home have restrictions for parking? In a neighborhood there are CCR for how many cars a house can have. It should be the same for multifamily/townhomes too.	6/6/2013 12:06 PM
4	I am opposed to more multi-family dwellings.	6/5/2013 9:25 PM
5	No townhomes. No more parking.	5/31/2013 7:38 AM
6	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:42 PM
7	This sounds an awful lot like less parking in highly dense areas.	5/24/2013 5:14 PM
8	BIG BROTHER MOTHER MAY I	5/23/2013 10:12 AM
9	I don't want to facilitate high density housing.	5/20/2013 6:08 PM
10	I feel that if townhomes are to be part of the plan, that appropriate parking needs to be included - otherwise they won't sell and will remain empty. HOWEVER... I do not approve of more townhomes in the community. Especially in the downtown area.	5/20/2013 8:35 AM

Q6 Near Term or Adoption Action Item 8 and 9: Restrict or prohibit land intensive commercial uses and motor vehicle uses like car lots and drive through restaurants.

Answered: 62 Skipped: 3




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion/Don't Know	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	46.77% 29	16.13% 10	6.45% 4	19.35% 12	11.29% 7	62	2.32

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Drive through anything? Pharmacies too?	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
2	Drive through anything? Pharmacies too?	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
3	Drive through anything? Pharmacies too?	6/6/2013 12:06 PM
4	There is no reason to restrict a business use, especially one that could increase Sherwood's economy. This is a very elementary attempt to address the congestion issue. Build wider/more efficient corridors - don't restrict certain business operations as an artificially band-aid. This seems counter intuitive especially considering your plan to increase density, decrease parking, and limit "busy" business.	5/31/2013 9:47 AM
5	First, identify whether or not existing drive through uses make sense (in conjunction with traffic studies/patterns) - it may make sense to require renovation (of existing users) to better connect with streets. Note: We should encourage/create incentives for walk up windows (garage style storefronts, etc).	5/30/2013 11:46 AM
6	Define Car lots. Parking lots, cars for sale, storage, outdoor parking or what?	5/30/2013 8:38 AM
7	We have enough drive throughs... really now. Put in an Olive Garden or somewhere family friendly other than RRobin.. which has gone down hill.	5/29/2013 10:33 AM
8	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:42 PM
9	From the smaller box, it appears the staff favors this, where would you put such uses?	5/28/2013 8:28 AM
10	Generally speaking, a good idea, but specifics are lacking.	5/20/2013 6:08 PM
11	This requirement should also apply to stores. If the majority of customers will drive to the store - it will cause increased motor vehicle use.	5/20/2013 9:15 AM
12	Definitely no car lots!!	5/20/2013 8:35 AM

Q7 Near Term or Adoption Action 10: Allow Civic uses, recreational uses and health clubs and schools to be permitted outright.

Answered: 57 Skipped: 8




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Not Sure/ Don't Know	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	19.30% 11	47.37% 27	5.26% 3	15.79% 9	12.28% 7	57	2.54

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	YES, YES AND YES! This is exactly what we need. As well as tangible industry to be brought into Sherwood, that offer family wage jobs!	6/3/2013 1:25 PM
2	Maybe, but this question is unclear, I don't want anything permitted "outright." It all needs to be carefully reviewed.	5/31/2013 7:38 AM
3	Uses must be better defined (# of uses within the area, etc).	5/30/2013 11:46 AM
4	Only with public input	5/30/2013 8:38 AM
5	I support but need definitions in place. What kind of school...public/private? A strip club is recreational use to some folks.	5/29/2013 7:42 PM
6	Don't get what this means, but if you mean to use these facilities for other than their own purpose, I support.	5/29/2013 10:33 AM
7	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:42 PM
8	I think everything needs to be voted on.	5/23/2013 8:23 AM
9	Permitted to do what?	5/22/2013 5:06 PM
10	Generally speaking, a good idea, but specifics are lacking.	5/20/2013 6:08 PM
11	Parks, soccer fields all contribute to liveability.	5/20/2013 9:15 AM
12	Can't 100% say yes. Would depend on what it is, exactly and how it would be utilized.	5/20/2013 8:35 AM

Q8 Near Term or Adoption Acton 11: Reduce the Minimum front yard setbacks in the neighborhood commercial zone from 20 feet to zero in the Town Center and in the residential zone from 20 to 5 feet in the Old Town Overlay

Answered: 59 Skipped: 6




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion/ Not Sure	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	8.47% 5	15.25% 9	11.86% 7	54.24% 32	10.17% 6	59	3.42

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	I don't want any visual obstructions. Setbacks sound like they should remain.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
2	I don't want any visual obstructions. Setbacks sound like they should remain.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
3	I don't want any visual obstructions. Setbacks sound like they should remain.	6/6/2013 12:06 PM
4	Why, why, why would you do this. We don't need more density. Quality not quantity. Please get that.	5/31/2013 7:38 AM
5	Seriously???????	5/29/2013 10:33 AM
6	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:42 PM
7	Are you trying to destroy all historical aspects of old town?	5/28/2013 8:28 AM
8	bad idea there shoudl be room zoned regardless, it is a livability issue	5/24/2013 5:14 PM
9	I walk a lot and disagree that it's more interesting to walk close to a building. I'm dismayed at how near the sidewalk the new apartment buildings going in are. I don't want to be able to look in the windows of peoples' living spaces while I'm walking to downtown Sherwood, at least not that closely.. I really enjoy open space when I'm out for a walk. I'm terrified of how my walk experience will change once there's yet another commercial shopping center in Sherwood. Part of the quaintness of Sherwood is NOT being able to find everything you need here.	5/24/2013 4:41 PM
10	No: I don't want high density housing in Old Town.	5/22/2013 5:06 PM
11	No high density housing.	5/20/2013 6:08 PM
12	What is "Neighborhood commercial zone"? If small businesses are in neighborhoods, reducing setbacks could make sense. NO large businesses should be in ANY neighborhoods!	5/20/2013 9:26 AM
13	In many new shopping centers, lack of setbacks forces more pedestrians into the street. I think it should never be less than 5 feet.	5/20/2013 9:15 AM

**Q9 Near Term or Adoption Action 12:
Require that projects using the Commercial
Design matrix in the Town Center Overlay
earn more points than a standard
commercial building.**

Answered: 49 Skipped: 16




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion/Don't know	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	6.12% 3	14.29% 7	10.20% 5	26.53% 13	42.86% 21	49	3.86

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Do I want the buildings to be visually appealing, YES! No square massive boxed stores.	6/5/2013 10:40 PM
2	I have no idea what this question is asking. I take points away from you for this one.	5/31/2013 7:38 AM
3	Additional information needed	5/30/2013 11:46 AM
4	each on it's merit....no point system.	5/29/2013 7:42 PM
5	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:42 PM
6	Have no clue what this is	5/24/2013 5:14 PM
7	Clarify what a commercial design matrix is.	5/22/2013 5:06 PM
8	I have no idea what's being referred to here, and I couldn't find a reference in the 44 page document made available	5/20/2013 6:08 PM
9	If you mean that buildings should follow the designs to blend into the areas, I am in favor.	5/20/2013 9:26 AM
10	I would like to see some "green" guidelines for the businesses included in the Town Center design.	5/20/2013 9:15 AM
11	I don't really understand this.	5/20/2013 8:35 AM
12	I'd like to hear more about this.	5/18/2013 10:01 PM

**Q10 Near Term or Adoption Action 13:
Remove the height restriction for retail
commercial property in the Old Town area
and use the height maximums of the
standard retail commercial zone (50 ft.)**

Answered: 57 Skipped: 8



	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion/Don't Know	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	7.02% 4	8.77% 5	8.77% 5	64.91% 37	10.53% 6	57	3.63

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	There are multiple buildings over 50feet. I think that it would be great to have a height restriction.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
2	There are multiple buildings over 50feet. I think that it would be great to have a height restriction.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
3	There are multiple buildings over 50feet. I think that it would be great to have a height restriction.	6/6/2013 12:06 PM
4	Leave Old Town how it is.	6/5/2013 9:25 PM
5	NOOOOOO. NO TALL BUILDINGS IN OLD TOWN. PERIOD. NO MORE HIGH DENSITY HOUSING. PLEASE, I'M BEGGING YOU.	5/31/2013 7:38 AM
6	Please review/consult with Oregon Main Street Program staff (Sheri Stuart) and request some consultation before making a decision on this.	5/30/2013 11:46 AM
7	Keep Old Town old and historic...if that is metal sheds bring them on but no building above 2 stories. What in the heck does it need a high rise for? If you let them build it, it will come.	5/29/2013 7:42 PM
8	NO. Leave the height restrictions as is.	5/28/2013 6:36 PM
9	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:42 PM
10	NO 4 story buildings!!! 2 stories is more than enough.	5/24/2013 5:14 PM
11	means we need bigger fire trucks	5/23/2013 10:12 AM
12	3 stories seem good. Why 4?	5/20/2013 6:08 PM
13	Old Town character will be compromised. There is nothing more unattractive than the Giant sign on 99W for the McDonalds plaza.	5/20/2013 9:15 AM

Q11 Near Term or Action 14: Within the Town Center Overlay along Sherwood Blvd. allow mixed use development on parcels zoned High Density Residential

Answered: 60 Skipped: 5




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Opposed	Strongly Opposed	Not Sure/ Don't Know	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	6.67% 4	18.33% 11	8.33% 5	53.33% 32	13.33% 8	60	3.48

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	No more high density residential.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
2	No more high density residential.	6/6/2013 12:07 PM
3	No more high density residential.	6/6/2013 12:06 PM
4	Additional information needed.	5/30/2013 11:46 AM
5	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:42 PM
6	If this means on a main thoroughfare you have business and residential, then ok.	5/24/2013 5:14 PM
7	It feels like this whole study is being done to maximize the tax base for the city	5/23/2013 10:12 AM
8	No, I don't want more high density housing.	5/22/2013 5:06 PM
9	No high density housing.	5/20/2013 6:08 PM
10	Just leave our town alone.	5/20/2013 8:36 AM
11	I strongly hope we are limiting HDR in this area.	5/18/2013 10:01 PM

Q12 Short term Next Steps Action 15: The following action items may not be adopted along with the Town Center Plan and Boundary Map this summer but items that may need further discussion, study and public outreach. These action items may be able to be accomplished within a five year time period. Please consider the Action Items in the following questions and rate your level of support for the item to study as a next step item. Please use the comment box to clarify or let us know whether you think it should be prioritized differently either as a near term or long term action item.

Answered: 46 Skipped: 19



	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	No opinion	Total	Average Rating
Allow townhomes outright within Old Town overlay and change the minimum lot size for townhomes in Old Town to 1,800 square ft.	6.52% 3	13.04% 6	10.87% 5	56.52% 26	13.04% 6	46	3.57


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	No more townhomes in old town.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
2	Additional info needed	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
3	I'm unclear on exactly what is meant with the use of the word "outright"	5/29/2013 3:46 PM
4	what is the size now????	5/29/2013 10:39 AM
5	Do not need to make them smaller just to fit more in. Developers do not and should not run this town.	5/28/2013 6:42 PM
6	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
7	as long as none are over 2 stories	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
8	We should not just allow townhouses outright. Everything should always have to go through for approval.	5/23/2013 8:35 AM

Sherwood Town Center Plan Action Items

#	Other (please specify)	Date
9	I do not think anything should be allowed "outright". Everything should be considered case by case.	5/22/2013 9:15 AM
10	No high density housing. I do NOT support it.	5/20/2013 6:20 PM
11	change the lot size from what? Bad explanation.	5/20/2013 2:36 PM
12	Changing them from what? What is the minimum now?	5/20/2013 11:54 AM
13	I don't think we need that level of density.	5/20/2013 9:20 AM
14	No more townhomes in Old Town!	5/20/2013 8:42 AM

Q13 Short Term 16 This action item would change the Accessory Dwelling Unit requirements to change some of the requirements for ADU, allow larger ADUs, reduce the distance from the main house and ADUs and increase the number of unrelated individuals in both dwelling units to 5.

Answered: 48 Skipped: 17




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Opposed	Strongly Opposed	No opinion	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	2.08% 1	14.58% 7	18.75% 9	45.83% 22	18.75% 9	48	3.65

#	Comment on ADUs	Date
1	This would encourage a more diverse population to live in the area and, in turn, support local businesses.	6/6/2013 9:39 AM
2	This question is the perfect example, as to why you lose public interest and comment. Acronyms... Really? Could you possibly just write out the entire word.	6/3/2013 1:34 PM
3	No.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
4	Additional info needed	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
5	dont know what you are talking about.	5/29/2013 10:39 AM
6	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
7	I am opposed if this means building will be significantly closer together...	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
8	It feels like this whole study is being done to maximize the tax base for the city	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
9	I don't even know what this means.	5/23/2013 8:35 AM
10	No high density housing.	5/20/2013 6:20 PM
11	I don't think we need that level of density.	5/20/2013 9:20 AM
12	I would like to know more about what qualifies as an ADU	5/18/2013 10:27 PM

Q14 Short Term Action 17: Allow infill development of the higher density residential zones subject to the general density ranges established for each zone and allow the higher height maximums rather than the current infill standards.

Answered: 46 Skipped: 19




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	2.17% 1	13.04% 6	8.70% 4	67.39% 31	8.70% 4	46	3.67

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	We do not need higher density in our historic old town or adjacent areas.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
2	I somewhat support and oppose - design standards need to be reviewed to assure neighborhood acceptance - including access to public transportation, review of parking, traffic, etc.	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
3	I would rather not have 8 story apartment buildings. They need to abide by the standards.	5/28/2013 6:42 PM
4	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
5	NO High buildings!	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
6	It feels like this whole study is being done to maximize the tax base for the city	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
7	No high density housing.	5/20/2013 6:20 PM
8	I don't think we need that level of density.	5/20/2013 9:20 AM

Q15 Short Term Action 18: Make it easier for property owners in Old Town to make minor changes to their properties that encourages the Town Center policies and strategies.

Answered: 49 Skipped: 16




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Opposed	Strongly Opposed	No Opinion	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	20.41% 10	38.78% 19	18.37% 9	20.41% 10	2.04% 1	49	2.45

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Why just old town?	5/31/2013 9:52 AM
2	Okay for them to make minor changes, but outside of Town Center policies.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
3	Additional clarification needed on this before making comment(s).	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
4	Within reason. Keep Old town historic and old. But not junky and trashy....	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
5	If the owners want to...	5/29/2013 10:39 AM
6	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
7	Waste of \$	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
8	city should not have a say	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
9	I think everything should have to be approved especially since we have some developers creeping their mass retailers into the city	5/23/2013 8:35 AM
10	Provided the policies and strategies reflect the comments, and intent of them, that I have provided in this survey.	5/20/2013 6:20 PM

Q16 Short Term Action 19: Evaluate the current process for site plan modifications in Old Town to allow less process for minor changes to their building or site.

Answered: 48 Skipped: 17




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No opinion	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	22.92% 11	39.58% 19	18.75% 9	14.58% 7	4.17% 2	48	2.38

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Why just old town?	5/31/2013 9:52 AM
2	create a code and stick with it. Minor changes within reason. Other towns have historic based codes and no one has suffered. I lived in a town where when you wanted to paint your house you were given the color choices. I was able to find a color and paint the house. End of story.	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
3	The more red tape on changing... the better! We want our town looking old and rustic.. we don't want all of this new ugly stuff.	5/29/2013 10:39 AM
4	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
5	If a business wants to add an awning (to get people out of the rain) they should be able to do so. If they want to make interior renovations and they meet code there should not be hoops to jump through. improvements should be encouraged.	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
6	there should not be any process this is lets find something for staff to do	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
7	The process shouldn't change but maybe consider having enough staff to expedite the process	5/23/2013 8:35 AM
8	Provided the policies and strategies reflect the comments, and intent of them, that I have provided in this survey	5/20/2013 6:20 PM

Q17 Action 20: Determine whether to exempt development within the Town Center from the Capacity Allocation Program

Answered: 45 Skipped: 20




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Not Sure/Don't Know	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	6.67% 3	13.33% 6	4.44% 2	44.44% 20	31.11% 14	45	3.80

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	No exemptions. Need to review these carefully to protect our old town.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
2	IMMEDIATE PRIORITY: The entire CAP needs to be reviewed.	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
3	????????????????????	5/29/2013 10:39 AM
4	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
5	Have no idea what the capacity allocation plan is (please use laymans terms in your next survey)	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
6	It feels like this whole study is being done to maximize the tax base for the city	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
7	Case by case consideration.	5/22/2013 9:15 AM
8	If this is to allow or facilitate high density housing, my opinion changes to Strongly Oppose	5/20/2013 6:20 PM
9	we need more congestion around schools why? NO.	5/18/2013 10:27 PM

Q18 Action 21: Through the planned Transportation System Plan Update, evaluate ways to provide greater flexibility for the design of arterials and collectors specifically located in the Town Center.

Answered: 43 Skipped: 22




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Total	Average Rating
No opinion/Don't Know	10.53% 4	39.47% 15	28.95% 11	21.05% 8	38	2.61
No opinion	0% 0	45.45% 5	36.36% 4	18.18% 2	11	2.73

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Don't need more roads into old town.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
2	Until traffic issues addressed - bicycle and pedestrian improvements can't be properly evaluated.	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
3	If traffic is cutting thru to avoid the congestion on 99, TS highway or the other bottlenecks...no. Go to downtown and stop and shop. Eat dinner, go to the library...but race thru on your way to Target or Walmart or where every.	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
4	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
5	Laymans language PLEASE this survey is appearing to be designed to confuse.	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
6	there should not be any process this is lets find something for staff to do	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
7	Why does the city have to figure this out. This should be the developers problem	5/23/2013 8:35 AM
8	As long as the Town Center is OLD TOWN!!!	5/20/2013 9:44 AM

Q19 Action 22: Through the TSP update develop alternative mobility or other transportation performance standards that will apply in and around the Town Center area.

Answered: 43 Skipped: 22




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	9.30% 4	39.53% 17	11.63% 5	27.91% 12	11.63% 5	43	2.93

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Using the train? That would be great for commuters.	6/6/2013 12:20 PM
2	The policy language vs. action item language doesn't seem to well align and appears misleading- a priority issue.	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
3	As longs as it doesn't involve bringing light rail to Sherwood, I'm okay with that	5/30/2013 8:43 AM
4	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
5	What is the TSP?	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
6	there should not be any process this is lets find something for staff to do	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
7	I don't know that we need to do this. Particularly, because I don't understand the need.	5/20/2013 6:20 PM
8	As long as Town Center is OLD TOWN!	5/20/2013 9:44 AM
9	You have an error on this item. You list "strongly support" and "somewhat support" listed twice. I strongly oppose this item.	5/20/2013 8:43 AM
10	This questions only has the option to support. I somewhat oppose.	5/18/2013 10:27 PM

Q20 Long Term Next Steps The next set of action items are categorized as long term next steps. Please rate the following actions items and your level of support. **Action 23: Identify appropriate street furnishings and other features and streetscape treatments to use throughout the Town Center.**

Answered: 47 Skipped: 18




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	34.04% 16	34.04% 16	19.15% 9	12.77% 6	47	2.11

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Perhaps open the bids up to other subcontractors outside the current list.	6/3/2013 1:34 PM
2	First, fix the congestion, then worry about decorating.	5/31/2013 9:52 AM
3	Yes, it would have been nice to get this right the first time, but we need a beautiful, well connected old town.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
4	Branding promotes marketability	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
5	Where is the money coming from?	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
6	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
7	No granite sidewalks, or "pool chalk" blue light fixtures. FTLOG.	5/26/2013 2:57 PM
8	we have already spent money on the ones we have and they are fine.	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
9	perhaps this isn't the appropriate place for this input, but I do hope there are plans to get rid of the downtown obelisks, which have proven to be a risk to pedestrian safety in downtown Sherwood	5/24/2013 4:49 PM
10	there should not be any process this is lets find something for staff to do	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
11	Again this should not be the city's problem. If the town center is private property than they need to figure it out themselves and make sure it fits in the city 's guidelines.	5/23/2013 8:35 AM
12	OLD TOWN Town Center really needs revamping to remove those corner obstacles, which block visibility. Since there are no designated sidewalks or streets, it is all sidewalk/street, creating dangerous situations.	5/20/2013 9:44 AM

Q21 Long Term Action Item 24: Convene a signage task force to develop a strategy to provide wayfinding signage in the Town Center. Explore expanding or changing the Old Town wayfinding signage system to include area parks schools, new developments and residential areas.

Answered: 49 Skipped: 16




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	32.65% 16	28.57% 14	12.24% 6	22.45% 11	4.08% 2	49	2.37

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Sounds like a lot of money when people do have googlemaps and GPS. Maybe signs for areas that most out of town people come for. Schools and parks.	6/6/2013 12:20 PM
2	Branding promotes marketability	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
3	Why....I know where things are.....this is only for those passing thru and can' t find Walmart. I don't think we need to worry about it. I have yet to have a problem finding a park or school in Sherwood. And to find a residential area....we have wonderful maps in America and super smart apps.	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
4	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
5	waste of taxpayer/city money. If it ain't broke, don't fix it.	5/26/2013 2:57 PM
6	Signage to sends people to old town would be a boon to Sherwood. Sending people to Snyder park, not so much. What we need is a reader board, with Sherwood Events, businesses etc that can be seen on 99w where is is useful and can be seen coming in to town from both directions.	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
7	there should not be any process this is lets find something for staff to do	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
8	No changing the signage laws unless it means not allowing billboards	5/23/2013 8:35 AM
9	Just sounds like more clutter to me.	5/22/2013 9:15 AM
10	Old Town IS the Town Center! Do not be assuming otherwise!!!!	5/20/2013 9:44 AM
11	I'd like to hear how much it will cost to remove the offending cement monuments and implement another cohesive design.	5/18/2013 10:27 PM

Q22 Action 25: The City should pursue funding for streetscape and gateway improvement projects

Answered: 48 Skipped: 17




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Opposed	Strongly Opposed	No Opinion	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	16.67% 8	35.42% 17	16.67% 8	27.08% 13	4.17% 2	48	2.67

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Sounds like a lot of money for more signs. I would like to see more money into more parks instead of signage.	6/6/2013 12:20 PM
2	Branding promotes marketability	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
3	If you build it they will come. Sherwood will enjoy growth no matter what we do. Let's take it slow and do it right.	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
4	By "pursue" do you mean apply for federal funding/grants? If so, I support that STRONGLY	5/29/2013 3:46 PM
5	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
6	NOT HIGHER TAXES.	5/26/2013 2:57 PM
7	not needed	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
8	there should not be any process this is lets find something for staff to do	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
9	Isn't that what all the work already is? Do we need more?	5/23/2013 8:35 AM
10	I'd like to see us actively pursue options that are not the pockets of Sherwood taxpayers, but I do not want to give the city a free pass to throw our tax money at projects we do not approve.	5/18/2013 10:27 PM

Q23 Action 26: The City could partner with the Sherwood Cultural Arts Commission or other local organizations to sponsor a design competition to develop gateway features like sculptures, decorative lighting, or water features to be installed within street right of way or public spaces at key entry points for the Town Center.

Answered: 48 Skipped: 17




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Opposed	Strongly Opposed	No Opinion/ Not sure	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	43.75% 21	31.25% 15	12.50% 6	10.42% 5	2.08% 1	48	1.96

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	More parks...have art be included into spaces for public use.	6/6/2013 12:20 PM
2	Partner with local, higher education programs for architecture, design work, etc - no cost, great recognition, etc.	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
3	Great idea but where is the money coming from...bake sales? Sherwood is not Lake O nor do we have the wealth to copy them.	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
4	Depending on the cost...	5/29/2013 3:46 PM
5	planting in the mediums along 99 from Home Depot to the Y.	5/29/2013 10:39 AM
6	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
7	I support working with the arts to improve the culture of our city, decorative lighting and fountains not so much, long term art approved by the citizens, YES. and not at just the entry ways, it should be placed throughout our town.	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
8	tore down Robin hood theater and Blue lights	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
9	I don't think the city needs to spend \$ on it but I have no problem with donated funds being used to sponsor it	5/23/2013 8:35 AM
10	Finally...a cooperative and supportive suggestion!	5/20/2013 9:44 AM

Q24 Long Term Action 27: Explore the feasibility of creating an awareness campaign and market strategy for the Town Center. Initial step would be establishing an advisory committee to identify appropriate goals and objectives to promote area.

Answered: 46 Skipped: 19




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	41.30% 19	21.74% 10	13.04% 6	19.57% 9	4.35% 2	46	2.24

#	Comment	Date
1	The "Town Center" needs to be focused on the true Old Town... NOT this new development. Extremely disheartening witnessing this shift in centers.	6/3/2013 1:34 PM
2	Awareness and marketing are two different things. One is educating people, the other is trying to influence them. I support education, not manipulation through marketing.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
3	Until the Walmart changes it's name or the City takes a new name....I don't want to spend a penny on this confusion that was allowed.	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
4	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
5	we need a dedicated PAID task force with goals that must be met, that will bring business traffic to our city.	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
6	there should not be any process this is lets find something for staff to do	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
7	Where was this committee when Walmart was being discussed as an option???	5/20/2013 10:04 AM
8	Waste of valuable resources: Money and time. If you do it well, they will come. If you don't do it well, promoting will not help a bad design and execution.	5/20/2013 9:44 AM
9	You should have funded Angi Ford's position at Main Street. That sort of program is a better way to promote Sherwood. Frankly I do not believe the city would do a good job and should not be given the task.	5/18/2013 10:27 PM

Q25 Long Term Action 28: Encourage and support rezoning residential areas in and adjacent to Old Town where property owners are interested in developing at a higher density.

Answered: 46 Skipped: 19




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Opposed	Strongly Opposed	No Opinion	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	2.17% 1	15.22% 7	6.52% 3	71.74% 33	4.35% 2	46	3.61

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	We DO NOT NEED MORE HIGHER DENSITY!	6/3/2013 1:34 PM
2	I can't even express how opposed to this I am.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
3	Additional information required.	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
4	Higher density does not translate directly to a place for the next generation to live. Higher density mean more people sharing less road funding today. The future is on it's way. Can we have a little money in reserve before we spend it? We can't afford higher density.	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
5	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
6	as long as the replacement building fit with the old town buildings and are not higher than 2 stories, good and that their neighbors don't mind is important as well.	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
7	It feels like this whole study is being done to maximize the tax base for the city	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
8	It is already too crowded in Old Town	5/23/2013 8:35 AM
9	NO HIGH DENSITY HOUSING!	5/20/2013 6:20 PM
10	There are enough high density projects being build in Old Town!!! More would ruin any ambiance that is left!	5/20/2013 9:44 AM
11	Sherwood does not need to be a town of 40-60K full of apartments. Did you miss the fact that we are a family based town? And families do not want to be stuffed into apartments. My only goal when I lived in an apt here was to save enough to buy a house and get out of the apts.	5/18/2013 10:27 PM

Q26 Long Term Action 29: Explore the idea of providing a density bonus to enable higher residential densities under existing zoning in areas within and close to Old Town.

Answered: 43 Skipped: 22




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion/Don't know	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	2.33% 1	9.30% 4	11.63% 5	72.09% 31	4.65% 2	43	3.67

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	More parks	6/6/2013 12:20 PM
2	Density bonus? Who comes up with this stuff. No.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
3	Additional information required	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
4	Bad idea. How about high density housing around Home Depot?	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
5	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
6	no bonus, they build or they do not no \$\$ to this	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
7	It feels like this whole study is being done to maximize the tax base for the city	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
8	Why would we give a "bonus" to overcrowd our city???? Isn't their bonus more money anyway?	5/23/2013 8:35 AM
9	Good Lord, NO!!!	5/20/2013 6:20 PM
10	Another reason I moved here. TO GET AWAY FROM HIGHER RESIDENTIAL DENSITIES. We want small town USA not big city USA!	5/20/2013 10:04 AM
11	Enough high density projects already in Old Town!!!	5/20/2013 9:44 AM
12	Again, Sherwood does not need to be a town of 40-60K full of apartments. Did you miss the fact that we are a family based town? And families do not want to be stuffed into apartments. My only goal when I lived in an apt here was to save enough to buy a house and get out of the apts.	5/18/2013 10:27 PM

Q27 Action 30: Explore using urban renewal funding for redevelopment of improvements on City owned sites with a mix of uses appropriate for a Town Center.

Answered: 43 Skipped: 22



	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion/Not Sure	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	4.65% 2	27.91% 12	25.58% 11	32.56% 14	9.30% 4	43	3.14

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	More parks	6/6/2013 12:20 PM
2	I have no idea what this means, so No.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
3	If the funds are available and don't cost of more than we get in return.	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
4	If it all goes to the same cause...	5/29/2013 10:39 AM
5	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
6	Urban renewal is too expensive, be reasonable and spend only what you have	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
7	It feels like this whole study is being done to maximize the tax base for the city	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
8	I don't know what ideas this is so I would have to hear the ideas before we thought about funding	5/23/2013 8:35 AM
9	It sounds like you guys are being tricky.... a mix of uses????I have a opinion but I don't know how you will use it.	5/21/2013 2:53 PM
10	Without knowing what these would be, I cannot support it.	5/20/2013 6:20 PM
11	Old Town Sherwood would blossom as the Town Center with this investment.	5/20/2013 9:44 AM
12	Urban renewal funding in the past has not been used in a way that supports the goals of the average citizen. I'd like more discussion on this.	5/18/2013 10:27 PM

Q28 Long Term Action 31: Explore using urban renewal or Community Development Block Grant funds to offset a portion of SDC fees in order to subsidize certain types of infill development with the Town Center.

Answered: 43 Skipped: 22




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Not Sure/No Opinion	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	2.33% 1	23.26% 10	18.60% 8	48.84% 21	6.98% 3	43	3.35

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	More parks	6/6/2013 12:20 PM
2	SDC fees. What is that? No.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
3	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
4	get rid of all fees (it is like bribery give us the money and we will let you build	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
5	But not to support high density housing.	5/20/2013 6:20 PM

Q29 Long Term Action 32 Evaluate where the sidewalk gaps are within the Town Center, prioritize locations for future improvements, and identify funding sources.

Answered: 46 Skipped: 19




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	No Opinion/ Don't Know	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	39.13% 18	41.30% 19	2.17% 1	13.04% 6	4.35% 2	46	2.02

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	More area for walkers and bikes to get to More Parks.	6/6/2013 12:20 PM
2	Additional info needed	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
3	You can't keep coming to the taxpayers for everything. Schools yea, but we can't pay for it all. The city and county need to prioritize who they give tax break to also.	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
4	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
5	More sidewalks only on streets that do not have them, i fully support. Tearing up sidewalks that are already there, NO	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
6	there should not be any process this is lets find something for staff to do	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
7	Old Town would improve greatly as Town Center with sidewalk gaps addressed asap.	5/20/2013 9:44 AM

Q30 Long Term Action 33: Determine the potential scope for a Parking Study, including the geographic extend within the Town Center and find a funding source.

Answered: 45 Skipped: 20




	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Not Sure/No Opinion	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	20% 9	35.56% 16	15.56% 7	24.44% 11	4.44% 2	45	2.58

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	We want to draw people into shop and make our old town thrive. Explore the train use too.	6/6/2013 12:20 PM
2	No more parking in old town. Please.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
3	I don't have a parking problem. Who needs parking? Box stores....they can fund their own parking. I go over to Lake O and I don't have a parking problem.	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
4	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
5	I do not know what this means. But if you mean provide for parking in the town center (garage etc) then I approve.	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
6	there should not be any process this is lets find something for staff to do	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
7	Is the town center owned by the city? If not this should be up to the developer	5/23/2013 8:35 AM
8	I support a full study!!	5/20/2013 8:42 AM
9	I would like to see this done as an in-house project with a citizen/business advisory committee. Stop spending our dollars on worthless parking/traffic studies that don't manage to provide data consistent with common sense.	5/18/2013 10:27 PM

Q31 Long Term Action 34: Allow a reduction of 5% of required parking for commercial uses that meet site design standards for promoting walking, biking, and transit up to a reduction of 15 %

Answered: 48 Skipped: 17



	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Don't Know/ No Opinion	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	12.50% 6	18.75% 9	12.50% 6	43.75% 21	12.50% 6	48	3.25

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Parking is an issue if we have festivals.	6/6/2013 12:20 PM
2	How about a higher percent.	5/31/2013 7:47 AM
3	What does "allow flexibility in parking for commercial uses" really mean? This town center plan refers to the Town Center as one collective area though it involves 3 very different areas - each area must be evaluated independently.	5/30/2013 12:40 PM
4	I walk because it is a good idea. Stop trying to treat the taxpayer like children. These numbers are hard to put on paper. You give the 5% but who is to say the 15% reduction follows. Come on....smoke and mirrors.	5/29/2013 8:08 PM
5	Let the citizens of Sherwood decide by vote	5/28/2013 1:45 PM
6	Walking and biking works for those that live close in, but what about everyone else.... Lack of parking only hurts business	5/24/2013 5:29 PM
7	got to have parking the city has put a 101 unit aptment with about 98 compact spaces where is every one going to park Sold out	5/23/2013 10:28 AM
8	how about 100%????	5/21/2013 2:53 PM
9	Not everybody lives within walking distances.	5/20/2013 6:20 PM
10	I think it's great to encourage walking & biking. But, not at the cost of having available transit accommodations. Yes, this is the PNW and we love our non-motorized transportation. However, this is the PNW and it rains. A lot. People drive their cars in the rain.	5/20/2013 8:42 AM